



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_50566
Title of the Manuscript:	Global Atmosphere, Temperature, Climate Outlook for 2100 and Beyond
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/sdi-general-editorial-policy>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	This review article is quite robust and informative on the contentious issue of the contribution of CO2 to global warming which some authors are disputing saying that particulate matter contributes significantly than the former. However some of the graphs are devoid of legends as inserts or otherwise as in figs 1 -4 and this should be looked into.. Secondly your conclusion is quite elaborate and i feel that some of the content in it should be more appropriate under the discussion which you embedded in the body of the work and if necessary you may create a separate heading for your discussion so that the Conclusion will appropriately follow as you may deem convenient. You may possibly include recommendations as a follow up of your conclusion.	<p>The figures and graphs in the manuscript (Version 2) have all been annotated with endnotes indicating their sources. Thank you for this correction.</p> <p>The Conclusion section has been rewritten to address these concerns to make it more concise. This was a very helpful point.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no known ethical issues in this review article manuscript, except the sloth and denial of the public to recognize the extreme nature and impact of rapid global warming.