

## Phytosociology, diversity and ecological groups of the adult tree component of a forest remnant in Pernambuco – Brazil

19 ABSTRACT

20

Aims: Characterize the floristic richness, phytosociological structure, and classify the ecological group of the adult tree component species in an area of ecological tension (Seasonal Forest and Caatinga) in Pernambuco - Brazil.

Place and Duration of Study: Fazenda Fojos and Engineering and Agrarian Sciences Campus (Federal University of Alagoas), between April 2019 and February 2020.

Methodology: To characterize the adult tree component, 20 plots were allocated, with dimensions of 10 m x 25 m, spaced in 25 m. Each adult individual, with a circumference at breast height (CAP 1.30 m)  $\geq$  15 cm, was identified in the field. The softwares Mata Nativa version 2 and Excel 2019 were used to process the collected data. Sample sufficiency, classification of ecological groups, diversity, and phytosociology were analyzed.

Results: Regarding the ecological groups, 46% of the species were classified as initial secondary. The density of the adult tree component in the fragment was 1,888 ind.ha<sup>-1</sup>, and the dominance was 21.64 m<sup>2</sup>.ha<sup>-1</sup>. These values are following the standards of other studies in Atlantic Forest in the State of Pernambuco. The species of greatest Value of Importance were *Guapira nitida*, *Buchenavia tetraphylla*, *Manilkara* sp., *Byrsonima crassifolia*, and *Sloanea obtusifolia*, respectively. The Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index (H') value was 3.21 nats.ind<sup>-1</sup>, and the Pielou Uniformity Index (J) was 0.73.

Conclusion: According to the results obtained, the analyzed stretch was classified as secondary vegetation in the medium or secondary stage of development, where, with no anthropic interference in the dynamics of the fragment, it can reach maturity. It is necessary to maintain the internal ecological processes of the ecosystem, as well as those involving the fauna and flora's gene flow since the matrix is composed of pastures.

21

22 Keywords: horizontal structure, successional classification, area of ecological tension,  
23 brazilian northeast.

24 1. INTRODUCTION

25

26 The Atlantic Forest is considered one of the regions with top priority for the conservation of its  
27 biodiversity, where most species officially threatened with extinction in Brazil inhabit this type  
28 of formation [1]. The forests inserted in the Northeast region of Brazil are the ones that suffered  
29 most anthropic disturbances over the years, being completely fragmented. Currently, most of  
30 its area is characterized by secondary forests [2,3].

31 The discontinuity and isolation of forests, a process that characterizes forest fragmentation,  
32 causes higher sensitivity to disturbances, directly affecting the spatial distribution, availability  
33 of natural resources, and, consequently, the survival of species occurring in the region and  
34 the environmental services provided [4]. It is necessary to further study the effects of  
35 fragmentation in the Atlantic Forest on its biodiversity [5]. Therefore, research works that value  
36 the individualities of each region are essential to enable forest management more faithful to  
37 nature. These studies involve analyzing the characteristics of the plant community of a given  
38 area, such as successional classification, phytosociology, and diversity.

39 Classification of ecological groups is one of the main ecological indicators used to understand  
40 what measures are needed to be taken to improve the condition of the fragment, as well as  
41 whether, over time, these measures are taking effect [6]. Ecological succession is a natural  
42 process of vegetation development occurring in forest ecosystems. So, the classification of  
43 ecological groups refers to the successive stage of the species found, especially regarding  
44 the requirement of sunlight [7].

45 In addition to ecological succession, studies such as phytosociology allow the characterization  
46 of diversity and biological structure in a given ecosystem. This type of study aims to describe  
47 the quantitative characteristics of plant communities [8]. It can determine the most important  
48 species within the tree component and, in this way, prioritize them and define which measures  
49 are a priority for the preservation of the community [9,10].

50 The diversity of forest species is based on two aspects: richness and uniformity. While  
51 richness refers to the number of species existing in the community, uniformity indicates how  
52 many individuals exist for each species [8]. These analyses present the establishment of  
53 populations of certain species in the environment. The forest remnants of the Brazilian  
54 northeast have high diversity. The richness level of the species is higher when the area has  
55 more protection from anthropic interference [11].

56 In this context, the objective of this study was to characterize the floristic richness,  
57 phytosociological structure and classify the ecological group of species of the adult tree  
58 component in an area of ecological tension (Seasonal Forest and Caatinga) in Pernambuco –  
59 Brazil.

