

Plankton Composition in relation to Water Quality of the coastal waters of Nigeria

Abstract

Coastal waters of Ondo State have diverse assemblage of fish, yet dearth of information still exists on its plankton composition. This study investigates plankton components in relation to physico-chemical characteristics of the coastal waters bordering Olotu, Ayetoro and Bijimi in providing baseline information that can be used for planning and implementation of policies for monitoring, impacts assessment and conservation. Surface water samples were collected monthly from March to June 2015 for physico-chemical parameters while plankton net of 55µm mesh size was used for collection of plankton using APHA methods. The light and dark bottle method was used to determine primary productivity. Shannon-wiener, Margalef and Equitability Indices were used for diversity. Values of the physico-chemical parameters observed ranged as follows: temperature, 27.47±2.06-29.27±0.31°C; turbidity, 43.43±0.91-65.33±2.52NTU; pH, 5.54±0.31-6.12±0.30; BOD, 2.20±0.29-5.43±0.54mg/l; COD, 6.08±2.71-6.66±1.52mg/l; dissolved oxygen, 6.39±0.39-7.78±0.19mg/l and salinity, 2.03±0.06-3.77±0.04mg/l. Fifteen species of phytoplankton and three developmental stages of zooplankton were recorded. Phytoplankton accounted for 83.3% as against 16.7% zooplankton. Diatoms (93.3%) and dinoflagellates (6.7%) represented phytoplankton whereas zooplankton belonged to the phylum Arthropoda (66.7%) and Chordata (33.3%). Primary productivity ranged between 132.194±13.48m⁻³hr⁻¹ and 134.48±15.27m⁻³hr⁻¹. Some dominant species recorded were *Coscinodiscus*, *Biddulphia*, *Copepod*, *Skeletonema* and *Ditylum*. pH and Temperature were major determinant of the composition, diversity and abundance of plankton. The observed plankton group indicates the suitability of the creeks as habitat and breeding ground for diverse aquatic species. The water quality falls within acceptable range hence the environment can be classified as healthy ecosystem.

Key Words: Estuarine, phytoplankton, zooplankton, physico-chemical, algae and pollution.

INTRODUCTION

Planktons are major contributors of biomass and are crucial to the productivity and sustainability of the aquatic ecosystem (Harris and Vinobaba, 2012). Phytoplankton constitute the base of the aquatic food chain, producing organic and inorganic substances through carbon dioxide and photosynthesis. However, their composition, distribution and abundance are largely influenced by various environmental factors from physical, biological and chemical changes. These factors include; pollution, urbanization, industrialization, anthropogenic activities, climate change etc.

Biological approaches to assessing the water quality (interacting physical and chemical factors) in aquatic ecosystems cannot be over-emphasized, considering its influence on the levels of trophic structure, primary productivity and total biomass in the aquatic food web (Offem *et al.*, 2011). The coastal waters of Ondo State, Nigeria which is richly blessed with favourable ecological and climatological conditions that favours optimum growth, reproduction and productivity of aquatic species (Daramola *et al.*, 2009). These conditions support fish farming operations, thus improving the socio-economic status and livelihood of the coastal dwellers and

44 country. However, much concern has been given to the assessment of eco-environmental quality
45 due to the increase in agricultural, anthropogenic and industrial activities that exist in and around
46 the area (Benson *et.al*, 2007; Olawusi-Peters *et al.*, 2017).

47 Several authors have carried out extensive analysis on the trophic status of various coastal water
48 bodies in Nigeria (Imoobe, 2011; Cako *et al*, 2013) and reported changes in mean temperature,
49 nutrient availability and hydrology to be the most crucial variables that determine the abundance,
50 distribution of plankton and productivity of the entire ecosystem (Offem *et al.*, 2011). Planktons
51 therefore become ideal for biomonitoring of the ecological changes in coastal ecosystems
52 considering their position in the aquatic food chain. They reflect the composite influence of
53 different parameters of water quality in the waterbody (Gharib *et al.*, 2011).

