



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>I mention my comments below.</p> <p>1-) Conclusion section is nearly 3 pages which is not common and should be shortened.</p> <p>2-) Authors should emphasize the gap that study fills in the introduction section. Authors should benefit from other papers and mention their's differences here briefly.</p> <p>3-) Language of the paper should be improved.</p> <p>4-) At the end of the introduction section clearly mention the aim of the paper and key findings.</p> <p>5-) Also stress why the results are interesting in the introduction section.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Have trimmed this section, without distorting and changing the meaning of the thought process represented in final observations. Have reduced the length of this section2. The scrutiny of research literature on this issue revealed its absence. However, the urgency of the issue has been sporadically reported in blogs and the observations from therein have been appropriately mentioned in this. Thus, the paper is exploratory in nature.3. Noted and addressed at appropriate sections4. Needful has been done5. Needful has been done