60 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

61


62 2.1 Study Area and Data Collection

63

64 The municipality of Garanhuns, located in the State of Pernambuco - Brazil, has 458,552 km<sup>2</sup>  
65 of the territorial unit area [12]. The climate of this region is humid coastal tropical (As), with an  
66 annual temperature average of 21.4°C and annual precipitation of 909 mm [13]. Also, it is a  
67 mountainous region with altitude quotas around 900 m [14].

68 Garanhuns is inserted in an area of ecological tension of the ecotone type between Seasonal  
69 Forest and Caatinga [15]. The interaction between vegetation types is considered as an area  
70 of ecological tension, where they can be divided into two groups: ecotones or enclaves [15,16].  
71 Ecotone is a transition system, where two or more types of vegetation occur and cause an  
72 interpenetration, forming floristic transitions and edaphic contacts [17]. In these areas, there  
73 is a lack of studies regarding the understanding of the species behavior [18].

74 Figure 1 shows the location of the section within the municipality of Garanhuns. The area of  
75 the present study has 23 ha, with a perimeter of 2.1 km and the coordinates longitude  
76  $5^{\circ}26'08.34''$  E and latitude  $8^{\circ}54'20.53''$  S.



77  
78 Fig. 1. Location of an Atlantic Forest stretch in Pernambuco, Brazil.

79 Twenty permanent plots of 10 m x 25 m (spaced by 25 m) were systematically allocated,  
80 totaling a sample area of 0.5 ha (Fig. 2). The plots were implanted in three tracks, being Track  
81 1 with seven, Track 2 with eight, and Track 3 with five plots. All adult individuals with a  
82 circumference at breast height (CAP 1.30 m)  $\geq 15$  cm were identified in the field.



83  
84 Fig. 2. Trails representation, where the plots were allocated for the study of the adult  
85 tree component of an Atlantic Forest stretch located in Pernambuco, Brazil.

86  
87 The identification of the individuals was made in the field with the help of a specialist, according  
88 to the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group IV system [20], and the unidentified species were  
89 photographed for consultation in herbarium or online literature, describing the species  
90 according to their morphological characteristics. For the classification of ecological groups, it  
91 was also researched in theses, dissertations, scientific articles, or ebooks.

92 The classification of ecological groups divided the species into: Pioneer – light-dependent  
93 species that do not occur in the undergrowth; Initial Secondary – species that occur in  
94 conditions of medium shading or not very intense luminosity; Late Secondary – species that  
95 develop in the undergrowth in conditions of light or dense shade; and No Classification [21,22].

## 96 2.2 Sample Sufficiency and Data Analysis

97  
98 The statistical software Mata Nativa version 2 [19] was used for the calculation of sample  
99 sufficiency, data processing, and analysis of phytosociological parameters. Excel for  
100 Windows™ 2019 software was used for the generation of graphics.

101 The sample sufficiency of the survey was calculated for the basal area and density of the  
102 individuals, considering a sampling error of at most 20% for 95% confidence probability. The  
103 sufficiency of floristic richness was determined by the curve species x area, based on the  
104 Fazenda Fojos's 23 ha stretch.

105 Species diversity was determined by Shannon-Wiener Diversity ( $H'$ ) and Pielou Uniformity ( $J$ )  
106 indexes. For the phytosociological analysis, the following parameters presented in Table 1  
107 [23] were used.

108

109 Table 1. Phytosociological parameters used to analyze the horizontal structure of  
 110 the adult tree component of an Atlantic Forest stretch located in Pernambuco, Brazil.

Parameter	Expression	Variables
Absolute Density (AD)	$DA = \frac{n}{\text{Area}}$	n = number of individuals of a certain species.
Relative Density (RD) 100	$DR = \frac{n}{N} \cdot \phi *$	N = total number of individuals.
Absolute Frequency (AF)	$FA = \frac{pi}{P} \cdot 100$	Pi = number of plots (sample units) with occurrence of species i. P = total number of plots (sample units) in the sample.
Relative Frequency (RF)	$FR = \frac{FAi}{\sum FA} \cdot 100$	AFi = absolute frequency of a certain species. ΣAF = sum of the absolute frequencies of all sampled species.
Absolute Dominance (ADo)	$DoA = \frac{gi}{\pi \cdot DAP^2}$ $gi = \frac{\text{Area}(ha)}{4}$	gi = basal area of a certain species. DAP = diameter at the breast height.
Relative Dominance (RDo)	$DoR = \frac{gi}{G} \cdot 100$ $G = \sum gi$	G = basal area of all sampled species.
Value of Importance (VI)	$VI = DR + FR + DoR$	

111

112

### 113 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

114


115 The analysis of sample sufficiency (Table 2) for the 23 ha fragment showed that the number  
 116 of plots implanted was sufficient to represent the population of the adult tree component to  
 117 both parameters. Through the values of mean standard error, coefficient of variation, and  
 sampling error, it is possible to define statistically that the sampling of the study is satisfactory.