54 Comprehensive researches have been done in the coastal waters of Ondo State: Akegbejo-
55 Samson (1995); Asaolu (1998); Adeparusi (2003); Adebowale *et al.*, (2008); Abdus-Salam *et al.*,
56 (2010); Bayode *et al.*, (2011); Olawusi-Peters *et al.*, (2014; 2017). However, the composition,
57 diversity and abundance of plankton in the region are yet to be investigated. Thus, this study
58 provides baseline information that bridges the existing gap in research on the diversity and
59 abundance of plankton as it relates to the quality and productivity of the coastal waters of Ondo
60 State.

61 **MATERIALS AND METHOD**

62 **Study Area**

63 This study was conducted in three communities within the coastal area of Ondo state (Ilaje Local
64 Government Area), Nigeria. The study area falls within Latitudes 06° & $06^{\circ} 30^1$ North and
65 Longitudes $004^{\circ} 45^1$ & $005^{\circ} 45^1$ East of the Greenwich Meridian. Olotu, Ayetoro and Bijimi
66 were purposively selected based on the human population, catch volume, diversities of the fishes
67 and possible anthropogenic inputs in the areas.

68 **Collection of samples and Determination of physico-chemical parameters**

69 Water samples for the physico-chemical analysis were collected monthly from each station at
70 sub-surface level, using 250 ml sampling bottles and transported in ice-chest to the laboratory for
71 analysis according to APHA (1998). Samples were taken at the three stations on the same day
72 and at the same sampling points for ease of reference. Temperature, pH, Turbidity, Salinity,
73 Dissolved Oxygen, Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) and Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD)
74 of the water from each sampling station was determined using the Hanna multi-parameter Model
75 HI 9828.

76 **Collection of samples and Determination of Plankton**

77 The plankton samples were collected using sampling net of $55\mu\text{m}$ -mesh size net tied to the boat
78 towed at low speed for 10 minutes. The sample were then preserved in 5% formalin and kept
79 refrigerated prior to analysis. In the laboratory, three replicates of each sample concentrate were
80 observed under microscope Olympus model using standard guides for identification (UNESCO,
81 1978 and Yamaguchi and Gould 2007). The different components of the plankton samples were
82 determined and classified by their relative abundance.

83 **Determination of Primary Productivity**

84 The light and dark bottle method was used in the determination of primary productivity using
 85 three 125ml oxygen bottles with each designated as initial, light and dark oxygen bottle (Boyd,
 86 1979). The dark bottles were painted with black paint and covered with aluminum foil to prevent
 87 light penetration. All the bottles were filled with water from each depth. The initial water bottle
 88 was immediately fixed for dissolved oxygen using managanous sulphate and alkaline solutions.
 89 The bottles (light and dark) were incubated for six hours. and the dissolved oxygen concentration
 90 was determined for the initial, light and dark bottles. Thus, the primary productivity was
 91 calculated using conversion formula according to Smith *et al.*, (1996).

$$\text{Gross primary productivity} = \frac{(LB - DB)}{T \text{ (hrs)}} \times 0.375 \times 1000mg \text{ (m}^{-3}\text{hr}^{-1}\text{)}$$

92 Where: LB = DO of light bottles
 93 DB = DO of dark bottles
 94 T = Incubation period
 95 0.375 = ratio of weight of carbon to oxygen
 96 1000 = litres in m³

97 **Statistical Analysis**

98 Data were subjected to Multi-Variate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA) to evaluate statistical
 99 variation across the stations (P=0.05) using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version
 100 16.0. Standard deviations were estimated. Descriptive analysis was also used to present tables and
 101 figures. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to determine the relationship between the
 102 plankton and the physico-chemical parameters using PAST software.

103 The Plankton data were subjected to the following ecological indices:

Index	Formula	Reference
Margalef's Diversity Index	$d = \frac{S - 1}{\ln N}$	Margalef (1968)
Shannon-Wiener Index	$H' = (-P_1 \ln P_1) + (-P_2 \ln P_2) + \dots$	Shannon and Wiener (1963)
Pielou's Equitability Index	$J = \frac{H'}{\ln(S)}$	(Pielou, 1966)
Number of Occurrence Index (NOI)	$NOI = \frac{n}{N} \times 100$	Ogbeibu (2005)

104 Where:
 105 S = number of observed species;
 106 N = total number of individuals of all species in the catch;
 107 H' = Shannon-Wiener index;
 108 P = total proportion of each species in sample;

109 n = number of individuals of each species in the catch.

