

Editor's Comment:

The study is not a meta analysis, it does not use the statistical tools that are normally used in it: effect size index, such as the difference in standardized means, the risk ratio, or the correlation and analysis of variance.

According to its objective: To establish the more accurate reliable standard values of the widely used cephalometric parameters for the Iraqi Arab adults and comparing them to the norms of Caucasians counterparts. The authors do not establish in the methodology, as they obtain the data of the Caucasian population.

It would be ideal that in their methodology they make an evaluation of the quality of the data, or that they refer to the standardization criteria of how the authors of the different work, thesis and articles were standardized to perform cephalometry.

The article is interesting and worth publishing but if they follow the recommendations I suggest, mainly remove the word meta analysis from the title.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Jose Francisco Gomez Clavel
Professor, Facultad de Estudios Superiores Iztacala. UNAM, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Mexico, Mexico.