118 Table 2. Sample sufficiency and statistical data from the study on the adult tree  
 119 component of an Atlantic Forest stretch located in Pernambuco, Brazil.

Parameters	Basal Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Density (ind)
n (optimal number of plots)	6 un.	11 un.
Total	10.818 m <sup>2</sup>	944 ind.
Mean	0.5409 m <sup>2</sup> /0.025ha	47.2 ind/0.025ha
Standard Deviation	0.1111 m <sup>2</sup> /0.025ha	14.2961 ind/0.025ha
Variance	0.0123 (m <sup>2</sup> /0.025ha) <sup>2</sup>	204.3789 (ind/0.025ha) <sup>2</sup>
Mean Variance	0.0006 (m <sup>2</sup> /0.025ha) <sup>2</sup>	9.9968 (ind/0.025ha) <sup>2</sup>
Mean Standard Error	0.0246 m <sup>2</sup> /0.025ha	3.1618 ind/0.025ha
Coefficient of Variation	20.5453 %	30.2884 %
Tabulated t value	2.093	2.093

Absolute Sampling Error	0.0514 m <sup>2</sup> /0.025ha	6.6177 ind/0.025ha
RelativeSamplingError	9.5104%	14.0204%

120 Figure 3 shows the curve of species x area, demonstrating that the number of species tends  
 121 to stabilization from the 17th plot. Consequently, it is possible to affirm that the number of plots  
 122 implanted in the study is enough to represent the floristic richness in the analyzed section.



123 Fig.3. Species x area curve of the adult tree component of an Atlantic Forest stretch  
 124 located in Pernambuco, Brazil.  
 125

126 Twenty-nine families, 45 genera, and 74 species were identified in the analyzed section (Table  
 127 3), of which 45 were identified at the species level, 16 at the genus level, seven at the family  
 128 level, and six undetermined. The non-identification of all species occurred mainly in very high  
 129 individuals, or in cases where it was not possible to collect fertile material.

130 Table 3. List of species found in the adult tree component of an Atlantic Forest  
 131 stretch located in Pernambuco, Brazil.

Family/Species	Ecological Group
<b>Anacardiaceae</b>	
Tapirira guianensis Aubl.	IS
<b>Annonaceae</b>	
Annonaceae 1	NC
Annonaceae 2	NC
Guatteria sp. 1	IS
Guatteria sp. 2	IS
Guatteria pogonopus Mart.	IS
Xylopia frutescens Aubl.	P
XylopiaochranthaMart.	P
<b>Boraginaceae</b>	
Cordia sp.	NC

<i>Cordia superba</i> Cham.	IS
<b>Burseraceae</b>	
<i>Protium heptaphyllum</i> (Aubl.) Marchand	LS
<i>Protium</i> sp.	NC
<b>Capparaceae</b>	
<i>Crateva tapia</i> L.	P
<b>Celastraceae</b>	
<i>Maytenus distichophylla</i> Mart. ex Reissek	IS
<i>Maytenus erythroxyla</i> Reissek	IS
<b>Chrysobalanaceae</b>	
<i>Licania</i> sp.	NC
<b>Clusiaceae</b>	
<i>Clusia nemorosa</i> G.Mey.	IS
<b>Combretaceae</b>	
<i>Buchenavia tetraphylla</i> (Aubl.) R.A.Howard	IS
<b>Elaeocarpaceae</b>	
<i>Sloanea guianensis</i> (Aubl.) Benth.	LS
<i>Sloanea obtusifolia</i> (Moric.) Schum.	IS
<i>Sloanea</i> sp.	NC
<b>Erythroxylaceae</b>	
<i>Erythroxylum squamatum</i> Sw.	IS
<b>Euphorbiaceae</b>	
<i>Maprounea guianensis</i> Aubl.	IS
<b>Fabaceae</b>	
<i>Abarema</i> sp.	NC
<i>Albizia pedicellaris</i> (DC.) L.Rico	IS
<i>Bowdichia virgilioides</i> Kunth	P
<i>Chamaecrista ensiformis</i> (Vell.) H.S.Irwin & Barneby	IS
Fabaceae 1	NC
Fabaceae 2	NC
<i>Inga capitata</i> Desv.	IS
<i>Inga laurina</i> (Sw.) Willd.	IS
<i>Machaerium aculeatum</i> Raddi	P
<i>Stryphnodendron pulcherrimum</i> (Willd.) Hochr.	LS
<i>Tachigalidensisiflora</i> (Benth.) L.G.Silva & H.C.Lima	IS
<b>Lauraceae</b>	
Lauraceae 1	NC
<i>Ocotea gardnerii</i> (Meisn.) Mez	IS
<i>Ocotea glomerata</i> (Nees) Mez	IS
<i>Ocotea</i> sp.	NC
<b>Lecythidaceae</b>	
<i>Eschweilera ovata</i> (Cambess.) Mart. ex Miers	LS
<b>Malpighiaceae</b>	
<i>Byrsonima crassifolia</i> (L.) Kunth	P
<b>Moraceae</b>	
<i>Brosimum guianense</i> (Aubl.) Huber	IS
<i>Ficus</i> sp.	NC
<b>Myrtaceae</b>	
<i>Campomanesia</i> sp. 1	NC