110 RESULTS

111 Physico-Chemical Parameters in Coastal Waters of Ondo State

112 The physico-chemical parameters of water in the three stations is presented in Table 1. The table
113 revealed that temperature, Dissolved Oxygen (DO), salinity, BOD, COD showed no significant
114 difference across the stations, while turbidity and pH exhibited locational variation at 95%
115 confidence limit. The table shows that the highest mean Temperature (28.48 ± 0.91 °C) was
116 recorded in Bijimi while the lowest temperature (27.88 ± 1.26 °C) was recorded in Ayetoro. The
117 lowest (51.48 ± 7.76 NTU) and highest (53.86 ± 8.47 NTU) mean turbidity was recorded at Bijimi
118 and Ayetoro respectively, while the highest mean (5.86 ± 0.23) and lowest mean pH (5.66 ± 0.20)
119 was recorded at Olotu and Ayetoro respectively. Moreover, the lowest (7.30 ± 0.46 mg/l) and
120 highest mean DO (7.42 ± 0.31 mg/l) was recorded in Bijimi and Olotu respectively, while the
121 lowest (3.34 ± 1.63 mg/l) and highest BOD (3.74 ± 1.15 mg/l) was recorded at Ayetoro and Bijimi
122 respectively. The table further shows that the Salinity was highest (3.31 ± 0.65 mg/l) and lowest
123 (3.03 ± 0.70 mg/l) at Bijimi and Ayetoro respectively, while COD was highest (6.66 ± 1.52 mg/l)
124 and lowest (6.08 ± 2.71 mg/l) at Bijimi and Ayetoro respectively.

125 **Table 1: Physico-chemical parameters in coastal waters of Ondo State**

Parameter	Stations		
	Olotu	Ayetoro	Bijimi
Temperature (°C)	27.91 ± 1.13^a	27.88 ± 1.26^a	28.48 ± 0.91^a
Turbidity (NTU)	52.04 ± 6.94^{ab}	53.86 ± 8.47^b	51.48 ± 7.76^a
pH	5.86 ± 0.23^b	5.66 ± 0.20^a	5.80 ± 0.25^{ab}
DO (mg/l)	7.42 ± 0.31^a	7.35 ± 0.64^a	7.30 ± 0.46^a
BOD (mg/l)	3.50 ± 1.48^a	3.34 ± 1.63^a	3.74 ± 1.15^a
Salinity (mg/l)	3.16 ± 0.67^a	3.31 ± 0.65^a	3.03 ± 0.70^a
COD (mg/l)	6.61 ± 2.57^a	6.08 ± 2.71^a	6.66 ± 1.52^a

126 Plankton Composition, Abundance and Biodiversity in Coastal Waters of Ondo State

127 The observed composition of plankton is presented in Table 2 which shows that 18 species from
128 15 families were recorded throughout the study. The phytoplankton community composed of 14
129 diatoms and 1 dinoflagellate while the zooplankton community was made up of fish embryo,
130 copepod naupli and odonata nymphs.

131 The abundance of plankton as shown in Table 3 reveals that *Coscinodiscus spp* had the most
132 abundance in the three stations with 19.05% (n=8) in Olotu, 17.65% (n=6) in Ayetoro and
133 15.38% (n=4) in Bijimi. The table also reveals that *Biddulphia mobiliensis* 9.52% (n=4), 5.88%

134 (n=2), 7.69% (n=2); *Copepod naupli* 4.76% (n=2), 5.88% (n=2), 7.69% (n=2); *Fish embryo*
 135 4.76% (n=2), 5.88% (n=2), 7.69% (n=2);
 136 (=2); *Biddulphia aurita* 7.14% (n=3), 8.82% (n=3), 3.85% (n=1); and *Skeletonema costatum*
 137 9.52% (n=4), 11.76% (n=4), 3.85% (n=1) were found in Olotu, Ayetoro and Bijimi accordingly.

