



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>Following evaluation of all documents related to the manuscript I agree with Reviewers and Editor that the manuscript must be improved. The problem is that the manuscript was not appropriately corrected.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First of all, the Authors introduced only language corrections which were listed by one of Reviewers. Many requirements of other Reviewers and the Editor were ignored by the Authors without enough explanation. I agree with one of the Reviewers and the Editor, that statistical analysis is not appropriate, and instead of LSD the Anova must be applied. I also agree that +/-SD values must be added. Concluding, the Authors must again correct the manuscript according all Reviewers' and the Editor suggestions and requirements.</li> <li>• The manuscript is prepared not according to the Journal requirements. It was mentioned by Reviewers but it was ignored by the Authors. So, the text must be corrected and precisely amended with accordance to Authors' guide.</li> </ul>	<p>Dear Editor, I thank you for accepting and reviewing this manuscript. I have taken note of the issues raised in terms of language and the Journal style. I have effected all the corrections raised by the reviewers especially those relating to language and Journal's format. However, the authors may not be able to add +/- SD values to the statistical analysis. Adding +/- SD values will involve re-running the analysis of variance of which the authors may not be opportune to do that at the moment. Kindly allow us use LSD for mean separations. However, for subsequent submissions, we will take note of these details.</p>