Campomanesia sp. 2	LS
Myrcia guianensis (Aubl.) DC.	IS
Myrcia splendens (Sw.) DC.	IS
Myrcia sylvatica (G.Mey.) DC.	IS
Myrtaceae 1	NC
Myrtaceae 2	NC
Psidiumsp.	NC
<b>Nyctaginaceae</b>	
Guapira opposita (Vell.) Reitz	IS
Guapira nitida (Mart. ex J.A.Schmidt) Lundell	IS
<b>Ochnaceae</b>	
Ouratea hexasperma (A.St.-Hil.) Baill.	IS
<b>Peraceae</b>	
Pogonophora schomburgkiana Miers ex Benth.	LS
<b>Phyllanthaceae</b>	
Hieronyma alchorneoides Allemão	IS
Richeriasp.	NC
<b>Primulaceae</b>	
Myrsine guianensis (Aubl.) Kuntze	P
<b>Rubiaceae</b>	
Alseis pickelii Pilg. & Schmale	IS
PsychotriacarthagensisJacq.	IS
<b>Rutaceae</b>	
Zanthoxylum rhoifolium Lam.	IS
<b>Salicaceae</b>	
Casearia sylvestris Sw.	P
<b>Sapindaceae</b>	
Allophylus sp.	NC
Cupania oblongifolia Mart.	IS
Cupaniaracemosa(Vell.)Radlk.	IS
<b>Sapotaceae</b>	
Chrysophyllum cainito L.	IS
Manilkara sp.	NC
Pouteria sp.	NC
<b>Simaroubaceae</b>	
Simarouba amara Aubl.	IS


132 \*Where: P = pioneer; IS = initial secondary; LS = late secondary; NC = no classification.

133 Regarding richness, the families that stood out were Fabaceae (14.8%), Myrtaceae (10.8%),

134 Annonaceae (9.4%), Lauraceae (5.4%), Elaeocarpaceae (4%), Sapindaceae (4%) and

135 Sapotaceae (4%) (Fig. 4).





136 Fig. 4. Botanical families with more species of the adult tree component of an Atlantic  
 137 Forest stretch located in Pernambuco, Brazil.  
 138

139 The richest family in this study was Fabaceae, represented by 11 species, *Abarema* sp.,  
 140 *Albizia pedicellaris*, *Bowdichia virgilioides*, *Chamaecrista ensiformis*, Fabaceae 1, Fabaceae  
 141 2, *Inga capitata*, *Inga laurina*, *Machaerium aculeatum*, *Stryphnodendron pulcherrimum*, and  
 142 *Tachigali densiflora*. Fabaceae is one of the richest families among Brazil's ecosystems, with  
 143 212 genera and 2,807 native species in Brazil [24,25]. This family has the characteristic of  
 144 fixing nitrogen in the soil, which makes it a key-species in the recovery of degraded areas  
 145 [26,27].

146 The Myrtaceae was represented by the species *Campomanesia* sp. 1, *Campomanesia* sp. 2,  
 147 *Myrcia guianensis*, *Myrcia splendens*, *Myrcia sylvatica*, Myrtaceae 1, Myrtaceae 2 and *Psidium*  
 148 sp. With about 1,000 species belonging to 23 genera, this family is dominant mainly in Atlantic  
 149 Forests [28,29]. It has economic importance and is the eighth family with the highest diversity  
 150 in the Brazilian Northeast [30].

