

IDENTIFICATION OF ECONOMICALLY VIABLE CROPPING SYSTEMS FOR VERTISOLS OF NORTHERN TELANGANA ZONE

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during 2016-17 at AICRP on Integrated Farming Systems, Regional Sugarcane and Rice Research Station, Rudrur to diversify existing rice-rice cropping system with less water requiring crops under irrigated dry conditions for vertisols of Northern Telangana Zone. The experiment was laid out with twelve cropping systems as treatments in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. The twelve combinations of cropping systems tested during *kharif* and *rabi* seasons were rice – rice (check), maize + soybean(2:4) – tomato, maize + soybean (2:4) - rice, maize - sunflower + chickpea (2:4), maize - chickpea, *Bt* cotton + soybean (1:2) on broadbed – sesame + groundnut(2:4), *Bt* cotton - sesame + blackgram (2:4), soybean – wheat, soybean – sunflower + chickpea (2:4), turmeric – sesame, turmeric + soybean (1:2) on flat bed – bajra and turmeric + soybean (1:2) on broadbed – sesame + blackgram (2:4).

On system basis, significantly higher productivity in terms of rice equivalent yield (REY) of 23830 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded with turmeric+soybean(1:2)BBF–sesame+blackgram(2:4) turmeric – sesame cropping sequence. However it was on par with turmeric – sesame and turmeric + soybean (1:2) on flat bed – bajra crop sequence with productivity of 23332 kg ha⁻¹ and 21389 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. Lower productivity was recorded with rice-rice cropping system (10725 kg ha⁻¹). Significantly higher system net returns were recorded with *Bt*. cotton – sesame + black gram (2:4) on BBF (Rs222838 ha⁻¹) closely followed by *Bt* Cotton + Soybean(1:2) (BBF) - Sesamum + Groundnut (2:4) (Rs221160 ha⁻¹) and Maize+soybean (2:4) –tomato (Rs212909 ha⁻¹). Lower system net returns were recorded in conventional rice-rice system (Rs88179 ha⁻¹). *Bt*. cotton – sesame + black gram (2:4) and *Bt* Cotton + Soybean(1:2) (BBF)- Sesamum + Groundnut ((2:4) and Maize+soybean (2:4) –tomato were economically superior with REE of 152.71%, 150.81% and 141.45%. Rice- Rice cropping adopted by majority of farmers is less productive and economically inferior indicating wider scope of diversifying existing rice- rice cropping system with high productive, economically viable cropping systems in vertisols of Northern Telangana Zone.

Key word: *Cropping systems, system productivity, net returns, production efficiency, economic efficiency*

Introduction

Rice followed by rice is the predominant cropping followed in vertisols of Northern Telangana Zone, Telangana, India . Continuous cultivation of rice for longer periods with low system productivity, and often with poor crop management practices, results in loss of soil fertility due to emergence of multiple nutrient deficiency (Fujisaka et al., 1994; Singh and Singh, 1995; Dwivedi et al., 2001) and deterioration of soil physical properties (Tripathi, 1992), and decline in factor productivity and crop yields in high productivity areas (Yadav, 1998). During cultivation of rice, soil undergoes drastic changes, i.e. aerobic to anaerobic environment, leading to several physical and electro-chemical transformations. Crop diversification shows lot of promises in alleviating these problems besides, fulfilling basic needs for cereals, pulses, oilseeds and vegetables and, regulating farm income, withstanding weather aberrations, controlling price fluctuation, ensuring balanced food supply, conserving natural resources, reducing the chemical fertilizer and pesticide loads, ensuring environmental safety and creating employment opportunity (Gill and Ahlawat, 2006) . Rice, which is high water requiring crop is affected due to less water availability and frequent dry spells during cropping season due to precarious rainfall situation for past few years coupled with depleting ground water resources. Avoiding resource depleting crop and identifying most productive, remunerative crops with less water requirement is necessary. Cropping system is one of the very important tool to augment the agricultural production. The approach involves sequential as well as intercropping and mixed cropping system aimed at efficient utilization of natural and man made resources of production.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during 2016-17 at AICRP on Integrated Farming Systems, Regional Sugarcane and Rice Research Station, Rudrur to diversify existing rice-rice cropping system with less water requiring crops under irrigated dry conditions for vertisols of Northern Telangana Zone. The experiment was laid out with twelve cropping systems as treatments in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. The twelve combinations of cropping systems tested during *kharif* and *rabi* seasons were rice – rice (check), maize + soybean(2:4) – tomato, maize + soybean (2:4) - rice, maize - sunflower + chickpea (2:4), maize - chickpea, *Bt* cotton + soybean (1:2) on broadbed – sesame + groundnut(2:4), *Bt* cotton - sesame + blackgram (2:4), soybean – wheat, soybean – sunflower + chickpea (2:4), turmeric – sesame, turmeric + soybean (1:2) on flat bed – bajra and turmeric + soybean (1:2) on broadbed – sesame + blackgram (2:4).

