

Sanitation and Water Quality in Port Harcourt Waterfront Settlements

ABSTRACT

Sanitation and water quality are a good measure to judge the living standard and health status of a community. This study focused on the assessment of surface and ground water resources from selected waterfront area within Port Harcourt metropolis notable for their poor sanitary conditions as receptacles for domestic wastes. Surface water (river) and ground water samples were collected from Abuloma waterfront, marine base and Diobu and their microbiological and physiochemical parameters determined using standard laboratory methods. The microbiological parameters analyzed include; the total heterotrophic bacteria count (THBC), fecal coliform count, total coliform count, *Salmonella* count, *Shigella* count and *Vibrio* count. The physiochemical parameters monitored include pH, temperature, conductivity, salinity total dissolved solids (TDS), dissolved oxygen (DO), turbidity and biological oxygen demand (BOD). The THBC ranged from 15×10^1 cfu/ml to 1.3×10^2 cfu/ml; total coliform count ranged 0 to 17cfu/ml; all the samples had no fecal coliform the *Salmonella* count ranged from 0 to 15cfu/ml; the *Shigella* count ranged from 0 to 30cfu/ml and *Vibrio* count ranged count to 15cfu/ml. The pH values ranged from 5.9-7.6; temperature values ranged from 27.5 °C to 29.6°C; salinity was from 0.012mg/l to 0.379mg/l; conductivity was from 17.8 μ s/cm to 19370 μ s/cm; TDS was from 12.3 mg/l to 13610mg/l; DO 2.41mg/l - 3.4mg/l, turbidity 0.24 NTU to 1.11 NTU and BOD 16 mg/l to 120 mg/l. The data obtained showed that the water resources are not safe and pose risk to the health. These findings show the need to improve the sanitary condition of waterfront areas and promote water treatment to ensure the health and safety of the public.

Keywords: Sanitation, water quality, health, safety, waterfront

INTRODUCTION

Water is needful and useful to man, as the body's physiological processes are initiated and regulated by it. Water quality refers to the status of water in terms of its safety as regards the presence of physical, chemical and biological agents [1]. Poor sanitation can affect water quality which in turn can adversely impact on human existence. The effects can be classified environmentally, socially and economically in terms of pollution of the environmental, illness and deaths of citizens, cost incurred during treatments of illnesses, lost time, reduction of working capacity and availability of citizens and illnesses among the vulnerable population in the community [2,3].

Waterfront settlements are common along rivers and water ways in many third world countries, with a distinct feature of squalor and unsanitary disposal of waste. The waste generated on a daily basis in these settlements is raising serious environmental concern because of the spread of pollutants that reduces the quality of air, ground water and surface [4]. Fecal contamination of water sources is still a problem around the world today, mostly in slum areas of mid-low income countries [5]. The improvement of standards of living through

safe drinking water, good sanitation and hygiene may be difficult to actualize in these settlements where the same water meant for domestic use still serve as toilet and waste dump.

Poor sanitation can cause water pollution due to poor waste management practice and this can lead to heightened adverse environmental consequences such as increased chemical concentrations that would cause increased toxicity, eutrophication and salinization. These conditions of the environment can pose a great threat to the ecosystem [6]. There is also the need to reduce the risk of water related diseases by prioritizing the provision and accessibility of adequately safe drinking water and sanitation in emerging urban centre especially for the poor who dwell in those areas [7].

Polluted water and sanitation are associated with the spread of illness such as diarrhea, typhoid fever, dysentery and cholera [8,9]. For public health concern that epidemic outbreaks caused by certain pathogenic microorganisms can spread very fast within a short time frame, the need for periodic surveillance of water supply is necessary to check the status of the water source [10].

The aim of this study is to examine the sanitary quality of the surface and ground water of selected waterfronts within Port Harcourt.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

Port Harcourt is the capital city of Rivers State, which is part of the Niger Delta province, which occurs at the Southern end of Nigeria bordering the Atlantic Ocean. The study selected three waterfronts areas within the city which are Marine Base waterfronts, Diobu waterfronts (Afikpo) and Abuloma waterfronts.

Samples Collection

A total of 6 samples were collected from three waterfronts settlements using sterile sampling bottles and transported immediately to the laboratory for analyses. The samples collected consists of (3) three surface water and three (3) boreholes (groundwater) from these three locations. The sample collection was done during the wet season (September-October, 2019). The method of samples collection is as described by Nwankwoala and Udom [11].

Physicochemical Analysis

Physiochemical parameter investigated from the samples collected includes pH, temperature, conductivity, salinity. Total dissolved solids (TDS), dissolved oxygen (DO), biological oxygen demand (BOD) and Turbidity, Colour, Taste and odour. All analysis was in accordance with standard method for the examination of water and wastewater [12]. Results obtained from the research were compared with the World Health Organization (WHO) limits for potable drinking water in order to ascertain the integrity and safety of water sources.

Microbiological Analysis

The pour plate method was adopted for determination of bacteria and fungi in the water samples, using nutrient agar, MacConkey agar, thiosulphate citrate bite salt sucrose agar and Salmonella-Shigella agar for the bacteria and potato dextrose agar for the fungi. The determination of Total Heterotrophic Bacterial Count (THBC), total coliform count, fecal coliform count, *Salmonella* count, *Shigella* count and *Vibrio* count followed procedures described in APHA [12]. All plates were incubated in triplicate. Isolates were identified based on their cultural, morphological, biochemical characteristics in reference to Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physicochemical Characteristics of Ground Water and Surface Water Samples

The results for physicochemical characteristics of ground water and surface water samples from Marine Base, Afikpo (Diobu), Abuloma are presented in Table 1. The pH values ranged from 5.9-7.6. The pH in water reflects the strength acidity or alkalinity in the water. In pure water, hydrogen ions (H^+) and hydroxyl ions (OH^-) are in equilibrium making it natural with a pH value of 7.1. In natural conditions pH ranges from 6.5 – 8.5. Any deviation from this range portends abnormally and can be traced or used as indicator for pollution (Lawson 1995). Temperature values ranged from 27.5 °C to 29.6°C. Temperature is the most significant physical variable that determines the tendency of changes in water quality. All reactions, chemical or biological including the toxicity of several metals in water are temperature dependent.

The values for TDS ranged from 12.3 mg/l to 13610mg/l. Total dissolved solids is an expression of the combined content of all inorganic and organic substances in liquid. Which are present in a molecular, ionized or micro-granular (colloidal sol) suspended form. Generally the operational definition is that the solids must be enough to survive filtration through a sieve of two micrometers [13].

The salinity values ranged from 0.012mg/l to 0.379mg/l. The conductivity of water samples generally varied from 17.8 $\mu s/cm$ to 19370 $\mu s/cm$. Conductivity is the ability of a substance to conduct electricity. The conductivity of water is more or less a linear function of the concentration of dissolved ions. Conductivity itself is not a human or aquatic health concern, but because it is easily measured, it can serve as an indicator of other water quality problems [13]. Electrical conductivity is a useful indicator of mineralization and salinity or total salt in water sample. Permissible limit for conductivity is 2500 of 25°C. The limit was in range for all the samples but that of marine base ground water was high.

Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration in the water samples ranged from 2.41mg/l -3.4mg/l. Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration in unpolluted water normally ranges between 8 and 10 mg/l and concentration below these adversely affect aquatic life [14,15] DO standard for drinking purpose is 6mg/h whereas for sustaining fish and aquatic life 4-5mg/l [14]. The DO values from this study fell short of the recommended standard for water quality variable. Decrease in DO concentration could be attributed to breakdown of organic matter by aerobic

microbes, giving way for anaerobic bacteria to breed and deteriorate the quality of water [16,17].

Turbidity values for the water samples ranged from 0.24 NTU to 1.11 NTU. Turbidity affects fish and aquatic life by interference with sunlight penetration. Pure water has no colour. The presence of dissolved foreign substances in solution alter the colour to blue, green, yellow or brown. This is called true colour. When it is turbid as well as coloured it has apparent colour. Highly coloured water has an oxygen demand, either biological or chemical they are likely to become devoid of dissolved oxygen when held in storage.

Biological oxygen demand (BOD) values ranged from 16 mg/l to 120 mg/l Biological oxygen demand test is useful in determining the relative waste loading and higher degree therefore indicates the presence of large amount of organic pollutant and relatively higher, level of microbial activities with consequent depletion of oxygen content. The higher values could be due to surface runoff from the surroundings, areas operated by the activities.

The surface water from Marine Base and Abuloma, and the ground water from Afikpo (Diobu) were objectionable in terms of taste and odour. Odours originate from biological sources such as algae, decayed organic matter and various side reactions indicated by bacterial. The measurement of odour prescribed as the Threshold Odour Number which is the number of times a sample must be diluted with odour free water to be just detectable by the odour test, where its limits is either objectionable or unobjectionable.