151 Annonaceae is a family of pantropical distribution, with 30 genera and 260 species in all  
 152 Brazilian forest formations [31,32]. In this study, it was represented by the species  
 153 Annonaceae 1, Annonaceae 2, *Guatteria* sp. 1, *Guatteria* sp. 2, *Guatteria pogonopus*, *Xylopia*  
 154 *frutenscens*, and *Xylopia ochrantha*.

155 Lauraceae presented the species Lauraceae 1, *Ocotea gardnerii*, *Ocotea glomerata*, and  
 156 *Ocotea* sp. Occurring mainly in neotropical regions, in lowland forests or intermediate  
 157 altitudes, the family covers 18 genera and 125 species in the Brazilian Northeast, being one  
 158 of the rich in diversity in different communities [33,34]. It is one of the families with the highest  
 159 number of endangered species in Brazil (36 species), according to the Red List of Threatened  
 160 Species [35].

161 Elaeocarpaceae was represented by the species *Sloanea guianensis*, *Sloanea obtusifolia*,  
 162 and *Sloanea* sp. Its occurrence has a greater diversity in the Amazon but also occurs in the  
 163 biomes Caatinga, Cerrado, Atlantic Forest, and Pantanal [25].


164 Sapindaceae was represented by the species *Allophylus* sp., *Cupania oblongifolia*, and  
 165 *Cupania racemosa*. It is a family very characterized by endemism, with 88 endemic species

166 of 411 species occurring in Brazil, belonging to 25 genera [36,37]. It inhabits tropical and  
167 subtropical regions, with some genera occurring in temperate regions [38].

168 Finally, the species *Chrysophyllum cainito*, *Manilkara* sp., and *Pouteria* sp. represented the  
169 Sapotaceae family. This family has 13 genera in Brazil, encompassing 233 species [39]. In  
170 addition to having food potential, the species of the genus *Pouteria* and *Manilkara* are great  
171 attractions for the timber industry [40].

172 The relationship of ecological groups between the species found (Fig. 5) was 46% (34) for  
173 initial secondary, 35% (26) for no classification, 11% (8) for pioneers, and 8% (6) for late  
174 secondary. The representation of species with no classification occurred due to the species  
175 identified at the level of genus, family, or indeterminate, where it is not possible to define the  
176 ecological group. In the work of Sobrinho [41] and Santos [42], in two forests of Ombrophilous  
177 Forest in the State of Pernambuco, the initial secondary ones were also more represented in  
178 the classification of ecological groups of the reference ecosystem analyzed.

179 The domain of species classified as initial secondary or pioneer suggests that the forest is  
180 young [22], mainly because it means that most of the species there are come from the seed  
181 bank, that is, it is a forest that was regenerated naturally not long ago.



182  
183 Fig. 5. Successional classification of the species of the adult tree component of an  
184 Atlantic Forest stretch located in Pernambuco, Brazil.

185 In the phytosociological survey, 944 adult individuals were measured, representing an  
186 absolute density of 1,888 ind.ha<sup>-1</sup> and dominance of 21.64 m<sup>2</sup>.ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 4). The values found  
187 are close to those of other authors who researched in the State of Pernambuco, such as  
188 Nascimento and Rodal [43], who found a density of 1,553 ind.ha<sup>-1</sup> and dominance of 39 m<sup>2</sup>.ha<sup>-1</sup>  
189 <sup>-1</sup>, and Costa Junior et al. [44], in which the density was 1,049 ind.ha<sup>-1</sup> and dominance of 23.6  
190 m<sup>2</sup>.ha<sup>-1</sup>.

191

192  
193


Table 4. Phytosociological survey of the adult tree component of an Atlantic Forest stretch located in Pernambuco, Brazil.

