

# **EFFECT OF JUICE EXTRACT OF BEETROOT, LEMON, PAWPAW LEAF AND THEIR COMBINATIONS ON WEIGHT REGULATION AND LIPID PROFILE OF ALBINO WISTAR RAT**

## **ABSTRACT**

Fruits and vegetables have been implicated in preventing overweight and obesity. This study is aimed at investigating the effects of lemon, pawpaw leaves, beetroots juices and their combinations on rates of urination, defecation, and weight changes of albino wistar rats were examine at interval. Also, the effects of the juice extracts on cholesterol, triglyceride, high density lipoprotein and low density lipoprotein where examined. Juice was extracted using a laboratory extractor. Results obtained indicates an increase in weight in groups A, B, C, D, E, F and G. But a decrease in weight was noticed in group H, which is the group administered all juice extracts at equal proportion. A reduction in the volume of urine was noticed in group A, B, C, D, F, G and H. Urine level was seen to increase in group E. The quantity of feces was observed to reduce in groups A, E, F, G and H. with the exception of groups B, C and D where the feces level was seen to increase. Cholesterol level was observed to reduce drastically in group D compared with other groups. Triglyceride level was observed to be low in group B compared with other groups. HDL level was very low in group H compared to other groups. LDL level was very low in group D compared with other groups. Group D also had a very high effect on HDL and LDL by increasing and reducing HDL and LDL respectively. This is only second to control group. The above evidences suggests that some fruit juices directly inhibits weight gain by providing the needed calories required by the body for its activity. This implies that consumption has a potential role in the prevention of overweight and obesity.

**Keywords:** Fruit, Juice, Weight, Regulation

## **INTRODUCTION**

Globally, obesity epidemic is a complex multifactorial condition which occur as a result of an imbalance between energy intake and energy usage [1]. Apart from having a gene which predisposes an individual to overweight and obesity, they are often associated with environmental factors, among which are sedentary lifestyle and over-consumption of high-energy yielding foods which are the main contributors [1]. Many national and international food-based dietary guidelines recommend an increase in fruit and vegetable consumption to prevent the emergence of several chronic diseases such as cardiovascular diseases and certain types of cancer [2, 3, 4]. The scientifically proven evidence for an inverse association between the risk of being overweight and obese, and the consumption of fruits and vegetables, was considered convincing [2].

There is generally a positive view about 100% fruit juices, some public health bodies have raised concerns about their effects on body weight, specifically in relation to overconsumption and sugar content. Consumption of 100% fruit juice In Europe, the average daily consumption of beverages during childhood and adolescence is 1455ml, which is mostly represented by water. On average, drinks provide 1,609 kJ (385 kcal), approximately 30% of which comes from sugar-sweetened beverages, 21% of which comes from sugar-sweetened milk, and 18% of which comes from fruit juices [5]. Industry data show that the average intake of 100% fruit juice in Europe is just 31ml daily [6], which equates to 13kcal daily, or a third of a portion of fruit. These figures suggest that concerns about overconsumption are misplaced. Even if daily intakes rose to 150ml – the amount that equates to one portion of fruit in some European countries – daily energy intake from 100% fruit juice would only be 62kcal or 3% of the Reference Intake (2000kcal). Does fruit juice impact on adult body weight? Research results on this topic are inconsistent, mainly because differing approaches have been used. Furthermore, studies most times researchers do not differentiate between 100% fruit juice and juices with added sugars or syrups. In most studies the control is represented by the consumption of sugar-sweetened carbonated drinks. Few researchers have considered the specific impact of 100% fruit juice on adult body weight and the literature is dominated by observational data which cannot determine cause and effect. The outcomes of these studies have varied from positive correlations between adult weight gain, albeit clinically insignificant amounts, and 100% fruit juice intake [7, 8] to inverse associations between body mass index, waist circumference and 100% fruit juice intake [9]. Both of the above secondary analyses were in US populations. A study of a large

European cohort reported no association between the combined intake of 100% fruit juice and fruit nectars and body mass index [10]. A similar result was seen in the Food4Me survey of European adults from seven different countries [11].

It is imperative to also note that fruit juice consumption tends to be statistically associated with beneficial health behaviours such as reduced alcohol consumption, not smoking and increased physical activity. Overall, if the consumption of fruit juice is not excessive and is taken together with a balanced diet, there appears to be very little evidence of an increased risk of obesity.

