

EFFECT OF NITROGEN FERTILIZER AND INTER ROW SPACING ON HERBAGE YIELD OF RHODES GRASS (*Chloris gayana* Tan) IN THE DRY SUB HUMID ZONE OF SOKOTO NIGERIA

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during the 2016 and 2017 rainy seasons at Centre for Agriculture and Pastoral Research (CAPAR) of the Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria to study the effect of nitrogen fertilizer and inter-row spacing on herbage yield of Rhodes grass. A factorial combination of five fertilizer levels (0, 100, 120, 140 and 160 kgNha⁻¹) and three inter row spacing (30, 50 and 70cm) were used, making fifteen treatments combinations, which were laid out in a RCBD replicated four times. Determination of herbage yield at the end of 12 weeks post planting was carried out using a 0.25m² (0.5m x 0.5m) area metallic frame (Quadrat). The herbage was harvested at 5cm above ground level using hand Sickle from the four plots for each treatment. The samples collected were oven dried for the estimation of dry matter yield. The result revealed that, Application of 160KgNha⁻¹ generally produced higher ($P < 0.05$) dry matter yields compared to the rest of the treatments, however there was generally no significant ($P > 0.05$) effect of inter row spacing in both 2016, 2017 and years combined inter-row spacing of 70cm showed superiority among the treatments in the herbage yield compositions investigated compared to 50 and 30cm spacings.

Keywords: Centre of agricultural and pastoral research, herbage yield, nitrogen fertilizer, inter row spacing and Rhodes grass

INTRODUCTION

Ruminant livestock in Nigeria which includes 52.5 million Cattle, 33 million Sheep and 16.2 million Goat, in Nigeria account for about 85% of the domestically produced meat in the country (FAO 2009). These animals form an important part of the nation's agricultural production system there by providing income to the farmer and foreign exchange to the nation. In addition to providing manure for arable farming, hides and skins, for leather and tanning

35 industries social security and as insurance for food security, to mention but just a few (Tarowili
36 *et al.*, 2004; Olson *et al.*, 2004, Peden *et al.*, 2005).

37 Land which was previously used for grazing is gradually brought into cultivation to satisfy the
38 food needs of the increasing human population. Livestock are forced to graze on marginal land
39 and use crop residues which are often low in both quantity and quality, which results in poor
40 livestock performance. (Schaar *et al.*, 1981).

41 The major problem facing the livestock producers in the savanna zones of Nigeria is provision
42 of adequate feeding to the animals during the dry season. This challenge is most severe in the
43 dry sub humid zone of the Savanna, where the dry season is longer (from October-may/June)
44 and crops and pasture productivity are also low due to lower annual rainfall and poorer soils
45 (Umunna and Iji, 1993; Adegbola 2004; Babayemi and Bamikole, 2006, Ogunbosoye and
46 Babayemi, 2010). During dry season the decreased quantity and quality of the natural pasture
47 and crop residue makes it impossible for the animal to meet their nutritional requirements.
48 Supplementary feed stuffs such, as Cotton Seed Cake, Groundnut Cake etc are also very
49 expensive during this period. This problem results to loss of body, high rate of reproductive
50 failures, incidences of diseases and mortality of young animals amongst other things (FAO,
51 1988). Therefore there is need to increase the forage production to meet the feed requirements of
52 livestock in the Savanna region of the country.

53 However about 90% of Cattle and 70% of the Sheep and Goat in Nigeria are under extensive
54 system of production. Over 80% of these animals are found in the savannah zones of the country
55 where extensive area for growing and or forage feed availability are the severe limiting factors
56 of production (FDLPCS, 1992; Aregheore 2009).

57 According to FDLPCS (1992), Umunna and Iji (1993), Adebawale and Taiwo (1996),
58 Mortmoore (2000) and Aregheore (2009), the bulk of the feed resources used for the ruminant

59 livestock production in Nigeria include; natural pasture in the native range lands, crop residue
60 and agricultural by-products. The increasing demand for animal and animal related products can
61 be met through the use of improved pasture species supplementation to satisfy animal's dietary
62 requirements. It is therefore more economical to use grassland as a source of meat and milk
63 because grass herbage cannot be used directly by man but can be used indirectly through
64 animals that convert it to edible products. In Nigeria, pasture production and utilization has not
65 been developed except on government farms, university experimental, teaching and
66 demonstration farms. Ruminant livestock in Nigeria depends largely on natural grasslands that
67 are nutritionally poor. The savanna zone characterised by low annual rainfall of shorter duration,
68 lighter sandy soils and longer dry season, has low potential for natural forage production
69 (Umunna and Iji, 1993; Adamu and Odioun, 2002).

70 Therefore, in order to meet the feed requirements of the ruminants animals in Nigeria; there is
71 need to increase the forage production in the savanna region of the country. This requires
72 production of improved pasture species with potential to high herbage production and nutritive
73 value in the different sub regions of the savanna. Thus dependence on natural pasture that
74 provides the cheapest source of nutrients for ruminants has resulted in failure to meet the
75 nutritional demands of livestock throughout the year. Native pasture are mostly composed of
76 low quality grasses which the nutrient content of the herbage is only sufficient during the
77 developmental period of the herbage following rainfall in Nigeria (Amodu 2004).

78 Nuru (1996) reported that with the current increase in crop production through massive land
79 clearing, coupled with population growth and hence the development of more and larger towns
80 and cities, the land use patterns is changing and less land is available for extensive livestock
81 production. These has led to the introduction of improve pasture production which yields more

82 dry matter of high nutritive value, leading to greater animal productivity than do native pastures
83 as part of the technologies to improve animal husbandry/production (Nuru, 1996).

84 Nuru (1996) reported that with the current increase in crop production through massive land
85 clearing, coupled with population growth and hence the development of more and larger towns
86 and cities, the land use patterns is changing and less land is available for extensive livestock
87 production. These has led to the introduction of improve pasture production which yields more
88 dry matter of high nutritive value, leading to greater animal productivity than do native pastures
89 as part of the technologies to improve animal husbandry/production (Nuru, 1996).

90 Excess fertilizer application, on the other hand, can be detrimental as ‘fertilizer burn’ may occur
91 when too much fertilizer is applied resulting to drying out of leaves and damage or even death of
92 the plant (FAO 2006). Therefore determination of Optimum fertilization level is important for
93 better crop production. (Peacock *et al.* 1991) reported that Rhodes grass responds well to
94 nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer application and higher yields are obtained when N is given
95 after a basic application of Phosphorus. Fertilizer also increases the proportion of leave in the
96 herbage, but when applied after flowering it can increase the proportion of stem (Bogdan 1977).
97 Khair (1999) noted that Rhodes grass responded well to nitrogen fertilizer when applied in
98 separate doses.

99 Inter-row spacing is also an important cultural practice that affects crop productivity. The close
100 spacing produces thin, slow growing and weaker plants. The wide spacing, on the other hand
101 produces crop with lose canopy resulting to poor absorption of solar radiation, leading to low
102 photosynthesis rate resulting to poor productivity of the crop. Wide spacing also expose crop to
103 high weed infestation and production of low quality herbage. Optimum spacing is therefore

104 necessary for effective growth, yield and quality of crops. If seedlings are widely scattered
105 (spaced) Rhodes grass can quickly produce a dense stands that means that close spacing
106 produces thin, slow growth and weaker sword (Mannetja and Kersten, 1992).

107 **Materials and Methods**

108 **Experimental Site**

109 This study was conducted at the Center for Agriculture and Pastoral Research (CAPAR),
110 formally Dabagi Farm, of Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, during the 2016 and 2017
111 raining season. The farm is geographically located on latitude $12^{\circ}45'N$ and longitude $5^{\circ}25'E$ and
112 on 350m altitude. The farm is situated at 33 kilometers away from Sokoto metropolis, along the
113 Sokoto-Gusau road, in Dange shuni local government area of Sokoto State, Nigeria. The farm
114 has a total land area of about 512 ha, (CAPAR 2010). Dabagi farm falls within the Sudan-
115 Savanna vegetation zone. Isah and Shinkafi (2000), the climate is characterized by alternating
116 wet and dry seasons. The rainy season starts normally in June/July and ends in September with
117 approximate annual rainfall of 500 - 900 mm with wide inter annual variations. (SERC, 2017).
118 The total annual rainfall during the 2016 and 2017 were 663.42 mm and 606.18 mm
119 respectively. The soil texture was sandy loam; with sand, silt and clay represented at 92.7%,
120 5.9% and 1.4% respectively.

121 The treatments for this research consisted of five Nitrogen fertilizer levels (0, 100, 120, 140, and
122 160Kg/ha) and three inter row spacings (30, 50, and 70cm), which were combined factorially
123 and laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) replicated four times. The forage
124 was sown on 15th and 8th of July 2016 and 2017 respectively. The fertilizer treatments were
125 applied at three (3) weeks after sowing. Dry weight was completely determined by Oven drying.
126 The herbage sample from each plot was bulked for each treatment to form a representative
127 sample.

128 The data were statistically analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA), using the GenStat 64-bit
129 Release 17.1

130 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

131 **Herbage dry matter yield (DMY)**

132 Results on herbage dry matter yield as influenced by nitrogen fertilizer application and inter row
133 spacing during 2016, 2017 and the years combined is presented in table 1. Significant ($P < 0.05$)
134 effect of nitrogen fertilizer application on the dry matter yield of Rhodes grass was observed in
135 2016, 2017 and the years combined. Application of 160KgNha^{-1} generally produced higher ($P <$
136 0.05) dry matter yields compared to the rest of the treatments. Inter row spacing had not
137 significant ($P > 0.05$) on the herbage DMY ha^{-1} of Rhodes grass in both 2016, 2017 and the
138 years combined however there was generally no significant ($P > 0.05$) interaction between
139 nitrogen fertilizer application and inter row spacing. The significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher DMY of
140 Rhodes grass recorded from application of 160 kgNha^{-1} at 12 WAS in the 2016, 2017 and the
141 years combined results (table 1) indicated that Rhodes grass requires at least 160 kg ha^{-1} of
142 nitrogen fertilizer to produce high herbage dry matter yield in the study area. This result is
143 similar to the findings by Rains (1963), Frankow-Lindberg, (1987), Akinola and Olarunju
144 (1990), Rasmussen *et al.*, (1996), Burhan and Hago (2000), Muhammad and Abubakar (2004)
145 Yakubu and Magaji (2004) Muhammad *et al.*, (2005), Abdelrahman (2007), Aderinola et al.,
146 (2009) and Na-Allah (2015), who also reported significant increase in herbage dry matter yield
147 of Rhodes grass with increase in nitrogen fertilizer levels. The non-significant ($P > 0.05$) effect
148 of inter row spacing recorded from the varying inter row spacing (30, 50 and 70 cm) during 3 –
149 12 WAS in the 2016, 2017 and the years combined results (table 1) may be explained by the
150 Rhodes grass ability to withstand competition and suppress weeds under both close and wide
151 row spacings (Duke, 1978).

152 Growth and development of crops is determined by row spacing and nitrogen levels (DAOFW,
153 1999).

154
155
156

Table 1: Herbage dry matter yield of Rhodes grass as influenced by nitrogen fertilizer and inter row spacing, during the 2016/2017 rainy season and the years combined in Sokoto Nigeria.

Treatment	2016	2017	Combined
Fertilizer (Kg/ha⁻¹)			
0 (F0)	5500 ^d	4877 ^c	5189 ^c
100 (F1)	9060 ^c	7173 ^d	8123 ^d
120 (F2)	9683 ^c	8333 ^c	9018 ^c
140 (F3)	10660 ^b	9780 ^b	10221 ^b
160 (F4)	11322 ^a	11100 ^a	11215 ^a
LSD	866.4.	957.67	422.91
Significance	*	*	*
Spacing (cm)			
30 (S1)	9703	8836	48948
50 (S2)	9600	8090	50603
70 (S3)	9600	770.50	51779
LSD	583.59	788.90	4670.53
Significance	NS	NS	NS
Interaction			
F * S	NS	NS	NS

157 Means within a column for a factor followed by the same letters are statistically not significant at
158 5% level probability,
159 LSD ($t < 0.05$) Least Significant Difference at 5% probability level.
160 *=Significant at 5%, NS = not significant at 5%, of probability level
161 F*S= Interaction, F= Fertilizer
162 S = Spacing, WAS = Weeks after Sowing.

163
164
165 **REFERENCES**

166 Abdelrahman, F.I. (2007). Effect Seed Rate and NPK Fertilization on Growth and Yield of
167 Forage Quality of Rhodes Grass (*Chloris gayana* L. Kunth). M.Sc. Thesis. Faculty of
168 Agriculture, University of Khartoum, Sudan.

169 Adamu, A. M. and E. C. Odion (2002). Improving crop-livestock systems in the dry Savanna
170 zone of Nigeria. In: Improving Crop-Livestock Systems in West and Central Africa.
171 Accessed online at <http://www.iita.org/info/crop-livestock/arti15.pdf>.

- 172 Adebowale, E. A. and A. A. Taiwo (1996). Utilization of crop residues and agro-industrial by-
 173 products as complete diets for West African Dwarf sheep and goats. *Nigerian Journal of*
 174 *Animal Production*. 23: (1). 153 – 160.
- 175 Adegbola, T. A. (2004). Utilizing proven alternative feed ingredients in livestock industry. In
 176 Tukur, H. M. Hassan, W. A.; Maigandi, S.A.; Ipinjelu, J.K; Daneji, A.I.; Baba, K. M and
 177 Olorede, B.R, (Eds): Sustainable livestock production under changing economic
 178 fortunes. Proceedings of the 29th NSAP Conference held at Usmanu Danfodiyo
 179 University Sokoto,.
- 180 Aderinola, O.A, Akinlade, J.A., Akingbade, A.A., Binuomote, R and Alade J.A. (2011).
 181 Performance and nutritional composition of *Andropogon tectorum* during a minor wet
 182 season as influence by varying level of inorganic fertilizer. *J Agriculture Forestry and*
 183 *Social sciences* vol. 9 No 1. 129-142.
- 184 Aderinola, O.A., Akinlade, J.A Akingbade, A.A Ajebiyi, O.O Okunola, D.O and Akinyinka O.O
 185 (2009). Effect of varying level of inorganic nitrogen fertilizers on the nutrient
 186 composition of *Andropogon tectorum* during a minor wet season. Proc. 34th annual
 187 conference of the Nigerian society for animal production faculty of agriculture.
 188 University of Uyo, Oyo Akwa Ibom state Nigeria March 15th-18th 2009. 274-276.
- 189 Amodu, J. T. (2004). Stylosanthesis: A promising legume for Africa. In chakraborty. (ed.) High
 190 yielding anthracnose resistant Stylosanthesis for Agricultural systems. ACIAR
 191 Monograph No III Pp.225-232.
- 192 Aregheore, E. M. (2009). Nigeria: Country Pasture/Forage Resource Profiles. Accessed at;
 193 <http://www.fao.org/AGP/agpc/doc/Counprof/region/index.htm>. Retrieved; June 9,
 194 2010.to Nigeria. March 21st-25th, 2004. Pp. 370-373.
- 195 Babayemi, O. J and M.A Bamikole (2006). Supplementary value of *Tephrosia bracteolate*,
 196 *Tephrosia candida*, *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Gliricidia sepium* hay for West African
 197 dwarf goats kept on range. *Journal of central European Agriculture*, 7(2):323-328.
- 198 Berhan, T (2006). Forage yield and economic viability of grass (*Chloris gayana*) and (*Trifolium*
 199 *prantese*) mixtures under variable seed rates in eastern highlands of Ethiopia. *Tropical*
 200 *Science*, 46(4):209-212.
- 201 Bogdan, A.V 1977. *Tropical pasture and fodder plants*. Longman Inc, New York Pp77-86.
- 202 CAPAR, (2010). Statute Establishing the Centre for Pastoral and Agricultural Research,
 203 (CAPAR) Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria. Pp11.
- 204 Duke, J. A. (1978). The quest for tolerant germplasm. P 1-61. In: ASA special symposium 32,
 205 crop tolerance to sub optimal learn conditions. AM. SOC, Agron Madison, WI

- 206 FAO (2006). Fertilizer use by crops. Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations.
207 Vol 17. Pp 5-17.
- 208 FAO (2009). Rhode grass (*Chloris gayana* kunth) food and agricultural organization of the
209 united nation. Retrieved from
210 <http://www.fao.org/ag/agp/agpc/doc/gbase/data/pf000199.htm>
- 211 FAO. (Food and agriculture organization of the united nations). (1988). *Agricultural*
212 *development in Nigeria 1965-1980*. FAO Rome Italy.
- 213 FDLPCS (1992). *Nigerian Livestock Resources*. Federal Department of Livestock and Pest
214 Control Services (FDLPCS), National Synthesis Vol. II. Resource Inventory and
215 Management Ltd. Jersey, UK. 287 pp.
- 216 Frankow-Lindberg, B.E. 1987. Lucerne-grass swards with different nitrogen application and
217 grass components. 2. Competition. Swedish J. agric. Res. 17: 185-191.
- 218 John L.H (2006). Relationship between growth, growth response to nutrient supply and ion
219 content using a recombinant in-breed line population in Arabidopsis. In plant physiology
220 154:1361-1371.
- 221 John L.H (2006). Relationship between growth, growth response to nutrient supply and ion
222 content using a recombinant in-breed line population in Arabidopsis. In plant physiology
223 154:1361-1371.
- 224 Khair, M.A.M. (1999). Principles of forage crop production. Agricultural Research corporation
225 ARC, Training and publication administration, Ward madani Sudan (In Arabic).
- 226 Kutu, F. R., Asiwe Gan 2009. Interactive effect of row spacing on weed infestation and yield of
227 four cowpea variety. African crop science conferences proceedings, volume 9. Pp 293-
228 297. Available online at <http://www.ACSJ.info/website/index497.htm>
- 229 Mannetje, T. L & Kersten S.M.M. (1992). *Cloris gayana* kunth. *Plant Resource of East Asia* In
230 Mannetje, T' L. & Jones, R.M (Eds).
- 231 Martin, M. P. and R. WSnaydon (1982). Intercropping barley and beans. Effects of planting
232 pattern. Experimental agriculture 19: 139 – 148.
- 233 Mortimore, M. (2000). Hard questions for pastoral development: a northern Nigerian
234 perspective. In: Hiernaux, P. and E. Tiekes (eds). *Atelier Regional*. Les approches de la
235 gestion des pasturages et les projets de developpment, quelles perspective, Niamey,
236 Niger. October 2 – 6, 2000.
- 237 Muhammad I. R and Abubakar S.A.; (2004). Forage production and management in Nigeria.
238 A training manual, National animal production research institute, Shika, Zaria.
- 239 Muhammad, I. R., Abdullahi, B., Mohammed, A. K., Tanko, R. J., Kallah, M. S. and J. P. Alawa

- 240 Murtagh, G. J. and Dougherty, A. B. (1968). Relative yields of lablab and Velvet bean. Tropical
241 grassland 2: 57 – 63.
- 242 Na-Allah Yakubu.,(2015).Comparative evaluation of herbage productivity of introduced
243 grasses and legumes in Dabagi farm Sokoto, Nigeria. A Phd thesis (unpublished),
244 Department of animal science, Faculty of Agriculture, Usmanu Dan-Fodiyo University
245 Sokoto, Nigeria.
- 246 Nuru, S. (1996). Agricultural development at the age of sustainability: livestock production: in
247 sustaining the future economic, social and environmental change in sub-saharan Africa
248 (Edited by George Benneh, William B. Margan and Juha i. Uilto). The united nation
249 university, 1996.
- 250 Obi, I. U. (1991). Maize, its agronomy, disease, pest and food values. Optimal computer
251 solution Ltd. Enugu Pp207.
- 252 Ogumbosoye, D. O. and O. J. Babayemi (2010). Potential values of some non-leguminous
253 browse plants; as dry season feed for ruminants in Nigeria. *African Journal of*
254 *Biotechnology*;9(18):2720-2726.Retrieved,from <http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB>
255 [December 27, 2015](http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB).
- 256 Olson, J.M; Misana, S.; Campbell, D. J.; Mbonile, M. and Mugisha, S. (2004). Spartial pattern
257 and root cause of land use change east Africa. LUCID Working paper 47. ILRI
258 (*International livestock research institute*), Nairobi Kenya.
- 259 Peacock, W. L., Christensen, L. P. and Hirschfelt, D. J. (1991). Influences of the timing of SS
260 Nitrogen Fertilizer Application on grapevines in the San Joaquin Valley. *Am. J. Enol.*
261 *Vitis* 44,322-326. Retrieved at www.sawislibrary.co.za/177145 a pdf.
- 262 Peden, D., Freeman, A. Abiye, A. and Notembaert, A. (2015). Investment options for integral
263 water-livestock-crop production in Sub Saharan Africa. ILRI (*International livestock*
264 *research institute*), Adis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- 265 protein, calcium and phosphorous in *Lablab purpureus* and *Sorghum almum* fodder in the
- 266 Rains, B. A. (1963). Grassland research in northern Nigeria, 1952-62. *Samaru Miscellaneous*
267 *Paper No. 1*. 69 pp.
- 268 Rasmussen, K. J., Rasmussen, and J. Petersen (1996). Effect of fertilizer placement on weed
269 harrowed spring barley. *Acta. Agric. Scand, sect. B* 45: 1-5
- 270 Schaar, J., Brännäng, E. & Meskel, L.B. 1981. Breeding activities of the Ethio-
271 Swedish integrated rural development project. Part II: Milk production of Zebu and
272 cross-bred cattle. *Wld Anim. Rev. (F.A.O)* 37: 31-37.

- 273 SERC (2017). Meteorological Data of Sokoto: 2016 – 2017 (Unpublished). Department of
274 Meteorology, Sokoto Energy Research Centre, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto,
275 Nigeria.
- 276 Sodehinde, F.G; Asaolu, V.O; Adeleye, I.O.A; Adewumi, M.K; Oyebanji B and Adeniya S.A,
277 (2006). Effect of nitrogen on the dry matter productivity of *pannicum maximum* and soil
278 copper manganese content in the derieved savanna zone of Nigeria. Proceedings of the
279 31st annual conference of Nigerian society for animal production 12th-15th March 2006.
280 Bayero University Kano 399- 402.
- 281 Sudan Savanna zone of Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production* 32(2): 280 – 286.
- 282 Tarawili, S.A., Keating, J.D.H., Powell. J.M., Hiernaux, P., Lyasse, O .and Sanginga, N.
283 (2004). Integrated natural resource management in West African crop-livestock
284 production for improved livelihood and natural resources management in West Africa.
285 IITA (International institute of tropical agriculture), Ibadan, Nigeria. Pp., 349-370.
- 286 Umunna, N .N and P.A, Iji (1993). The natural feed resources in Nigeria. In Adamu, A.M.A,
287 Mani, O.A Osinowo; K.B. Adeoye and E. O Ajileye, (Eds), Forage production and
288 utilization in Nigeria. Proceedings of national workshop held in Kaduna Nigeria, by the
289 national livestock project division (NLPD), Kaduna, Nigeria. Federal ministry of
290 agriculture and natural resources, Nigeria June; 1993.Pp, 16-31.
- 291 Yakubu, A. I. and M. D. Magaji (2004). Evaluation of productivity of some grass pasture
292 species in Zamfara grazing reserve. *In: Tukur, H. M., W. A. Hassan, S. A. Maigandi, J.*
293 *K. Ipinjolu, A. I. daneji, K. M. Baba, and B. R. Olorede (eds.): Sustainable Livestock*
294 *Production Under Changing Economic Fortunes*. Proceedings of the 29th NSAP Annual
295 Conference; held 21 – 25 March, 2004 at Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto,
296 Nigeria. Pp 318 – 321.

297