Species	NI	$\Sigma G$	ADo	RDo	AD	RD	AF	RF	VI
<i>Guapira nitida</i>	151	1.532	3.0635	14.16	302	16.00	70	4.88	35.03
<i>Buchenavia tetraphylla</i>	71	1.827	3.6539	16.89	142	7.52	75	5.23	29.64
<i>Manilkara</i> sp.	87	1.058	2.1150	9.78	174	9.22	85	5.92	24.91
<i>Byrsonima crassifolia</i>	70	0.613	1.2263	5.67	140	7.42	95	6.62	19.70
<i>Sloanea obtusifolia</i>	68	0.780	1.5608	7.21	136	7.20	60	4.18	18.60
<i>Bowdichia virgilioides</i>	48	0.494	0.9878	4.57	96	5.08	90	6.27	15.92
<i>Clusia nemorosa</i>	31	0.734	1.4678	6.78	62	3.28	60	4.18	14.25
<i>Guapira opposita</i>	31	0.751	1.5021	6.94	62	3.28	25	1.74	11.97
<i>Protium heptaphyllum</i>	38	0.220	0.4393	2.03	76	4.03	75	5.23	11.28
<i>Tapirira guianensis</i>	30	0.444	0.8876	4.10	60	3.18	45	3.14	10.42
<i>Cupania oblongifolia</i>	37	0.218	0.4366	2.02	74	3.92	60	4.18	10.12
<i>Guatteria pogonopus</i>	35	0.194	0.3878	1.79	70	3.71	60	4.18	9.68
<i>Alseis pickelii</i>	25	0.257	0.5137	2.37	50	2.65	55	3.83	8.86
<i>Campomanesia</i> sp. 2	29	0.106	0.2122	0.98	58	3.07	50	3.48	7.54
<i>Chrysophyllum cainito</i>	17	0.213	0.4267	1.97	34	1.80	30	2.09	5.86
Myrtaceae 1	22	0.064	0.1275	0.59	44	2.33	40	2.79	5.71
<i>Ocotea</i> sp.	24	0.215	0.4298	1.99	48	2.54	15	1.05	5.57
<i>Guatteria</i> sp. 2	15	0.076	0.1511	0.70	30	1.59	45	3.14	5.42
<i>Cordia superba</i>	12	0.076	0.1519	0.70	24	1.27	20	1.39	3.37
<i>Pouteria</i> sp.	3	0.137	0.2746	1.27	6	0.32	10	0.70	2.28
<i>Casearia sylvestris</i>	5	0.037	0.0745	0.34	10	0.53	20	1.39	2.27
<i>Cordia</i> sp.	6	0.021	0.0424	0.20	12	0.64	15	1.05	1.88
<i>Erythroxylum squamatum</i>	5	0.024	0.0479	0.22	10	0.53	15	1.05	1.80
<i>Sloanea</i> sp.	4	0.060	0.1191	0.55	8	0.42	10	0.70	1.67
<i>Sloanea guianensis</i>	5	0.034	0.0683	0.32	10	0.53	10	0.70	1.54
Lauraceae 1	3	0.090	0.1799	0.83	6	0.32	5	0.35	1.50
<i>Licania</i> sp.	3	0.014	0.0286	0.13	6	0.32	15	1.05	1.50
<i>Cupania racemosa</i>	3	0.013	0.0260	0.12	6	0.32	15	1.05	1.48
<i>Stryphnodendron pulcherrimum</i>	3	0.013	0.0253	0.12	6	0.32	15	1.05	1.48
<i>Albizia pedicellaris</i>	2	0.053	0.1059	0.49	4	0.21	10	0.70	1.40
<i>Pogonophora schomburgkiana</i>	1	0.070	0.1406	0.65	2	0.11	5	0.35	1.10
<i>Maytenus erythroxylo</i>	3	0.006	0.0128	0.06	6	0.32	10	0.70	1.07
<i>Myrcia splendens</i>	3	0.006	0.0125	0.06	6	0.32	10	0.70	1.07
<i>Brosimum guianense</i>	1	0.064	0.1289	0.60	2	0.11	5	0.35	1.05
<i>Protium</i> sp.	2	0.006	0.0125	0.06	4	0.21	10	0.70	0.97
<i>Ouratea hexasperma</i>	2	0.005	0.0101	0.05	4	0.21	10	0.70	0.96
<i>Ocotea gardnerii</i>	2	0.030	0.0598	0.28	4	0.21	5	0.35	0.84
<i>Crateva tapia</i>	1	0.036	0.0719	0.33	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.79
<i>Xylopia ochrantha</i>	3	0.010	0.0201	0.09	6	0.32	5	0.35	0.76
<i>Chamaecrista ensiformis</i>	3	0.010	0.0195	0.09	6	0.32	5	0.35	0.76
<i>Abarema</i> sp.	3	0.008	0.0159	0.07	6	0.32	5	0.35	0.74
<i>Machaerium aculeatum</i>	2	0.016	0.0322	0.15	4	0.21	5	0.35	0.71