All *kharif* crops were sown during last week of June after receipt of wetting rainfall and following sequence crops during *rabi* were taken up as and when the preceding *kharif* crops were harvested in the respective plots. Economic yield and stover/straw/stalk yield were recorded individually for all the crops in cropping systems. For comparison of different crop sequences, the yields of all the crops were converted in to rice equivalent yield on price basis. Nutrient removal by different cropping sequences was worked out by estimating the nutrient concentrations (N, P and K) in grain and straw of crops. To understand the impact of various cropping systems on soil fertility, post-harvest soil was analysed for pH, EC, organic carbon and available N, P and K status by following the standard procedures (Jackson, 1973)

Results

Productivity and economics of crops and cropping systems

The performance of different crops in terms of riceequivalent yield (REY) during *kharif*, 2016 indicated that higher productivity in terms of rice equivalent yield (20898 kg ha^{-1}) was recorded with sole turmeric However it was found to be at par with turmeric +soybean(1:2) on flat bed (18999 kg ha^{-1}) and turmeric +soybean(1:2) on broad bed(BBF)(18967 kg ha^{-1}) The lowest productivity was recorded with rice (5489 kg ha^{-1}) (Table1). Net returns from sole turmeric were higher (Rs 187200 ha^{-1}) on par with sole *Bt* Cotton (Rs 177259 ha^{-1}) closely followed by turmeric +soybean(1:2) on broad bed (Rs 160578 ha^{-1}).Due to higer productivity recorded with turmeric net returns were also higher any how lower cost of production with *Bt* cotton compared to turmeric has contributed for higher net returns from sole *Bt*.cotton.

During rabi 2016, tomato crop raised after maize+ soybean(2:4), recorded significantly highest REY of 11285 kg ha⁻¹ over other tested crops or cropping systems. It was closely followed by Sunflower + Chickpea(2:4) raised after sole maize (7467kg ha⁻¹), Sunflower + Chickpea(2:4) raised after sole soybean (7438kg ha⁻¹) and Sesame + Groundnut (2:4) raised after Bt.cotton +soybean(1:2) on BBF (7386 kg ha⁻¹). Tomato being nontraditional crop provides excellent opportunities in raising the income of the farmers as it has capacity to yield 5-10 times more than cereals. Profitability in terms of net returns was significantly higher for tomato raised after maize+ soybean (2:4) (Rs120890 ha⁻¹) followed by Sesame + Groundnut (2:4) raised after Bt.cotton +soybean(1:2) (BBF) (Rs79424 ha⁻¹),Sunflower + Chickpea (2:4) raised after sole maize(Rs78766 ha⁻¹) and Sunflower + Chickpea (2:4) raised after soybean (Rs78342 ha⁻¹) over other cropping systems. Bajra raised after turmeric+soybean (1:2) and sesame raised after turmeric were less productive with REY of 2390 kg ha⁻¹ and 2434 kg ha⁻¹ and net returns of Rs13629 ha⁻¹ and Rs 12030 ha⁻¹ respectively.