Turning to the few randomised controlled trials that are available, these tend to show no impact on weight management, even at intakes up to 500ml daily. The authors of a recent review on citrus fruit juices concluded that “Based on clinical intervention studies, the addition of orange juice or grapefruit juice to a habitual or study diet did not result in weight change, suggesting that individuals likely compensated with other dietary choices” [12]. A similar finding was seen in a 2017 randomised controlled trial in which 500ml of orange juice was consumed daily for 12 weeks as part of calorie-controlled diet [13]. The sugars content of 100% fruit juice has come under close observation with regards to weight addition and risk of obesity. These sugars come directly from the fruit used to make the juice – sugar is never added to 100% fruit juice by law. Following a substantial systematic review, a team of researchers commissioned by WHO concluded that excess calories were responsible for weight gain, not sugars specifically [14]. Therefore, when energy intake is balanced with energy expenditure via exercises and other physical activities, dietary sugars from fruit juice do not increase the risk of excess weight gain. Fruit juice consumption and weight in children Data from 12 of the 19 studies identified in the literature failed to show a significant correlation between habitual consumption of fruit juices and BMI, or variations in body weight over time.

However, there are three studies that reported that, as consumption of 100% fruit juices increased, the risk of developing overweight and obesity statistically and significantly decreased; whilst three other studies showed a significant correlation between consumption of fruit juices and weight gain. However, consumption levels in these studies were particularly high and included drinks other than 100% fruit juice (e.g. diluted fruit juice, syrups, flavoured mineral juices and sports drinks). One investigation showed that higher

juice consumption levels were only associated with a further risk of weight gain in children who were already overweight or predisposed to it. Conversely, more frequent provision of fresh fruit was associated with a lower increase in body weight [15]. A 2016 systematic review confirmed this finding by reporting that 22 studies in children and adolescents found no significant associations between consumption of 100% fruit juice and weight increase after controlling for energy intake [16]. A 2017 meta-analysis of 8 prospective studies involving more than 34,000 children found no statistical or clinical association between 100% fruit juice consumption and body mass index z scores [17].

In view of the different reports of the various researches mention above, this study investigated the effects of different fruit juices and their combination on weight regulation of albino wistar rat. This is to verify the various claims for and against the fact that fruit juice can increase or decrease body weight.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Experimental Animals**

Forty (40) wistar strain albino rats were purchased from the animal house of the University of Jos. The animals were fed with standard feed throughout the period of the research. All experiments on animals were in accordance with the guidelines of both the University's ethical committee and the international guidelines for handling of laboratory animals [6].

### **Treatment of Experimental Animals**

The animals were randomly selected (to avoid bias) and divided in Eight (8) groups, A, B, C, D, E, F, G and H of 4 animals per group.

**Group A:** Normal control (normal animals given standard feed and water)

**Group B:** Normal animals given standard feed, water and Lemon juice

**Group C:** Normal animals given standard feed, water and Beetroot

**Group D:** Normal animals given standard feed, water and Paw-paw leaf juice

**Group E:** Normal animals given standard feed, water and Lemon + beetroot juice

**Group F:** Normal animals given standard feed, water and Lemon + paw-paw leaf juice

**Group G:** Normal animals given standard feed, water and Beetroot + paw-paw leaf juice

**Group H:** Normal animals given standard feed, water and Lemon + beetroot + paw-paw leaf juice

### **Juice extraction**

Juices of Beat Root, Lemon and Pawpaw Leaf were extracted using laboratory homogenizer at room temperature.

### **Biochemical Parameters**

Biochemical parameters assayed; Total cholesterol concentration, serum HDLcholesterol and triacylglyceride were done using Randox diagnostic kits. LDL-cholesterol was estimated using Friedewald formula [18].

### **Statistical Analysis**

Data were subjected to analysis using graph pad prism 7 statistical tool. One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for comparison of different groups and values were considered significant at  $P < 0.05$ . Results were presented as the means  $\pm$  SEM.