Undetermined 4	2	0.011	0.0219	0.10	4	0.21	5	0.35	0.66
Guatteria sp. 1	2	0.010	0.0193	0.09	4	0.21	5	0.35	0.65
Maytenus distichophylla	2	0.006	0.0119	0.06	4	0.21	5	0.35	0.62
Maprounea guianensis	1	0.015	0.0294	0.14	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.59
Inga capitata	1	0.014	0.0289	0.13	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.59
Tachigali densiflora	1	0.013	0.0257	0.12	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.57
Fabaceae 2	1	0.010	0.0201	0.09	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.55
Ficus sp.	1	0.010	0.0201	0.09	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.55
Ocotea glomerata	1	0.008	0.0162	0.07	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.53
Eschweilera ovata	1	0.007	0.0143	0.07	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.52
Myrtaceae 2	1	0.006	0.0118	0.05	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.51
Undetermined 6	1	0.006	0.0117	0.05	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.51
Annonaceae 2	1	0.006	0.0112	0.05	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.51
Zanthoxylum rhoifolium	1	0.006	0.0110	0.05	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.51
Hieronyma alchorneoides	1	0.005	0.0108	0.05	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.50
Psychotria carthagensis	1	0.005	0.0100	0.05	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.50
Myrcia sylvatica	1	0.005	0.0099	0.05	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.50
Annonaceae 1	1	0.005	0.0094	0.04	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.50
Simarouba amara	1	0.004	0.0084	0.04	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.49
Campomanesia sp. 1	1	0.003	0.0069	0.03	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.49
Undetermined 1	1	0.003	0.0066	0.03	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.48
Myrsine guianensis	1	0.003	0.0061	0.03	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.48
Xylopia frutescens	1	0.002	0.0050	0.02	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.48
Undetermined 3	1	0.002	0.0049	0.02	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.48
Undetermined 5	1	0.002	0.0049	0.02	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.48
Psidium sp.	1	0.002	0.0049	0.02	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.48
Undetermined 2	1	0.002	0.0048	0.02	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.48
Fabaceae 1	1	0.002	0.0046	0.02	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.48
Inga laurina	1	0.002	0.0041	0.02	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.47
Richeria sp.	1	0.002	0.0041	0.02	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.47
Allophylus sp.	1	0.002	0.0036	0.02	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.47
Myrcia guianensis	1	0.002	0.0036	0.02	2	0.11	5	0.35	0.47
Total	944	10.818	21.64	100	1888	100	1435	100	300

194 \*Where: NI = number of individuals sampled in the area of 0.5 ha;  $\Sigma G$  = sum of basal area  
195 ( $m^2 \cdot ha^{-1}$ ); ADo = absolute dominance ( $m^2 \cdot ha^{-1}$ ); RDo = relative dominance (%); AD = absolute  
196 density (individuals. $ha^{-1}$ ); RD = relative density (%); AF = absolute frequency (%); RF = relative  
197 frequency (%); VI = value of importance (%).

198 The species *Buchenavia tetrphylla*, *Guapira nitida*, and *Manilkara* sp. obtained the highest  
199 values about relative dominance, density, and frequency, differing only the order between  
200 them according to each parameter. Therefore, the five species of most significant value of  
201 importance in the analyzed fragment were, respectively, *Guapira nitida*,  
202 *Buchenavia tetrphylla*, *Manilkara* sp., *Byrsonima crassifolia*, and *Sloanea obtusifolia* (Fig. 6).



203 Fig. 6. Five species with the highest value of importance of the adult tree component of  
 204 an Atlantic Forest stretch located in Pernambuco, Brazil.  
 205

206 The most important species of the analyzed fragment, *Guapira nitida*, comes from the family  
 207 Nyctaginaceae. It is an initial secondary species, endemic to Brazil, with a shrubby arboreal  
 208 habit [45]. It occurs in Atlantic forests, being dense and open ombrophilous forest or  
 209 in sandbanks, or seasonal semi-deciduous lowland forest [46,47], but has a preference for  
 210 the interiors of the coastal Atlantic Forest, with the presence of humidity and shade [48].

211 *Buchenavia tetraphylla* belongs to the family Combretaceae, and it is characterized as initial  
 212 secondary. It is neotropical, occurring from the island of Cuba to the State of Rio de Janeiro  
 213 [49].

214 The genus *Manilkara* sp., of the Sapotaceae family, was identified in 19 species in Brazil, in  
 215 23 different vegetational formations, being them Amazon forest, Atlantic Forest, Caatinga and  
 216 Cerrado, with 12 occurring in the Brazilian Northeast [50,51]. However, some species of the  
 217 genus are not collected due to the vast territorial area of the States or the lack of expeditions  
 218 and research [52].