On system basis, significantly higher productivity in terms of rice equivalent yield (REY) of 23830 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded with turmeric+soybean(1:2)BBF–sesame+blackgram(2:4) turmeric – sesame cropping sequence. However it was on par with turmeric – sesame and turmeric + soybean (1:2) on flat bed – bajra crop sequence with productivity of 23332 kg ha⁻¹ and 21389 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. Lower productivity was recorded with rice-rice cropping system (10725 kg ha⁻¹). Significantly higher system net returns were recorded with Bt. cotton – sesame + black gram (2:4) on BBF (Rs222838 ha⁻¹) closely followed by Bt Cotton + Soybean(1:2) (BBF) - Sesamum + Groundnut (2:4) (Rs221160 ha⁻¹) and Maize+soybean (2:4)–tomato (Rs212909 ha⁻¹). Kumar et al. (2008) also reported that inclusion of vegetable crops in rice- based crop sequences improved the net returns. Growing vegetable crops during summer in areas with assured irrigation facilities is economically remunerative as supply of vegetables from rainfed areas is drastically reduced during summer and vegetable prices soar up. Therefore, excess of vegetables produced can be transported in areas of high demand. Lower system net returns were recorded in conventional rice-rice system (Rs88179 ha⁻¹).

Nutrient uptake

Nutrient uptake by various crops and cropping systems varied significantly during kharif and rabi (Table 3). All the systems that have turmeric or Bt cotton or soybean as component crop in the cropping system removed more nitrogen over other crops. cropping systems Turmeric + Soybean (1:2 on flat bed)(240.70 kg ha⁻¹) and Turmeric + Soybean (1:2 on Broad bed)

(216.53 kg ha⁻¹) removed significantly higher nitrogen and were on par with sole Bt.cotton (176.93 kg ha⁻¹) and Bt Cotton + Soybean (1:2 on BBF)(169.70 kg ha⁻¹). Significantly higher phosphorus uptake was with maize+soybean(1:2)57.57 kg ha⁻¹ and 52.35 kg ha⁻¹. Significantly higher potassium uptake was with maize+soybean(1:2)163.49 kg ha⁻¹ and 152.15 kg ha⁻¹ on par with Turmeric + Soybean (1:2 on flat bed)(146.16 kg ha⁻¹) and Turmeric + Soybean (1:2 on Broad bed) (141.88 kg ha⁻¹). During rabi, sunflower+chickpea (2:4) raised after sole maize and sunflower+chickpea (2:4) raised after sole soybean removed significantly higher nitrogen 120.53 kg ha⁻¹ , 117.03 kg ha⁻¹ respectively followed by sesame+groundnut (2:4) raised after Bt.cotton+soybean(1:2) on BBF (106.03 kg ha⁻¹) and tomato raised after maize+soybean(1:2) and 104.36 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. Potassium uptake was significantly higher with tomato 178.83 kg ha⁻¹ followed by Bajra raised after turmeric+soybean(1:2) 98.72 kg ha⁻¹. On system basis, significantly higher nitrogen uptake was recorded with Turmeric + Soybean (1:2 on flat bed)-Bajra cropping system(295.59 kg ha⁻¹). However it was on par with other cropping systems Turmeric + Soybean (BBF)(1:2)- Sesame + Black gram (2:4), Bt Cotton + Soybean (BBF)(1:2)- Sesame + Groundnut (2:4), Soybean- Sunflower + Chickpea(2:4) with uptake of 279.70 kg ha⁻¹, 275.73 kg ha⁻¹, 275.08 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. Phosphorus uptake was significantly higher with Maize+Soybean (1:2) –Tomato (121.75 kg ha⁻¹) followed by Maize+Soybean (1:2)-rice (72.28 kg ha⁻¹). Cropping system Maize+Soybean (1:2) –Tomato also recorded significantly higher potassium uptake (121.75 kg ha⁻¹) followed by Turmeric + Soybean(1:2)on flat bed-Bajra cropping system 244.88 kg ha⁻¹.

Soil fertility

The soil pH, EC, OC and available nutrient status (nitrogen ,phosphorus and potassium) values after the sequences differed significantly at the end of crop sequence .No significant changes were observed for EC values , however slight changes were observed between cropping sequences for pH and OC. Rice-rice cropping sequence soil pH was just above the acidic range 6.78 but in other cropping systems it was above 7.1 more favourable for crop growth. Inclusion of legumes in cropping sequence has increased soil organic carbon and soil available nitrogen and phosphorus over rice-rice cropping system. Trend in available soil potassium was not clear but cropping system Turmeric + Soybean(1:2) on flat bed – bajra and Maize + Soybean(2:4)-tomato recorded higher values of 431.3 kg ha⁻¹ and 422.0 kg ha⁻¹.

