case report

Periapical cyst following replantation of avulsed teeth: a case report

Abstract

Introduction: Avulsion has been described as the traumatic displacement of the tooth out of the socket, and it accounts for 0.5% to 16% of traumatic injuries in the permanent dentition. Many complications have been associated with avulsed tooth following replantation. This paper aimed at presenting a case of large cystic lesion following replantation of avulsed teeth.

Case presentation: a case of 17-year-old undergraduate student who presented in dental clinic for medical screening as a mandatory exercise upon gaining admission. During examination a purulent pus discharge was notice on labial sulcus in relation to tooth 11. History reveals that she had avulsion of teeth 11 and 12 about 4-years earlier that was replanted and splinted for 2-3 weeks and subsequently she was discharge from the clinic without any further treatment. Radiographic examination reveals periapical radioluscency without well define margin in relation to teeth 11 and 12. Periapical surgery was carried out and two large cystic cavities were seen at surgery in relation to teeth 11 and 12. The histology report of the specimen reveal a benign lesion suggesting periapical cyst.

Conclusion: there is the need for adequate follow up and monitoring of replanted teeth following avulsion so as to arrest any complication that might arise from the procedure. Also the importance of endodontic treatment following replantation of avulsed tooth can not be overemphasized

Keywords

Avulsion, Periapical cyst, replantation, trauma

INTRODUCTION

Avulsion has been described as the traumatic displacement of the tooth totally out of the socket, and it accounts for 0.5% to 16% of traumatic injuries in the permanent dentition[1]. Avulsion of permanent teeth occurs most often in children between 7 to 9 years of age[1], an age when the relatively resilient alveolar bone provides only minimal resistance to extrusive forces. Avulsion is seen following trauma to the teeth from falls, traffic accidents, fights, sport injuries, bicycle accidents, assault, child abuse and other collisions[2, 3, 4]. The maxillary central incisors are the teeth most commonly affected, with the incidence greater in males[2, 3] because of their relative propensity for physical activities.

Re-implantation is the treatment of choice for avulsion[3], but is however not recommended for deciduous incisors due to the attendant risk to the permanent successors[5]. Survival of the tooth after re-implantation depends on the extra-alveolar period, extra-alveolar dry time, storage medium, type of splint used, period of endodontic treatment, medications prescribed, oral hygiene and patient's general health condition[6]. The single most important factor to ensure a favourable outcome after replantation is the speed with which the tooth is replanted[7], with the optimal result seen if the tooth is re-implanted within 30 minutes following avulsion. Choice of treatment is related to the maturity of the root (open or closed apex) and the condition of the periodontal ligament cells. For matured teeth with closed apices, endodontic treatment is indicated with the implantation, for immature teeth with open apices however, the goal for replanting is to allow for possible revascularization of the pulp space. If that does not occur, root canal treatment may be recommended[6].

Following replantation of teeth, two main complications may occur, periodontal attachment damage and pulpal necrosis[7]. Where there is no subsequent infection, severe damage to the periodontal ligament – by the trauma itself, by un-physiologic storage conditions or by inadequate handling – will result in ankylosis and replacement resorption. In all mature replanted teeth and in most teeth with an immature root stage, pulp necrosis will occur with sequelae of periapical pathology, get infected and may cause inflammatory root resorption[8]. Consequently replanted teeth should be monitored by frequent controls during the first year, and subsequently yearly[6].

In this report, we presented a case of large infected periapical cyst following reimplanted avulsed upper centrals without endodontic treatment after four years of replantation.

Case presentation

A 17-year-old undergraduate student presented in the dental clinic for medical and dental screening, a mandatory exercise for new students entering into the institution. During intra-oral examination a purulent discharge was noticed on the upper labial sulcus in relation to tooth number 11.

On further enquiry, the patient gave a history of trauma about 4-year ago, which resulted in avulsion of the teeth numbers 11 and 21. She claimed she presented in the clinic about 30-minute after the incidence with the teeth wrapped in a clean handkerchief. At the dental clinic

the attending dentist replanted the teeth and splinted the teeth for about 2-3 weeks and subsequently, the splint was removed and nothing was done after that.

Patient claimed she had been having occasional pain and swelling in association to the replanted teeth for more than a year but had not sought any intervention. She also complained of purulent foul smelling discharge from the teeth.

On further examination; there was incompetent lip seal but no limitation in mouth opening. Intra-orally, the oral hygiene was good and there was no halitosis. The molar relationship was Angle's class 1 molar relationship, however, there was increase overjet of about 4.5mm. All the permanent teeth with the exception of third molars were present in the mouth, there was no carious lesion. There was grade 1 mobility of teeth number 11 and 12. There was no discolouration of the affected teeth but they were slightly tender to percussion. Sensibility test to cold ice stick did not yield any positive response.

A provisional diagnosis of infected periapical cyst was made and periapical radiograph of the teeth number 11 and 12 was taken. The periapical radiograph showed apical radioluscency with no well-defined border. A definitive diagnosis of infected periapical cyst was made and the patient was planned for periapical surgery with cystic enucleation.

Following periapical surgery, two large cystic masses were removed from two different cystic cavities separated from each other. Root canal treatment was carried out on teeth number 11 and 21, and the canals obturated with gutta percha. Post operative radiograph was taken and patient was monitored daily for the first three days and then a week after the suture was removed and adequate healing of the surgical site was achieved.

The specimen removed from the surgical site was subjected to histological examination to ensure that there was no malignant changes. The histologic report showed fibrocollagenous tissue markedly infiltrated by acute and chronic inflammatory cells (mainly neutrophils and lymphocyte), and thin walled vascular channels. The findings are consistent with an infected periapical cyst.

DISCUSSION

This report demonstrates a complication that can occur if endodontic treatment is not carried out following reimplantion of an avulsed tooth with a closed apex. Inflammatory root resportion and its sequelae are a fairly common complication after replantation of an avulsed tooth. The case is however unique in that because the patient wasn't followed up, there was ample time for the formation of a periapical cyst before the patient presented at the clinic. Retrospectively, the teeth became necrotic and subsequent bacterial contamination of the root canals, along with the continuous presence of noxious stimuli, led to formation of a granuloma at the root region. Eventually, there was cystic degeneration of the aforementioned granuloma. To prevent this kind of complication, the general consensus is to do a root canal therapy after replantation[6, 7, 8], the ideal time to begin treatment is 7–10 days postreplantation[6]. Extraoral endodontic treatment before reimplantation is not advocated because of the potential additional damage to the periodontal ligament by prolonged extraoral periods, by extraoral root filling procedures as well as by the root filling materials themselves[8]. Also of note is the need for adequate follow up and monitoring of implanted teeth, and its importance can not be over-emphasized. If the patient had been monitored, appropriate interventions would have been carried out to prevent the formation of a periapical cyst.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates the importance of early endodontic treatment in replanted avulsed permanent teeth. This will help to prevent the attendant post replantation complication and ensure the prognosis of the replanted teeth.

Relevance of this study to the clinician

- This will help clinician to make an inform decision on replanted avulsed teeth
- Help in the proper management of avulsed tooth

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge the cooperation of the patient and for given consent to publish this case.

Competing interest

The authors declare that there are no competing interests

Authors' contribution

All the authors participated equally in the conception, design, and drafting of the manuscript, and in the management of the management of the patient.



Presurgical clinical photograpy showing purulent pus discharge from sinus in relation to tooth 11



Presurgical radiograph showing an ill-defined radiolusceny around the roots of tooth 11 & 21



Intra operative clinical photograph before the cystic enucleation



Intraoperative clinical photograph showing one of the cystic cavity (empty) and second cavity with the cyst



Intraoperative clinical photograph showing the two large cystic cavity



Immediate Post-operative radiograph showing a root filled



2 month post-operative radiograph showing remarkable bone healing

REFERENCES

1. Andreasen, J.O., Andreasen, F.M Textbook and colour atlas of traumatic injuries to the teeth. Copenhagen: Munksgaard; 1993. p. 151-177.
2. Karayilmaz H, Kirzioglu Z, Gungor OE. Aetiology, treatment patterns and long-term outcomes of tooth avulsion in children and adolescents. Pak J Med Sci 2013;29(2):464-468. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.12669/pjms.292.3283
3. SY Cho. Dental luxation and avulsion injuries in Hong Kong primary school children. Hong Kong Med J 2015;21:339-44. DOI: 10.12809/hkmj144433
4. Guedes OA, Borges ÁH, Bandeca MC, de Araújo Estrela CR, de Alencar AG, Estrela C. Analysis of 261 avulsed permanent teeth of patients treated in a dental urgency service. J Dent Res Rev 2015;2:25-9
5. Holan G. Replantation of avulsed primary incisors: a critical review of a controversial treatment. Dental Traumatology 2013; 29: 178–184; doi: 10.1111/edt.12038
6. Andersson L, Andreasen J.O, Day P, Heithersay G, Trope M, DiAngelis A.J, Kenny D.J, Sigurdsson A, Bourguignon C, Flores M.T, Hicks M.L, Lenzi A.R, Malmgren B, Moule A.J, Tsukiboshi M. Guidelines for the management of traumatic dental injuries.II. Avulsion of permanent teeth. Dental Traumatology 2007, 23: 130-136. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-9657.2007.00605.x
7. Trope. M. Clinical Management of the avulsed tooth: present strategies and future directions. Dental Traumatology 2002, 18: 1-11. Doi: 10.1046/j.1600-4469.2001.00001.x
8. Pohl Y, Filippi A, Kirschner H. Results after replantation of avulsed permanent teeth. I. Endodontic considerations. Dent Traumatol 2005; 21: 80–92. _ Blackwell Munksgaard, 2005