219 The species *Byrsonima crassifolia* is a pioneer species belonging to the family Malpighiaceae,  
 220 which occurs in all regions of Brazil, except in the southern region. It has a preference for dry  
 221 and elevated soils of sandy and poor soils [53]. Also, according to the author, *Byrsonima*  
 222 *crassifolia* is a deciduous, heliophytic, and selective xerophytic plant. Its frequency is moderate  
 223 to discontinuous, and its density varies according to the vegetation and region of occurrence.  
 224 This species is essential for the maintenance of solitary bees, animals that naturally have their  
 225 populations reduced [54].

226 *Sloanea obtusifolia* belongs to the family Elaeocarpaceae and occurs in the Atlantic Forest,  
 227 where there has been a drastic reduction of the original vegetation in the last ten years. It  
 228 belongs to the group of initial secondary. The population of the species is significantly reduced  
 229 due to the use of wood for various purposes, being considered "vulnerable" by the Flora Red  
 230 List of the Espírito Santo [55,56].

231 From these data, the Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index ( $H'$ ) calculated for this fragment was  
 232 3.21 nats.ind<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, the Pielou Uniformity Index ( $J$ ) was 0.73, that is, 73% of  
 233 uniformity.

234 The Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index ( $H'$ ) values in forest environments usually vary between  
235 1.5 and 3.5, sometimes exceeding four  $\text{nats.ind}^{-1}$  [57]. The value found for this parameter is  
236 following those found in other studies of different forest phytophysiognomies in the State of  
237 Pernambuco, such as Cola et al. [58], with 3.44  $\text{nats.ind}^{-1}$ ; Oliveira et al. [59], with 3.61  
238  $\text{nats.ind}^{-1}$ ; Silva Júnior et al. [60] found 3.91  $\text{nats.ind}^{-1}$ ; and Rocha et al. [61] showing 3.6  
239  $\text{nats.ind}^{-1}$ .

240 The Pielou Uniformity Index indicates that 27% more species are missing for the fragment to  
241 reach its maximum point of diversity [62]. A similar result to those found by Santos [42], which  
242 was 78%, and by Holanda et al. [63] of 77%, both in the State of Pernambuco. It can be stated  
243 that the uniformity of the analyzed fragment is under the pattern of the fragments of the region.

244 Although the property adopts agricultural production, these indices indicate high diversity and  
245 uniformity, because the areas adjacent to the fragment were abandoned and allowed to  
246 regenerate.

#### 247 4. CONCLUSION

248

249 In the present study, 74 tree species were found, belonging to 29 families, with a density of  
250 1,888  $\text{ind.ha}^{-1}$  and the dominance of 21.64  $\text{m}^2.\text{ha}^{-1}$ . These values are in agreement with the  
251 values found in studies in nearby regions.

252 Regarding the ecological groups, 46% of the species were classified as initial secondary. This  
253 information demonstrates that the fragment is in a medium or secondary stage  
254 of development, where, with no anthropic interference in the dynamics of the fragment, it  
255 can reach maturity.

256 The most important species being *Guapira nitida*, *Buchenavia tetraphylla*, *Manilkara*  
257 *sp.*, *Byrsonima crassifolia*, and *Sloanea obtusifolia*, respectively, four of them are  
258 characterized as initial secondary and one of them as a pioneer. This information corroborates  
259 with the analysis of succession found in the studied area, pointing to the medium stage of  
regeneration.

260 The value of the Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index ( $H'$ ) was 3.21  $\text{nats.ind}^{-1}$ , and the Pielou  
261 Uniformity Index ( $J$ ) was 0.73. They indicate that the analyzed stretch has a high diversity and  
262 a good pattern of uniformity of the adult tree component species, making it essential to  
263 continue its conservation. These values are a consequence of regeneration in the areas  
264 adjacent to the fragment.

265 Still, it is necessary to maintain the processes that involve the genetic flow of flora and fauna,  
266 since their landscape matrix is predominantly composed of pastures.

267

268 .

269

270

271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323

## REFERENCES

1. Mittermeier RA, Gil PR, Hoffmann M, Pilgrim J, Brooks T, Mittermeier CG, et al. Hotspots Revisited. 1st ed. Cemex; 2004.
2. Meunier IMJ, Ferreira RLC, Silva JAA. Does the licensing of Caatinga Forest Management Plans ensure its sustainability ?. Brazilian Forestry Research. 2018; 38 (17); 1-7. Portuguese.
3. Lima FVS. Ecological Succession after the Charcoal Manufacture in the Massif da Pedra Branca, