



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

| EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any) | Authors' response to editor's comments |
|--|--|
| <p>The Article is about "Dedekind theory of real numbers"</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Author has used so many notations, better for understand ability can be included as Appendix. 2. 3. In page 1 and 2, For R_i, i varies from 0 to ω_0 or 1 to ω_0. 3. 4. In page 2 it is mentioned "The main results of this paper were announced in [12]." Then how does the results, theorems and lemmas of this paper differ from that paper. Though referred paper corresponds to the same author. 4. 5. In page 3 line 11 and 12 what is the difference between first-order types and second-order type. 5. later on full work is carried out by lemmas and theorems. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is not only notations to formulate the main result, but it is the construction of the theory and of the model. For a brief look on the result a reader may see Section 6 by the reference from Introduction. 2. In page 2 we start from 0 inasmuch as we talk about the whole sequence. In page 1 we start from 1 enumerating only the models constructed in the paper: Re_0 is the usual model. 3. In [12] only the Final theorem is presented with some minimal comments. 4. It is the formal definition in these lines. As it was indicated above, the semantics of the types is given in 2.3. 5. Yes, this paper is equipped by all necessary notations and lemmas. |