Table 1: Physicochemical characteristics of water sampled from Marine Base, Afikpo (Diobu) and Abuloma waterfronts

| parameters | Marine Base | | Afikpo (Diobu) | | Abuloma | | WHO permissible limits |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------|
| | ground water | surface water | ground water | surface water | ground water | surface water | |
| pH | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 7.6 | 6.5-8.5 |
| Temperature (°C) | 27.6 | 29.6 | 27.5 | 29.0 | 27.9 | 28.6 | 20-30 |
| Conductivity (µs/cm) | 343 | 12070 | 17.8 | 18408 | 80.6 | 19370 | 2500 at 20°C |
| DO (mg/l) | 3.2 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 2.41 | 3.11 | 3.2 | 0-20 |
| Salinity (ppt %100) | 0.016 | 0.070 | 0.083 | 0.111 | 0.379 | 0.012 | 0.15 |
| TDS (ppm) | 239 | 8580 | 12.3 | 12850 | 56.7 | 13610 | 1000 |
| Turbidity (NTU) | 0.24 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.74 | 0.31 | 1.11 | 5 |
| Taste | U | O | O | U | U | O | U |
| Odour | U | O | O (rotten smell) | U | U | O | U |
| BOD (mg/l) | 120 | 100 | 170 | 62 | 16 | 70 | |

U-unobjectionable; O-objectionable

Microbiological quality of Surface and Ground Water Samples

Results of microbiological quality of surface and ground water from Marine Base, Afikpo (Diobu), Abuloma are presented in Table 2. Ground water sample from Abuloma had the highest total heterotrophic bacterial count with a value of 1.3×10^2 cfu/ml while ground water from Marine Base had the least value of 1.5×10^1 cfu/ml. No coliform was detected in ground water sample from Abuloma while ground water from Afikpo (Diobu) had the highest total coliform count of 17cfu/ml. All the samples had no fecal coliform. Their presence in water is an indication of possible pollution of such water sources. In the analysis carried out for the samples for the study. The water samples were analyzed for fecal coliform which is noted to be one major bacteria of public health concern all over the world. Fortunately all the samples gave a zero cfu/ml value for fecal coliform bacteria.

Salmonella was not detected in ground and surface water sample from Abuloma as well as ground water from Marine Base, while surface water from Afikpo (Diobu) had the highest *Salmonella* count of 15cfu/ml. *Salmonella* sp are well known bacteria of public health importance is usually found in water, food and the soil including fecal matter [18]. For instance, *Salmonella typhi* is the causative agent (pathogen) that causes typhoid fever. These three water samples can pose sanitary risk and can be classified as unsafe for use.

Shigella was not detected in ground water from Marine Base while ground water from Afikpo (Diobu) had the highest *Shigella* count of 30 cfu/ml. presence of *Shigella* is an indication that

the water sources is unsafe because it is a pathogenic microorganism of public health importance [18].

Vibrio was not detected in ground water from Marine Base and Abuloma as well as surface water from Afikpo (Diobu), while ground water from Afikpo (Diobu) had the highest *vibro* count of 15cfu/ml. This means that water samples from these locations poses sanitary risk and can be classified as unsafe. *Vibrio* sp is also another pathogenic microorganisms of concern to man, because it is the well-known cholera bacteria which had caused the deaths of thousands of children and the age in the past and is still of serious concern to man today [18].

Table 2: Microbiological quality of Surface and Ground Water Samples

| | Sample | THBC cfu/ml | Total Coliform cfu/ml | Fecal Coliform cfu/ml | <i>Salmonella</i> cfu/ml | <i>Shigella</i> cfu/ml | <i>Vibrio</i> cfu/ml | Remark |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| Marine Base | Ground Water | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | --- |
| | Surface Water | 43 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 11 | 11 | SR |
| Afikpo (Diobu) | Ground Water | 30 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 15 | SR |
| | Surface Water | 35 | 17 | 0 | 7 | 30 | 0 | SR |
| Abuloma | Ground Water | 134 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | --- |
| | Surface Water | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 14 | SR |

SR=sanitary risk

Proper sanitation exercise at regular intervals must be observed to promote and maintain the water quality of water resources. In the same vein, intermittent monitoring of the microbiological and physiochemical parameters of water quality would help to promote water safety. This study observed that the existing sanitary facilities in the waterfronts settlements are toilets provided by the local government councils or private toilets built by individuals. The toilets provided by the communities in the study areas were on the elevated platform above the high tide level. No sanitary means of disposing human waste existed rather the usual practice was disposal of night soil and other waste materials into the river. The toilets were simply shift overhung toilets with human waste directly disposed into the river. Despite the provision of communal toilets for the households in several congested residences, there are still a number of families who do not have any toilet facilities. This indiscriminate dumping or disposal of wastes into the river causes serious environmental hazards and health risks.

CONCLUSION

This study has shown ground water and surface water in waterfronts in Port Harcourt have objectionable quality in terms of their physicochemical and microbiological qualities, has harbour some pathogens of interest such as *Salmonella* sp, *shigella* sp and vibrio sp. The water sources require some level of treatments to ensure water safety in such waterfront areas.

REFERENCES

1. Stanley HO, Immanuel O.M, Nwagboso A. Water quality assessment of the New Calabar River. *Journal of Applied Life Sciences International*. 2017; 15(2): 1-5.
2. Ujoh MF, Isa D, Olarewaju O. Understanding urban sprawl in the Federal Capital City, Abuja: Towards sustainable urbanization in Nigeria. *Journal of Geography and Regional Planning*. 2009; 3: 106-113.
3. WHO and UNICEF (2006) Meeting the MDG Drinking Water and Sanitation Target (2014) The Urban and Rural Challenge of the Decade.
4. Ogbonna DN, Idam DY. Appropriate sanitation systems for low-income coastal and water front communities in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Journal of applied Sciences*. 2007; 7(8): 1116-1123.
5. Wolf J, Priiss- Ustiin A. Cumming O. Systematic Review” assessing the impact of drinking water and sanitation on diarrheal disease in low and middle income settings: systematic review and meta- regression. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*. 2014; 19(8): 928-942.
6. United Nations Water. International Decade for Action “Water for life” 2015-2015: Water Quality. Available online at http://www.un.org/water_forlifedecade/quality.shtml. Retrieved 20/11/19.
7. United Nations, Water and Cities Facts and Figures. UN- Water Decade Programme on Advocacy and Communication (UNN-DPAC). United Nations, San Francisco, CA, U.S.A, 2010.
8. Haryanto B, Sutomo S, Improving access to adequate water and basic sanitation services in Indonesia. *Reviews on Environmental Health*. 2012; 27 (4):159-162.
9. World Health Organization & United Nations Children’s Fund (WHO/UNICEF) Joint Water supply and sanitation monitoring programme. Progress on sanitation and drinking water. 2015.
10. Odonkor, ST, Am Pofu JK. *Escherichia coli* as an indicator of Bacteriological quality of water: an overview” *Microbiological Research*. 2013; 4(1) : 2.
11. Nwamkwoala HO, Udom GJ. Investigation of hydrogeochemical characteristics of groundwater in Port Harcourt City, Nigeria: Implications for use and vulnerability. *Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management*. 15(): 479-488

12. American Public Health Association (APHA): Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water. 16th edition, American Public Health Association. Washington, D.C.; 1985.
13. ANZECC. Australian and New Zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water quality. Australian and New Zealand Environmental and Conservation Council. 2000.
14. Rao PV. Text book of environmental engineering. Eastern Economy Ed, Prentice - Hall of India private limited, New Delhi 2005. 2005; Chapter 3, 280.
15. DFID: A simple methodology for water quality monitoring G.R. Pearle, M.R Chandry and S. Ghulum (Eds), Development for international Development Wallingford 100. 1999.
16. Zaghoul A, Saber M, El-Dewany C. Chemical indicators for pollution detection in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. Bull. Natl Res Cent. 2019; 43: 156.
17. Uzoekwe, S.A. and Ogohosanime, F. A. (2011). The effect of Refinery and petrochemical effluent on water quality of Ubeji Creek Warri, Southern Nigeria Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management Vol. 4No. 2, 2011.
18. Ray B. Fundamental Food Microbiology. CRC Press, Boca Raton London New York Washington, D.C. 2004.

World Health Organization (2016). Burning opportunity: Household Energy for Health, Sustainable Development, and wellbeing of women and children. Available online at http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/204717/1/9789241565233_eng.pdf (WHO 2016). Retrieved 12/10/19.

Haryanto B. and S. Sutomo (2012) "Improving access to adequate water and basic sanitation services in Indonesia", *Reviews on Environmental Health* 27 (4):159-162

United Nations, Water and Cities Facts and Figures. UN- Water Decade Programme on Advocacy and Communication (UNN-DPAC), (2010) United Nations, San Francisco, CA, U.S.A, 2010.

UNDER PEER REVIEW