



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>I have gone through the original manuscript and revised version of manuscript along with the reviewers feedback. Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. There is no proper literature review.2. Not updated references.3. Lack of novelty4. Results interpretation are missing, and also there is no SEM and significance. <p>So I would suggest authors to re-write the manuscript and cite the proper latest references.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. literature review were rechecked and modified.2. Much literatures were re-consulted again, and cited were necessary. Literatures consulted and cited were the ones found relevant, and available as at the time of completion of this research work. The references list has been updated.3. Modifications were made were necessary. This is with a view to increase the overall quality of the work along with novelty.4. SEM (Scanning Electron Microscope) wasn't used in the entire work as it wasn't readily available as at the time of completion of this research, rather light microscope was used for all re-confirmation of already confirmed clinical isolates along with other conventional means of identification. Remember only confirmed clinical isolates were used in this study, and this isolates were collected from the Microbiology laboratory at Al-Hikmah University Ilorin, Kwara State.