Discussion

Among twelve cropping systems studied, except soybean –wheat, maize –chickpea and maize +soybean (2:4) cropping systems relative production efficiency (RPE) of all cropping

systems was more than 20 indicating better performance of these cropping systems over existing rice-rice cropping system. Higher RPE values were recorded with cropping system turmeric + soybean (1:2)(BBF)- Sesamum + blackgram (2:4) (122.19%) followed by turmeric-sesame(117.55%). Economic evaluation of cropping systems in terms of relative economic efficiency (REE) revealed that cotton based cropping systems were superior which may be attributed to lower cost of production compared to turmeric. This was due to increased proportion of net returns in relation to cost of cultivation. Similar results were also observed by Singh et al. (2011).Bt. cotton – sesame + black gram (2:4) and Bt Cotton + Soybean(1:2) (BBF)- Sesamum + Groundnut ((2:4) and Maize+soybean (2:4)–tomato were economically superior with REE of 152.71%, 150.81% and 141.45%. Rice- Rice cropping adopted by majority of farmers is less productive and economically inferior indicating wider scope of diversifying existing rice- rice cropping system with high productive, economically viable cropping systems in vertisols of Northern Telangana Zone making agriculture a viable enterprise.

Literature cited:

- Dwivedi ,B.S., Shukla, A.K., Singh ,V.K. and Yadav, R.L. 2001. Results of participatory diagnosis of constraints and opportunities (PDCO) based trials from the state of Uttar Pradesh. In: Subba Rao, A., Srivastava, S. (Eds.), Development of Farmers' Resource-Based Integrated Plant Nutrient Supply Systems: Experience of a FAO–ICAR–IFFCO Collaborative Project and AICRP on Soil Test Crop Response Correlation. IISS, Bhopal, India, pp. 50–75.
- Fujisaka ,S., Harrington ,L. and Hobbs, P. 1994. Rice–wheat in South Asia: systems and long-term priorities established through diagnostic research. *Agric. Syst.* 46:169–187.
- Singh J, Singh JP. 1995. Land degradation and economic sustainability. *Ecol. Econ.* 15: 77–86.
- Gill, M.S. and Ahlawat ,I.P.S. 2006. Crop diversification - its role towards sustainability and profitability. *Indian Journal of Fertilizers* 2 (9): 125-138, 150
- Jackson, M. L. 1973. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India, Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. *Physiology.* 10: 400-403.
- Kumar Alok, Tripathi HP, Yadav RA and Yadav, SR 2008. Diversification of rice (*Oryza sativa*) - wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system for sustainable production in eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of agronomy* 53(1): 18-21.
- Singh Ummed, Singh, S. R., Saad ,A. A., Khanday, B .A. and Singh, J .K. 2011. Yield advantage, reciprocity functions and energy budgeting of lentil (*Lens culinaris*) + oat (*Avena*

sativa) intercropping under varying row ratio and phosphorus management. The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 81 (3) :219–25

Tripathi, R.P. 1992. Physical properties and tillage of rice soils in rice–wheat system. In: Pandey, R.K., Dwivedi, B.S., Sharma, A.K. (Eds.), Rice–wheat Cropping System. PDCSR, Modipuram, India: 53–67.

Yadav, R.L. 1998. Factor productivity trends in a rice–wheat cropping system under long-term use of chemical fertilizers. Exp. Agric. 34: 1–18.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1 : Productivity of crops under different cropping systems

| Treatments | | Kharif | | | | Rabi | | | | Rice Equivalent Yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | Productivity | | | Relative Productive Efficiency RPE(%) |
|--------------------|------|------------------------------------|---------------|--|---------------|------------------------------------|--------------|--|---------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| Kharif | Rabi | Grain yield (Kg ha ⁻¹) | | Straw/ Stover yield (Kg ha ⁻¹) | | Grain yield (Kg ha ⁻¹) | | Straw/Stalk/ Stover yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | | Kharif | | Rabi | | (REY -kg ha ⁻¹) | | | |
| | | Main Crop | Inter Crop | Main Crop | Inter Crop | Main Crop | Inter Crop | Main Crop | Inter Crop | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw | Kharif | Rabi | System | |
| T1 | T1 | 4297 | 0 | 4756 | 0 | 4286 | - | 3979 | - | 4842 | 647 | 4830 | 406 | 5489 | 5236 | 10725 | |
| T2 | T2 | 5125 | 0 | 7639 | 0 | 1843 | 898 | 2730 | 3142 | 4759 | 1039 | 7396 | 71 | 5798 | 7467 | 13265 | 23.68 |
| T3 | T3 | 5750 | 650 | 6458 | 780 | 4337 | - | 3847 | - | 6566 | 932 | 4337 | 393 | 7498 | 4730 | 12228 | 14.01 |
| T4 | T4 | 5148 | 0 | 9782 | 0 | 591 | 875 | 845 | 3088 | 14569 | 0 | 4986 | 70 | 14569 | 5056 | 19836 | 82.98 |
| T5 | T5 | 2290 | 0 | 2702 | 0 | 1814 | 917 | 2687 | 3028 | 4323 | 184 | 7370 | 69 | 5507 | 7438 | 11967 | 20.70 |
| T6 | T6 | 2242 | 1208 | 4259 | 1450 | 584 | 1758 | 893 | 5329 | 8624 | 99 | 7033 | 353 | 12822 | 7386 | 20215 | 88.42 |
| T7 | T7 | 2583 | 0 | 2832 | 0 | 4374 | - | 1821 | - | 4876 | 193 | 4835 | 186 | 9102 | 5021 | 14123 | 31.68 |
| T8 | T8 | 5120 | 0 | 4978 | 0 | 701 | - | 1085 | - | 20898 | 0 | 2434 | 0 | 20898 | 2434 | 23332 | 117.55 |
| T9 | T9 | 5085 | 0 | 8112 | 0 | 1924 | - | 2340 | - | 4722 | 1104 | 5235 | 159 | 5825 | 5395 | 11220 | 4.62 |
| T10 | T10 | 3890 | 1585 | 3255 | 1902 | 2394 | - | 3289 | - | 18870 | 129 | 2166 | 224 | 18999 | 2390 | 21389 | 99.43 |
| T11 | T11 | 5650 | 715 | 7778 | 858 | 16589 | - | 2401 | - | 6596 | 1117 | 11285 | 0 | 7713 | 11285 | 18998 | 77.14 |
| T12 | T12 | 4010 | 1320 | 3886 | 1584 | 610 | 801 | 1084 | 2794 | 18859 | 108 | 4799 | 63 | 18967 | 4863 | 23830 | 122.19 |
| S Em± | | 226.63 | 242.15 | 270.09 | 149.81 | 171.13 | 31.82 | 46.27 | 101.76 | 342.26 | 18.21 | 171.13 | 31.82 | 306.91 | 156.19 | 336.44 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | | 668.97 | 714.80 | 797.27 | 442.22 | 505.15 | 93.25 | 137.04 | 34.46 | 1010.30 | 53.75 | 505.15 | 93.25 | 905.95 | 461.06 | 993.10 | |

Kharif Treatments

- Rice
- Maize
- T3 Maize + Soybean
- T4 Bt Cotton
- T5 Soybean
- T6 Bt Cotton + Soybean (BBF)
- T7 Soybean
- T8 Turmeric
- T9 Maize
- T10 Turmeric + Soybean
- T11 Maize + Soybean
- T12 Turmeric + Soybean (BBF)

Rabi Treatments

- T1 Rice
- T2 Sunflower + Chickpea
- T3 Rice
- T4 Sesamum + Blackgram
- T5 Sunflower + Chickpea
- T6 Sesamum + Groundnut (BBF)
- T7 Wheat
- T8 Sesamum
- T9 Chickpea
- T10 Bajra
- T11 Tomato
- T12 Sesamum + Blackgram (BBF)