## **RESULTS**

**Table 1: Weight changes recorded in albino wistar rats with interval**

GROUP	TREATMENT	Day 23/7	Day 24/7	Day 29/7	Day 30	Day 1/8	Day 2/8	Day 5/8	Day 8/8
A	Control	99.63±12.863	92.50±11.715	94.23±12.656	95.66±12.560	114.27±15.519	105.67±11.570	106.60±14.442	111.90±15.840
B	Lemon juice	121.13±4.511 <sup>b</sup>	115.10±6.334 <sup>b</sup>	118.50±6.495 <sup>b</sup>	128.53±4.418 <sup>b</sup>	133.33±5.052 <sup>b</sup>	134.77±4.206 <sup>b</sup>	127.13±5.861 <sup>b</sup>	134.00±7.057 <sup>b</sup>
C	Beetroot	111.58±8.618 <sup>b</sup>	104.35±7.952 <sup>b</sup>	109.45±8.459 <sup>b</sup>	120.28±10.184 <sup>b</sup>	124.23±9.438 <sup>b</sup>	125.68±9.648 <sup>b</sup>	126.70±8.625 <sup>b</sup>	136.38±9.147 <sup>b</sup>
D	Paw-paw leaf juice	108.50±5.828 <sup>b</sup>	119.78±17.233 <sup>b</sup>	101.18±8.227 <sup>b</sup>	105.70±8.755 <sup>b</sup>	114.15±8.463 <sup>a</sup>	115.18±8.271 <sup>b</sup>	108.33±8.436 <sup>b</sup>	120.25±9.411 <sup>b</sup>
E	Lemon + beetroot juice	119.93±6.018 <sup>b</sup>	109.18±4.570 <sup>b</sup>	103.98±4.745 <sup>b</sup>	108.95±3.737 <sup>b</sup>	111.90±4.688 <sup>a</sup>	113.50±4.049 <sup>b</sup>	117.58±4.111 <sup>b</sup>	125.85±4.884 <sup>b</sup>
F	Lemon + paw-paw leaf juice	92.32±27.826 <sup>a</sup>	110.80±6.500 <sup>b</sup>	111.40±6.949 <sup>b</sup>	117.28±7.592 <sup>b</sup>	125.75±8.265 <sup>b</sup>	125.68±9.430 <sup>b</sup>	119.13±12.963 <sup>b</sup>	135.83±9.231 <sup>b</sup>
G	Beetroot + paw-paw leaf juice	90.92±14.300 <sup>a</sup>	112.07±2.046 <sup>b</sup>	103.67±2.368 <sup>b</sup>	116.83±5.019 <sup>b</sup>	114.97±4.484 <sup>b</sup>	123.27±4.201 <sup>b</sup>	120.37±4.088 <sup>a</sup>	134.13±3.805 <sup>b</sup>
H	Lemon + beetroot + paw-paw leaf juice	96.32±8.999 <sup>a</sup>	88.45±7.336 <sup>a</sup>	85.45±6.887 <sup>a</sup>	97.50±9.607 <sup>b</sup>	99.82±9.076 <sup>a</sup>	102.38±8.935 <sup>a</sup>	103.80±9.617 <sup>a</sup>	116.23±16.610 <sup>b</sup>
p-values	-	0.6336	0.2834	0.1337	0.1552	0.2575	0.1618	0.5116	0.5254

If p-value is greater than 0.05, mean values are not statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ )

Values are expressed as mean ± SEM, n=5.

<sup>a</sup>Values are significantly low when compared to control ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>b</sup>Values are significantly high when compared to control ( $p < 0.05$ )

**Table 2: Changes in urine excretion**

GROUP	TREATMENT	22/07	24/07	26/07	29/07	31/07	2/08	5/08	7/08
A	Control	12.62±0.0115	9.06±0.037	10.10±0.063	6.61±0.012	3.04±0.026	3.82±0.014	8.94±0.032	2.13±0.100
B	Lemon juice	10.08±0.049 <sup>a</sup>	10.51±0.015 <sup>b</sup>	12.54±0.020 <sup>b</sup>	2.62±0.017 <sup>a</sup>	9.04±0.030 <sup>b</sup>	7.15±0.078 <sup>b</sup>	12.02±0.024 <sup>b</sup>	1.07±0.039 <sup>a</sup>
C	Beetroot	5.33±0.025 <sup>a</sup>	4.06±0.032 <sup>a</sup>	8.24±0.023 <sup>a</sup>	10.04±0.020 <sup>b</sup>	6.05±0.032 <sup>b</sup>	9.06±0.034 <sup>b</sup>	2.04±0.029 <sup>a</sup>	3.53±0.027 <sup>b</sup>
D	Paw-paw leaf juice	6.05±0.031 <sup>a</sup>	2.52±0.014 <sup>a</sup>	16.50±0.003 <sup>b</sup>	10.63±0.025 <sup>b</sup>	2.03±0.020 <sup>a</sup>	18.10±0.060 <sup>b</sup>	12.03±0.024 <sup>b</sup>	3.11±0.050 <sup>b</sup>
E	Lemon + beetroot juice	3.31±0.008 <sup>a</sup>	8.06±0.048 <sup>a</sup>	11.09±0.057 <sup>b</sup>	16.61±0.013 <sup>b</sup>	5.52±0.015 <sup>b</sup>	2.81±0.008 <sup>a</sup>	3.10±0.053 <sup>a</sup>	6.56±0.048 <sup>b</sup>
F	Lemon + paw-paw leaf juice	9.05±0.035 <sup>a</sup>	2.50±0.043 <sup>a</sup>	3.54±0.026 <sup>a</sup>	8.32±0.018 <sup>b</sup>	4.13±0.081 <sup>b</sup>	22.03±0.017 <sup>b</sup>	11.08±0.067 <sup>b</sup>	0.53±0.023 <sup>a</sup>
G	Beetroot + paw-paw leaf juice	7.31±0.010 <sup>a</sup>	5.55±0.029 <sup>a</sup>	11.09±0.057 <sup>b</sup>	10.63±0.025 <sup>b</sup>	6.05±0.032 <sup>b</sup>	2.03±0.020 <sup>a</sup>	3.10±0.053 <sup>a</sup>	4.05±0.028 <sup>b</sup>
H	Lemon + beetroot + paw-paw leaf juice	7.07±0.060 <sup>a</sup>	3.47±0.039 <sup>a</sup>	17.08±0.040 <sup>b</sup>	10.04±0.020 <sup>b</sup>	6.53±0.020 <sup>b</sup>	6.13±0.091 <sup>b</sup>	2.04±0.029 <sup>a</sup>	6.56±0.048 <sup>b</sup>
p-values	-	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001

If p-value is greater than 0.05, mean values are not statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ )

Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n=5.

<sup>a</sup>Values are significantly low when compared to control ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>b</sup>Values are significantly high when compared to control ( $p < 0.05$ )

**Table 3: changes in feces excreted**

GROUP	TREATMENT	Day 23/7	Day 24/7	Day 29/7	Day 30	Day 1/8	Day 2/8	Day 5/8	Day 8/8
A	Control	25.23±0.024	35.12±0.060	41.81±0.095	37.09±0.057	26.96±0.045	31.18±0.135	24.87±0.171	22.60±0.421
B	Lemon juice	32.60±0.003 <sup>b</sup>	32.63±0.031 <sup>a</sup>	27.77±0.134 <sup>a</sup>	92.45±0.028 <sup>b</sup>	45.05±0.035 <sup>b</sup>	51.77±0.136 <sup>b</sup>	81.13±0.142 <sup>b</sup>	81.71±0.178 <sup>b</sup>
C	Beetroot	41.60±0.003 <sup>b</sup>	36.15±0.039 <sup>b</sup>	46.13±0.023 <sup>b</sup>	49.69±0.162 <sup>b</sup>	25.68±0.046 <sup>a</sup>	39.59±0.260 <sup>b</sup>	35.03±0.062 <sup>b</sup>	50.01±0.012 <sup>b</sup>
D	Paw-paw leaf juice	47.60±0.146 <sup>b</sup>	57.26±0.032 <sup>b</sup>	32.07±0.050 <sup>a</sup>	64.54±0.104 <sup>b</sup>	33.97±0.051 <sup>b</sup>	31.18±0.135 <sup>c</sup>	39.18±0.046 <sup>b</sup>	76.83±0.103 <sup>b</sup>
E	Lemon + beetroot juice	23.43±0.008 <sup>a</sup>	42.33±0.049 <sup>b</sup>	39.13±0.038 <sup>a</sup>	68.54±0.041 <sup>b</sup>	34.18±0.046 <sup>b</sup>	57.36±0.037 <sup>b</sup>	36.34±0.029 <sup>b</sup>	38.09±0.283 <sup>b</sup>
F	Lemon + paw-paw leaf juice	48.94±0.032 <sup>b</sup>	38.25±0.038 <sup>b</sup>	11.85±0.035 <sup>a</sup>	52.57±0.253 <sup>b</sup>	28.31±0.162 <sup>b</sup>	24.16±0.088 <sup>a</sup>	32.87±3.312 <sup>b</sup>	37.68±0.159 <sup>b</sup>
G	Beetroot + paw-paw leaf juice	45.62±0.021 <sup>b</sup>	38.96±0.031 <sup>b</sup>	57.47±0.243 <sup>b</sup>	52.16±0.037 <sup>b</sup>	45.23±0.020 <sup>b</sup>	37.68±0.160 <sup>b</sup>	50.76±0.134 <sup>b</sup>	38.52±0.021 <sup>b</sup>
H	Lemon + beetroot + paw-paw leaf juice	36.35±0.026 <sup>b</sup>	41.74±0.037 <sup>b</sup>	22.36±0.350 <sup>a</sup>	25.91±0.076 <sup>a</sup>	23.64±0.041 <sup>b</sup>	34.16±0.040 <sup>b</sup>	37.90±0.064 <sup>b</sup>	29.13±0.025 <sup>b</sup>
p-values		<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001

If p-value is greater than 0.05, mean values are not statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ )

Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n=5.

<sup>a</sup>Values are significantly low when compared to control ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>b</sup>Values are significantly high when compared to control ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>c</sup>Value is equal to control ( $p < 0.05$ ) Ms\_AJGMB\_61726



**Table 4: Lipid profile assay of rat serum sample**

GROUP	TREATMENT	CHOLESTEROL mg/dl	TG mg/dl	HDL mg/dl	LDL mg/dl
A	Control	75.31±4.710	121.90±1.700	69.03±2.290	37.12±4.595
B	Lemon juice	81.18±2.920 <sup>b</sup>	86.26±19.035 <sup>a</sup>	69.82±2.160 <sup>b</sup>	49.96±1.320 <sup>b</sup>
C	Beetroot	71.35±3.335 <sup>a</sup>	87.88±10.800 <sup>a</sup>	62.02±2.460 <sup>a</sup>	41.37±1.665 <sup>b</sup>
D	Paw-paw leaf juice	69.01±0.270 <sup>a</sup>	90.64±13.560 <sup>a</sup>	57.84±8.500 <sup>a</sup>	38.81±0.790 <sup>b</sup>
E	Lemon + beetroot juice	81.08±4.730 <sup>b</sup>	132.15±10.150 <sup>b</sup>	60.68±0.500 <sup>a</sup>	42.51±6.660 <sup>b</sup>
F	Lemon + paw-paw leaf juice	86.20±3.280 <sup>b</sup>	129.79±30.115 <sup>b</sup>	59.41±0.910 <sup>a</sup>	48.36±9.120 <sup>b</sup>
G	Beetroot + paw-paw leaf juice	72.02±5.450 <sup>a</sup>	88.98±17.420 <sup>a</sup>	52.65±6.310 <sup>a</sup>	43.69±7.675 <sup>b</sup>
H	Lemon + beetroot + paw-paw leaf juice	94.56±1.230 <sup>b</sup>	94.09±15.710 <sup>a</sup>	47.21±1.370 <sup>a</sup>	66.30±4.100 <sup>b</sup>
p-values	-	0.0133	0.3007	0.0488	0.0732

If p-value is greater than 0.05, mean values are not statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ )

Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n=5.

<sup>a</sup>Values are significantly low when compared to control ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>b</sup>Values are significantly high when compared to control ( $p < 0.05$ )

## DISCUSSION

Results from table 1 showed the effects of different fruit juices and their combination on increase or decrease in weight of the rats at different interval. Group A which is a control group was not administered any fruit juice, which accounts for the steady increase in the body weight of the rats as a result of the caloric intake from the continuous feeding of the rat.

Group B, C, D, E and H were administered lemon juice, beetroot, pawpaw leaf, lemon plus beetroot, beetroots plus pawpaw leaf and Lemon plus beetroot plus pawpaw leaf juice respectively at different interval. After administration for a period of time, a fluctuation in weight was observed, that is a decrease and subsequent increase in weight or increase, then decrease and subsequently increase in weight was later observed too. This observation contradicts the assertion by [6] that even if the quantity consumed per day is increased, the caloric addition remains the same. Our observation about a decrease and a subsequent increase in the body weight could be as a result of the constant volume maintained in our work. Telling us that as the animal grow in size and increases its feed consumption, it predisposes it to weight addition even though its initial weight was reduced based on the interaction of caloric intake and the various juices consumed. Also, the differences in weight fluctuation observed in this groups could be as a result of the different composition of the various fruit juices used in this research.

Group F was administered lemon plus pawpaw leaf juice. A steady increase in body weight was observed in this group. This observation agreed with the assertion by [12, 13 and 14] that 100% juice intake does not reduce body weight. This implies that the constant increase in weight observed is as a result of the calories obtained from the feeds given to the rats. Furthermore, it also indicates that the caloric intake is almost equal to the energy expended and as the animal grows in size, the caloric intake increases. This enables the rat to maintain a constant increase in weight as the age increases.

The fluctuations and differences observed in the different groups could be attributed to the differences in type of carbohydrate contained in the different fruits and their combination. This assertion agrees with the finding by [19] which states that “The type and amount of fruits and vegetables may affect satiety and intake”

Table 2 shows the volume of urination with interval across the various groups. There were consistent fluctuations in volume of urine in all the treatment groups. Groups A, B, C, D, F, G and H showed a high volume of urine initially before consistent fluctuation was observed. While group E showed a low volume of urine before consistent fluctuation was observed. The reason for consistent fluctuation of urination is unclear but it may not be unconnected to the fact that the rate of feeding fluctuates as a result of the calories [19] absorbed from the juice which in turn affects the level of thirst per time and urine excretion.

Table 3 shows the quantity of fecal material excreted with interval across groups. There were consistent fluctuations in quantity of fecal material in all the treatment groups. These fluctuations may not be unconnected to the rate of feed consumption with interval as the fruit juice administration progresses.

Over weight and or obesity is associated with high level of lipid in the body. Table 4 shows the effect of different fruit juice consumption and lipid profile

Group H had little or no effect on cholesterol compared to control which increases the risk of cardiovascular disease in this group while group D had significant effect on cholesterol which reduces the risk of cardiovascular disease in this group. This agreed with assertion by [20] that an increase in blood cholesterol of overweight person could lead to atherosclerosis and cardiovascular diseases.

Group E and F which were administered Lemon + beetroot juice and Lemon + paw-paw leaf juice had little or no effect on triglyceride considering how close they are with control (group A). These results are in agreement with the assertion that triglycerides are the most common fat molecule in our bodies. The body converts any excess calories you eat into triglycerides for storage. Blood triglyceride levels are significantly impacted by diet and lifestyle as well as other genetic factors. Diets high in refined carbohydrates (such as sugar and white bread), and alcohol signal the liver to produce more triglycerides. Saturated fat from the diet also raises triglyceride levels [21].

Group H which is the combination of all the juices had little or no effect on HDL and LDL because it had the lowest and highest figures respectively compared to control. This implies that the risk of cardiac arrest in this group is very high implying that this

combination is not suitable for overweight individuals. In contrast, group D which was administered pawpaw leaf juice had a very high effect on HDL and LDL which is only second to control group. This implies that this juice is suitable for overweight individuals due to its effect on HDL and LDL. This result agrees with the findings by [22]. There was significant reduction in triglyceride in groups B, C and G.

## **CONCLUSION**

From the observations and tests recorded in this research, it is obvious that consuming 100% fruit juice is effective on weight loss even though the mechanism of action is unclear. The continuous fluctuation of weight, urine and fecal material over a short interval is prove that these juices affects the weight of the rats in the different groups. This is indicative of the fact that if it is consumed consistently over a long period of time, the result could impact more on the animal.

## REFERENCES

1. I.TETENS and S. ALINIA (2009). The role of fruit consumption in the prevention of obesity. *Journal of Horticultural Science & Biotechnology* (2009) **ISAFRUIT Special Issue** 47–51.
2. WHO (2003). *Diet, Nutrition and the Prevention of Chronic Diseases*. World Health Organization and the Food and Agriculture Organisation. Report 916. WHO, Geneva, Switzerland. [https://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/publications/trs916/en/gsfao\\_introduction.pdf](https://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/publications/trs916/en/gsfao_introduction.pdf).
3. WRCF (2007). *Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Cancer: a Global Perspective*. World Cancer Research Fund and American Institute for Cancer Research, AICR, Washington DC, USA. [http://www.dietandcancerreport.org/downloads/Second\\_Expert\\_Report.pdf](http://www.dietandcancerreport.org/downloads/Second_Expert_Report.pdf).
4. HE, K.,HU, F. B., COLDITZ,G. A.,MANSON, J. E.,WILLETT,W. C. and LIU, S. (2004). Changes in intake of fruits and vegetables in relation to risk of obesity and weight gain among middle-aged women. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*, **28**, 1569-1574.
5. Duffey KJ et al. (2012) Beverage consumption among European adolescents in the HELENA Study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 66: 244–252.
6. AIJN (2016) Liquid Fruit Market Report 2015, European Fruit Juice Association market report, a concise and current snapshot of our industry. Brussels 2016.
7. Mozaffarian D et al. (2011) Changes in diet and lifestyle and long-term weight gain in women and men. *N Engl J Med* 364: 2392–2404.
8. Auerbach BJ et al. (2018) Association of 100% fruit juice consumption and 3-year weight change among postmenopausal women in the Women’s Health Initiative. *Prev Med* 109: 8-10.
9. Pereira MA & Fulgoni VL (2010) Consumption of 100% fruit juice and risk of obesity and metabolic syndrome: Findings from the national health and nutrition examination survey 1999–2004. *J Am Coll Nutr* 29: 625–629.
10. InterAct Consortium (2013) Consumption of sweet beverages and type 2 diabetes incidence in European adults: Results from EPIC-InterAct. *Diabetologia* 56: 1520–1530.
11. Celis-Morales C et al. (2017) Correlates of overall and central obesity in adults from seven European countries: findings from the Food4Me Study. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. Available at: <http://www.nature.com/articles/s41430-017-0004-y>
12. Rampersaud GC & Valim MF (2017) 100% citrus juice: Nutritional contribution, dietary benefits, and association with anthropometric measures. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 57: 129-140.
13. Ribeiro C et al. (2017) Orange juice allied to a reduced-calorie diet results in weight loss and ameliorates obesity-related biomarkers: A randomized controlled trial. *Nutrition* 38: 13–19.

14. Te Morenga L et al. (2013) Dietary sugars and body weight: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials and cohort studies. *Br Med J* 346: e7492.
15. Faith MS et al. (2006) Fruit juice intake predicts increased adiposity gain in children from low-income families: weight status-by-environment interaction. *Pediatrics* 118: 2066-2075
16. Crowe-White K et al. (2016) Impact of 100% fruit juice consumption on diet and weight status of children: an evidence-based review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 56: 871–884.
17. Auerbach BJ et al. (2017) Fruit juice and change in BMI: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 139: pii e20162454.
18. Warnick GR, Knopp RH, Fitzpatrick V, Branson L. Estimating low-density lipoprotein cholesterol by the Friedewald equation is adequate for classifying patients on the basis of nationally recommended cutpoints. *Clinical Chemistry*. 1990;36(1):15–9.
19. Feinle C, O'Donovan D, Horowitz M. Carbohydrate and Satiety. *Nurt Rev*. 2002 60 (6) 155-169.
20. Mohammad Reza Vafa, Elham Haghightajoo, Farzad Shidfar, Shirin Afshari, Mahmood Reza Gohari, Amir Ziaee (2011). Effects of Apple Consumption on Lipid Profile of Hyperlipidemic and Overweight Men. *Int J Prev Med*; 2(2): 94-100.
21. London Health Science Centre. [www.lipidgeneticsclinic.ca](http://www.lipidgeneticsclinic.ca)
22. Christina J and Lars E (2006). Grapefruit juice and serum lipids in healthy adults. *Scandinavian Journal of Food and Nutrition*; 50 (3): 118 -123.