



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_54047
Title of the Manuscript:	Relative abundance and status of honey bee pests and diseases in Ari district of south western Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript overall on relevant area of research addressing the economic importance of Ethiopia. Reviewer included suggestions/comments within revised manuscript for further action by authors. Some glaring errors identified in terms of order for diseases identified in the honey bees. Reviewer is concerned on the manner the identification been done on both arthropods and diseases. Whether by a trained entomologist or by a student or a few students. Some issue on accuracy may need to explain and chances of error (by providing standard error) warranted on the data presented.</p> <p>The tables need removal of the rank and by re-ordering them as suggested.</p> <p>Additional texts suggested included on discussion section to strengthen the publication for improved citation.</p>	<p>I tried my best to address most of the comments raised by reviewers and I accepted all the corrections made. I would like to say thank you as well as the reviewers for their constrictive and valuable comments and correction that could enrich my manuscript to the slandered required by scientific community. I highlighted all the corrected parts by yellow colour in the corrected document</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Some minor errors found throughout as included in the revised manuscript. Kindly improve on them.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	