138 The ecological indices including Margalef's diversity index (d), Pielou's measure of evenness
 139 (J') Shannon-Wiener index (H'), and the number of species (S) caught across the three stations
 140 are presented in Table 4. The number of species caught throughout the period of study ranged
 141 between 12 and 14 with the highest recorded at Bijimi and lowest in Ayetoro. The Margalef's
 142 diversity index (d) of the plankton ranged from 7.18 (Ayetoro) to 8.48 (Bijimi), while the
 143 Shannon-Wiener index (H_s) ranged from 2.36 (Ayetoro) to 2.50 (Olotu). The Pielou's measure of
 144 evenness (J') range from of 2.18 (Olotu) to 2.22 (Bijimi). The table also reveals that the primary
 145 productivity of the three stations were not significantly different from one another with the
 146 highest value (134.48 ± 15.27) recorded at Olotu while the lowest value (132.19 ± 13.48) was
 147 recorded at Ayetoro.

148 **Table 2: Composition of plankton caught in coastal water of Ondo state**

Species	Family	Type of Plankton
<i>Coscinodiscus sp</i>	Coscinodiscaceae	Phytoplankton (Diatom)
<i>Biddulphia mobiliensis</i>	Biddulphiales	Phytoplankton (Diatom)
<i>Biddulphia aurita</i>	Biddulphiales	Phytoplankton (Diatom)
<i>Skeletonema costatum</i>	Skeletonemataceae	Phytoplankton (Diatom)
<i>Ditylum brightwelli</i>	Lithodesmiaceae	Phytoplankton (Diatom)
<i>Odontella sp</i>	Eupodiscaceae	Phytoplankton (Diatom)
<i>Thalassiora sp</i>	Thalassiosiraceae	Phytoplankton (Diatom)
<i>Rhizosolenia</i>	Rhizosoleniaceae	Phytoplankton (Diatom)
<i>Pseudo-nitzschia</i>	Bacillariaceae	Phytoplankton (Diatom)
<i>Brachionus falcatus</i>	Branchionidae	Phytoplankton (Diatom)
<i>Brachionus quadridentatus</i>	Lepadellidae	Phytoplankton (Diatom)
<i>Lepadella patella</i>	Lithodesmiaceae	Phytoplankton (Diatom)
<i>Asplanchna brightwelli</i>	Lithodesmiaceae	Phytoplankton (Diatom)
<i>Filinia oponienses</i>	Trichoshaeridae	Phytoplankton (Diatom)
<i>Ceratium hirundinella sp</i>	Ceratiaceae	Phytoplankton (Dinoflagellate)
Copepod nauplii	Copepoda	Zooplankton
Fish embryo	Osteichthyes	Zooplankton
<i>Odonata nymphs</i>	-	Zooplankton

149

150

151 **Table 3: Number of Occurrence Index (% and n) of plankton in coastal waters of Ondo**
 152 **state**


SPECIES	Olotu		Ayetoro		Bijimi	
	NOI (%)	n	NOI (%)	N	NOI (%)	N
Copepod nauplii	4.76	2	5.88	2	7.69	2
<i>Coscinodiscus sp</i>	19.05	8	17.65	6	15.38	4
<i>Biddulphia mobiliensis sp</i>	9.52	4	5.88	2	7.69	2
Fish embryo	4.76	2	5.88	2	7.69	2
<i>Biddulphia aurita sp</i>	7.14	3	8.82	3	3.85	1
<i>Skeletonema costatum</i>	9.52	4	11.76	4	3.85	1
<i>Ditylum brightwelli</i>	0	0	5.88	2	7.69	2
<i>Odontella sp</i>	0	0	11.76	4	0.00	0
<i>Ceratium hirundinella sp</i>	0	0	2.94	1	0.00	0
<i>Thalassiora sp</i>	0	0	11.76	4	0.00	0
<i>Rhizosolenia</i>	2.38	1	2.94	1	0.00	0
<i>Pseudo-nitzschia</i>	7.14	3	8.82	3	0.00	0
<i>Brachionus falcatus</i>	4.76	2	0.00	0	7.69	2
<i>Brachionus quadridentatus</i>	11.9	5	0.00	0	11.54	3
<i>Lepadella patella</i>	4.76	2	0.00	0	7.69	2
<i>Asplanchna brightwelli</i>	4.76	2	0.00	0	3.85	1
<i>Filinia oponienses</i>	4.76	2	0.00	0	3.85	1
<i>Odonata nymphs</i>	4.76	2	0.00	0	11.54	3