Table 2: Economics of different cropping systems

| Treatment | | Kharif | | | | Rabi | | | | System Net returns | | Relative Economic Efficiency REE(%) |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|----------------------|-------------------------|---|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| Kharif | Rabi | Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha ⁻¹) | Gross returns (Rs. ha ⁻¹) | Net returns | | Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha ⁻¹) | Gross returns (Rs. ha ⁻¹) | Net returns | | Rs. ha ⁻¹ | Rs. Re ⁻¹ | |
| | | | | Rs. ha ⁻¹ | Rs. Re ⁻¹ | | | Rs. ha ⁻¹ | Rs. Re ⁻¹ | | | |
| Rice | Rice | 45000 | 72663 | 27663 | 0.54 | 48000 | 68973 | 20973 | 0.44 | 88179 | 0.46 | - |
| Maize | Sunflower + Chickpea(2:4) | 30000 | 85235 | 55235 | 1.54 | 31000 | 109766 | 78766 | 2.54 | 134001 | 1.74 | 51.96 |
| Maize + Soybean(2:4) | Rice | 35500 | 109440 | 73940 | 1.54 | 48000 | 69818 | 21818 | 0.45 | 95759 | 0.92 | 8.60 |
| Bt Cotton | Sesame + Black gram(2:4) | 58750 | 217259 | 177259 | 3.27 | 28750 | 74329 | 45579 | 1.59 | 222838 | 2.43 | 152.71 |
| Soybean | Sunflower + Chickpea | 27500 | 66576 | 39076 | 1.05 | 31000 | 109342 | 78342 | 2.53 | 117418 | 1.49 | 33.16 |
| Bt Cotton + Soybean (BBF)(1:2) | Sesame + Groundnut (2:4) | 46750 | 188486 | 141736 | 2.16 | 29250 | 108674 | 79424 | 2.72 | 221160 | 2.13 | 150.81 |
| Soybean | Wheat | 27500 | 133795 | 106295 | 2.93 | 28550 | 73809 | 51259 | 2.27 | 157554 | 1.81 | 78.68 |
| Turmeric | Sesame | 120000 | 307200 | 187200 | 1.25 | 23750 | 35780 | 12030 | 0.51 | 199230 | 1.11 | 125.94 |
| Maize | Chickpea | 30000 | 85629 | 55629 | 1.48 | 22550 | 79300 | 56750 | 2.52 | 112379 | 1.67 | 27.44 |
| Turmeric + Soybean(1:2) | Bajra | 125500 | 270030 | 144530 | 0.95 | 21500 | 35129 | 13629 | 0.63 | 158159 | 0.90 | 79.36 |
| Maize + Soybean | Tomato | 35500 | 112519 | 77019 | 1.60 | 45000 | 165890 | 120890 | 2.69 | 212909 | 2.39 | 141.45 |
| Turmeric + Soybean (BBF)(1:2) | Sesame + Black gram (2:4) | 126800 | 287378 | 160578 | 1.03 | 28750 | 71481 | 42731 | 1.49 | 203309 | 1.06 | 130.56 |
| S Em+ | | - | - | 4990 | 0.36 | - | - | 2675 | 0.089 | 5,206 | 0.28 | - |
| CD (P=0.05) | | - | - | 14729 | 1.06 | - | - | 7895 | 0.262 | 15,367 | 0.83 | - |

Table.3 Nutrient uptake (Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium (kg ha⁻¹) by different cropping systems

| Cropping system | | Kharif | | | Rabi | | | System | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Kharif | Rabi | Nitrogen | Phosphorus | Potassium | Nitrogen | Phosphorus | Potassium | Nitrogen | Phosphorus | Potassium |
| Rice | Rice | 65.59 | 16.94 | 74.04 | 65.67 | 16.62 | 64.73 | 131.25 | 33.56 | 138.77 |
| Maize | Sunflower + Chickpea(2:4) | 105.59 | 31.68 | 102.45 | 120.53 | 22.84 | 50.55 | 226.12 | 54.53 | 153.01 |
| Maize + Soybean(2:4) | Rice | 162.42 | 57.57 | 163.49 | 65.00 | 14.71 | 66.41 | 227.42 | 72.28 | 229.90 |
| Bt Cotton | Sesame + Black gram(2:4) | 176.93 | 11.00 | 132.72 | 65.93 | 8.03 | 22.70 | 242.86 | 19.03 | 155.42 |
| Soybean | Sunflower + Chickpea(2:4) | 158.05 | 13.72 | 63.37 | 117.03 | 20.62 | 50.04 | 275.08 | 34.34 | 113.41 |
| Bt Cotton + Soybean (BBF)(1:2) | Sesame + Groundnut (2:4) | 169.70 | 13.81 | 108.26 | 106.03 | 13.57 | 26.01 | 275.73 | 27.38 | 134.27 |
| Soybean | Wheat | 160.89 | 13.08 | 60.24 | 41.60 | 6.89 | 34.10 | 202.49 | 19.97 | 94.34 |
| Turmeric | Sesame | 153.90 | 19.79 | 128.67 | 24.10 | 5.04 | 10.41 | 178.00 | 24.83 | 139.08 |
| Maize | Chickpea | 111.54 | 31.86 | 137.60 | 76.17 | 13.09 | 48.50 | 187.71 | 44.95 | 186.10 |
| Turmeric + Soybean(1:2) | Bajra | 227.69 | 24.67 | 146.16 | 67.90 | 14.86 | 98.72 | 295.59 | 39.53 | 244.88 |
| Maize + Soybean | Tomato | 140.45 | 52.35 | 152.15 | 104.37 | 69.41 | 178.83 | 244.81 | 121.75 | 330.98 |
| Turmeric + Soybean (BBF)(1:2) | Sesame + Black gram (2:4) | 216.56 | 21.61 | 141.88 | 63.13 | 8.00 | 23.32 | 279.70 | 29.60 | 165.20 |
| S Em± | | 73.51 | 13.46 | 31.05 | 7.24 | 2.40 | 10.87 | 74.27 | 14.21 | 26.80 |
| CD (P=0.05) | | 24.90 | 4.56 | 10.52 | 2.45 | 0.81 | 3.68 | 25.16 | 4.81 | 9.07 |

Table.4 Soil fertility status in post harvest soils of rabi

| Cropping system | | pH | E C (dS m ⁻¹) | OC (%) | Available. N kg ha ⁻¹ | Available.P ₂ O ₅ kg ha ⁻¹ | Available.K ₂ O kg ha ⁻¹ |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Initial | | 6.70 | 0.55 | 0.46 | 169.54 | 39.6 | 305 |
| Rice | Rice | 6.78 | 0.60 | 0.52 | 161.2 | 36.3 | 332.1 |
| Maize | Sunflower + Chickpea(2:4) | 7.23 | 0.59 | 0.55 | 204.6 | 37.1 | 326.5 |
| Maize + Soybean(2:4) | Rice | 7.11 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 190.4 | 39.8 | 305.4 |
| Bt Cotton | Sesame + Black gram(2:4) | 7.18 | 0.58 | 0.61 | 221.5 | 43.8 | 386.4 |
| Soybean | Sunflower + Chickpea(2:4) | 7.20 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 214.3 | 34.9 | 324.7 |
| Bt Cotton + Soybean (BBF)(1:2) | Sesame + Groundnut (2:4) | 7.29 | 0.57 | 0.64 | 227.4 | 45.3 | 402.4 |
| Soybean | Wheat | 7.11 | 0.63 | 0.60 | 186.3 | 36.5 | 406.7 |
| Turmeric | Sesame | 7.29 | 0.58 | 0.57 | 178.2 | 38.1 | 425.1 |
| Maize | Chickpea | 7.27 | 0.62 | 0.61 | 180.5 | 43.1 | 416.2 |
| Turmeric + Soybean(1:2) | Bajra | 7.27 | 0.60 | 0.49 | 200.2 | 38.8 | 431.3 |
| Maize + Soybean(2:4) | Tomato | 7.20 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 195.89 | 36.5 | 422.0 |
| Turmeric + Soybean (BBF)(1:2) | Sesame + Black gram (2:4) | 7.27 | 0.61 | 0.58 | 222.4 | 43.5 | 405.3 |
| S Em± | | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 5.88 | 2.79 | 18.13 |
| CD (P=0.05) | | 0.12 | NS | 0.08 | 17.35 | NS | 53.52 |