153 **Table 4: Biodiversity Indices of plankton and Primary productivity in coastal waters of**
 154 **Ondo state**
 155

Biodiversity Index	Olotu	Ayetoro	Bijimi
Shannon-Wiener (H')	2.50 ^b	2.36 ^a	2.47 ^{ab}
Margalef's Index (d)	8.02 ^b	7.18 ^a	8.48 ^c
Pielou's measure of Evenness (J)	0.66 ^a	0.64 ^a	0.69 ^a
Number of species (S)	14.00 ^a	13.00 ^a	12.00 ^a
Primary productivity	133.91±9.79 ^a	132.19±13.48 ^a	134.48±15.27 ^a

156

157 The initial component matrix indicates that most physico-chemical parameters showed high
 158 values in the first principal component (PC1) which means that 38% of the total variance loads
 159 heavily on BOD (0.976), COD (0.957), salinity (0.971), turbidity (0.871) and DO (0.676). The
 160 second principal component (PC2) which accounts for 30% of the total variance, exhibited
 161 elevated loadings for Shannon-Wiener Index (0.679), Margalef's Index (0.293), Evenness Index
 162 (0.558), pH (0.877) and Temperature (0.744) as shown in Figure 1 and Table 5.
 163



164
 165 **Figure 1: Relationship between Plankton and Water quality of the coastal waters of Ondo**
 166 **State Nigeria**

167 **Table 5: Principal Components Analysis of Plankton and Physico-Chemical properties of**
 168 **the coastal waters of Ondo state, Nigeria**

	Component	
	PC1	PC2
Shannon Wiener Index	-0.201	0.679
Margalef's Diversity Index	0.09	-0.293
Evenness Index	0.037	-0.558
Species (Taxa)	-0.069	0.888
Temperature	-0.258	-0.744
Turbidity	0.871	-0.197
pH	0.038	0.877
DO	0.676	0.468
BOD	0.976	-0.12
Salinity	-0.971	-0.036
COD	0.957	-0.046
% Variance	38	30
Eigen value	4.15	3.24

169 DISCUSSION

170 Temperature which is the most important physical variable affecting the metabolic rate of living
171 organisms in the aquatic environment was within the optimal water temperatures of 18°C –
172 38°C, for optimum production and growth of planktons and fish in water bodies (Begum *et al.*,
173 2003). The result was also similar to previous findings (Adebowale *et al.*, 2008; Ajibare 2014;
174 Bolarinwa *et al.*, 2016 and Olawusi-Peters and Akinola 2017) in the Coastal waters of Ondo
175 State. The water pH and turbidity values were significantly different across the stations and
176 could be associated with the presence of suspended particles due to sewage discharges, acid
177 runoffs and other human-mediated activities such as dredging and other activities in and around
178 the study area. Moreover, the pH was in consonance with the report of Onyema and Ojo (2008),
179 Nkwoji, (2010); Abowei (2010) and Ajibare (2014) in the Niger Delta region.

180 The salinity of this study reveals a brackish environment with no significant difference across the
181 stations. This supports the distribution, abundance, growth and diversity of planktons and aquatic
182 organisms that requires stable salinity for survival. The DO concentrations obtained across the
183 stations supports the relationship between BOD and COD. High DO and Low BOD values are
184 mainly due to higher algal productivity, along with increased solubility of oxygen at low
185 temperatures (Ajibare, 2014) as observed in this study. The observed COD were higher when
186 compared to BOD, signifying that the study area was highly affected by organic matter which
187 comes from dead and decayed plant and animals in the ecosystem. These also clearly indicates
188 that the areas receive high amount of sewage waste containing high level of organic matter that
189 supports the growth of planktons with adverse effect on aquatic ecosystem.

190 The composition, species richness, abundance and diversity indices revealed 18 different species
191 across the stations which ranged from 12 to 14 per station. This shows that the study area was
192 relatively rich in the assemblage of plankton. The Pielou's measure of evenness showed that the
193 three stations had low dominance of a single-species because the values were closer to one (1)
194 than zero (0). The Shannon-Weiner index (H') also suggests a generally high diversity and
195 similarity among the plankton communities in the study area and this is buttressed by the non-
196 variation of the indices across the stations. However, the differences in the level of
197 anthropogenic activities/pollution in the communities may be responsible for the slight variation
198 obtained in the Margalef's diversity as it was also observed by Olawusi-Peters and Ajibare
199 (2014) who worked on the species richness and abundance of the coastal waters of Ondo State.

200 The percentage composition/Number of Occurrence Index (%) of plankton's families at the three
201 different stations showed that Coscinodiscaceae (*Coscinodiscus sp*) dominated the plankton
202 groups, followed by Skeletonemataceae (*Skeletonema costatum*), Biddulphiales (*Biddulphia*
203 *mobiliensis* and *Biddulphia aurita*), Lepadellidae (*Brachionus quadridentatus*), Osteichthyes
204 (Fish embryo), Bacillariaceae (*Pseudo-nitzschia*), and the least represented family was
205 Ceratiaceae (*Ceratium hirundinella sp*). The dominance of family Coscinodiscaceae across the
206 stations was similar to the findings of Varadharajan and Soundarapandian, (2015). Diatoms were
207 dominant in the plankton collection and could be as a result of their ability to tolerate wide
208 geographical and climatic conditions (Balogun and Ajani, 2015). In addition, diatoms are
209 considered euryhaline and eurythermal species as they grow and are widely distributed under
210 marine conditions (Emmanuel and Onyema, 2007). The trend of dominance in plankton
211 composition across the stations followed the order Bijimi<Ayetoro<Olotu. The high diversity

212 and population of plankton in Olotu could be adduced to high tidal influx, organic production
213 and nutrient availability in the area.

214 According to Adirondack Ecologists (2010), the species abundance and composition of
215 phytoplankton have significant effects on both the water quality and clarity of aquatic
216 ecosystems. The eighteen species and fifteen families of phytoplankton caught in this study
217 revealed the area to be an area of high primary productivity, where the rate of photosynthesis is
218 relatively high. This is in line with the report of Offem *et al.*, (2011) who stated that
219 phytoplankton carry out most of the primary productivity that takes place in the marine/brackish
220 environment eventhough other marine plants (e.g. algae) contribute to primary productivity. This
221 research also compares favourably with the reports of Balogun and Ajani (2015) who worked on
222 the coastal waters of Lagos state and tidal creeks of south-west Nigeria.

223 The analysis of the relationship between plankton and physicochemical properties reveals that
224 BOD, COD, salinity, turbidity and DO were highly correlated and had 38% influence on the
225 entire aquatic ecosystem. Similarly, diversity indices (Shannon-Wiener Index, Margalef's Index
226 and Evenness Index) correlated significantly with pH and Temperature to have 30% influence on
227 the health of the waterbody. This shows that the composition, distribution and diversity of
228 plankton are influenced by the physicochemical parameters of the aquatic ecosystem.

229 CONCLUSION

230 This study revealed that the physico-chemical parameters fall within acceptable range and it
231 directly influences the occurrence, growth, diversity and distribution of plankton in the study
232 area. The composition of plankton indicates suitability of the environment as habitat and
233 breeding ground for diverse aquatic species. The study also revealed that BOD, COD, salinity,
234 turbidity, DO, pH and temperature were major determinant of the composition, abundance and
235 diversity of plankton. The variation in the plankton groups reflects the locational dynamics and
236 the impact of human activities or/and pollution on the water quality. Thus, proper and continuous
237 monitoring of the coastal waters of Ondo State is recommended in order to safeguard the entire
238 ecosystem from collapse as well as contribute to the survival and growth of aquatic organisms.

239 REFERENCES

- 240 Abdus-Salam, N., Adekola F.A. and Apata A.O. (2010): A Physicochemical Assessment of
241 Water quality of oil producing areas of Ilaje, Nigeria. *Advances in Natural and Applied*
242 *Sciences*, 4(3): 333-344.
- 243 Abowei, J.F.N. (2010). Salinity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH and Surface Water Temperature
244 Conditions in Nkoro River, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Advance Journal of Food Science and*
245 *Technology*, 2(1): 30 -40.
- 246 Adebowale, K.O., Agunbiade, F.O. and Olu-Owolabi, B.I. (2008). Impacts of natural and
247 anthropogenic multiple source of pollution on the environmental conditions of Ondo
248 State coastal water, Nigeria. *Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Food Chemistry*.
249 7(4): 2798-2810.
- 250 Adeparusi, E.O., Ajibefun, A.I. and Akeremale, E.O. (2003). Smoke-Curing of Fish by Artisanal
251 Fisher Folks in Ilaje, Ondo State, Nigeria. UNAA. ASSET Ser. A.; 3(4):101-109.

- 252 Adirondack Ecologists, L.L.C. (2010). Schroon Lake Phytoplankton Monitoring Project.
 253 Schroon Lake Association, New York, USA.
- 254 Ajibare, A.O. (2014). Assessment of physico-chemical parameters of waters in Ilaje Local
 255 Government Area of Ondo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic*
 256 *Studies*. 1(5): 84-92.
- 257 Akegbejo-Samson, Y. (1995). Ecology of the Fisheries Resources of Coastal Wetlands of Ondo
 258 State and Its Management Implications. Ph.D. Thesis, Federal University of Technology,
 259 Akure, 297.
- 260 APHA. (1998). Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water. 20 edition,
 261 American Public Health Association, Washington D.C. 1-47 pp.
- 262 Asaolu, S.S. (1998). Chemical pollution studies of coastal waters of Ondo State. *Doctor of*
 263 *Philosophy (Ph. D) Thesis*. Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. Pp: 15-17.
- 264 Balogun, K. J. and Ajani, E. K. (2015). Spatial and temporal variations of Phytoplankton
 265 pigments, Nutrients and Primary productivity in water column of Badagry Creek,
 266 Nigeria. *American Journal of Research Communication*. 3(7): 157-172
- 267 Bayode, O.J., Adewunmi, E.A. and Odunwole, S. (2011). Environmental Implications of Oil
 268 Exploration and Exploitation in the Coastal region of Ondo State, Nigeria: A regional
 269 planning appraisal. *Journal of Geographical and Regional Planning*. 4(3):110-121.
- 270 Begum, M., Hossain, M.Y., Wahab, M.A. and Kohinoor, A.H.M. (2003). Effects of iso-
 271 phosphorus fertilizers on water quality and biological productivity in fish pond. *Journal*
 272 *of Aquaculture in Tropics*. 18: 1-12
- 273 Benson, N. U., Essien, J. P. and Bassey, D. E. (2007). Hydrobiological constraints of trace
 274 metals in surface water, coastal sediment and water lily of Calabar River, Nigeria.
 275 *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 6 (20): 2358-2362.
- 276 Bolarinwa, J. B., Fasakin, E. A. and Fagbenro, A. O. (2016). Physicochemical analysis of the
 277 coastal waters of Ondo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Research in Agriculture*
 278 *and Forestry*. 3(11): 13-20.
- 279 Boyd, C. E. (1979) Water quality in warm water fish pond. Auburn University Agricultural
 280 Experimental Station, Auburn, Alabama, USA
- 281 Boyd, C.E. (1982). Water Quality Management for Pond Fish Culture. 1st Edn., Elsevier
 282 Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam, Oxford, New York, Pages: 318.
- 283 Cako, V., Baci, S. and Shena, M. (2013). Water Turbidity as One of the Trophic State Indices in
 284 Butrinti Lake. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*. 5: 1144-1148
- 285 Daramola, J.O., Adekunle, M.F., Olaniyi, M.O. and Alayaki, F.M. (2009). Diagnostic survey
 286 report of Ondo State agricultural production. Institute of Food Security, Environmental
 287 Resources and Agricultural Research, University of Agriculture, Abeokuta. P: 1-171
- 288 Emmanuel, B E, and Onyema, I.C. (2007): The Plankton and Fishes of a Tropical Creek in South
 289 – western Nigeria. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*. 7 (2):105 – 113
- 290 Gharib, S., Radwan, Ahmed. And Abdel-Haim, A. (2011). Phytoplankton and environmental
 291 variables as a water quality indicator for the beaches at Matrouh, south-eastern
 292 Mediterranean Sea, Egypt: An assessment. *Oceanologia*. 53(3): 820-838
- 293 Harris, J.M. and Vinobaba, P. (2012). Impact of Water Quality on Species Composition and
 294 Seasonal Fluctuation of Planktons of Batticaloa Lagoon, Sri Lanka. *Journal of Ecosystem*
 295 *Ecography* 2:4-12

296 Imoobe T.O.T. (2011). Diversity and Seasonal Variation of Zooplankton in Okhuo River, a
 297 Tropical Forest River in Edo State, Nigeria, *Centrepoint Journal (Science Edition)*. 17(1):
 298 37-51.

299 Margalef, R. (1968). *Perspective in Ecological Theory*, University of Chicago Press. Pp: 112.

300 Nkwoji J.A., Yakubu A., Ajani G.F., Balogun K.J., Renner K.O. and Igbo J.K. (2010): Seasonal
 301 variations in water chemistry and benthic macro invertebrates of a South Western
 302 Lagoon, Lagos, Nigeria. *Journal of Amplified Science*. 6(3):85-92.

303 Offem, B.O., Ayotunde, E.O., Ikpi, G.U., Ada F.B. and Ochang S.N (2011): Plankton-Based
 304 Assessment of the Trophic State of Three Tropical Lakes. *Journal of Environmental*
 305 *Protection*. 2: 304-315.

306 Olawusi Peters, O. and Akinola, J. O. (2017). Assessment of Heavy metals concentration in
 307 some coastal waters of Ondo state, Nigeria. Proceedings of the 5th annual Conference
 308 School of Sciences, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria.
 309 SOS/CS/002; 175-184.

310 Olawusi-Peters, O. O., Akinola, J. O. and Jelili, A. O. (2017). Assessment of Heavy Metal
 311 Pollution in Water, Shrimps and Sediments of Some Selected Water Bodies in Ondo
 312 State. *Journal of Researches in Agricultural Sciences*. 5(2): 55-66

313 Olawusi-Peters, O.O. and Ajibare, A.O (2014). Species richness, diversity and abundance of
 314 some Decapod Crustaceans In coastal waters of Ondo State, South West, Nigeria.
 315 *International Journal of Fauna and Biological Studies*. 1 (5): 44-51.

316 Olawusi-Peters, O.O., Ajibare A.O. and Bello-Olusoji, O.A. (2014). Length-weight relationship
 317 and condition factor of shrimps in coastal waters of Ondo state, South West, Nigeria.
 318 *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies*. 1(3):137-142.

319 Onyema, I.C. and Ojo, A.A. (2008). The zooplankton and phytoplankton biomass in a tropical
 320 creek, in relation to water quality indices. 5 (4): 75-82,

321 Pielou, E.C. (1966): Species diversity and pattern diversity in the study of ecological succession.
 322 *Journal of Theoretical Biology*. 10:370–383.

323 Shannon CE, Weaver W. (1963). *The mathematical theory of communication*. University Illinois
 324 Press, Urbana, IL.

325 Smith D, Johnson K.B and Kendall-Hunt (1996). *A Guide to Marine Coastal Plankton*, rev.

326 UNESCO. (1978). *Phytoplankton manual*: ISBN 92-3-101572-9

327 Varadharajan, D. and Soundarapandian, P. (2015). Biodiversity and Abundance of
 328 Phytoplankton from Muthupettai Mangrove Region, South East Coast of India. *Journal of*
 329 *Aquaculture Research and Development* 6:383-389

330 Yamaguchi, E. and Gould, A. (2007). *Phytoplankton Identification Guide*. The University of
 331 Georgia Marine Education Center and Aquarium.

332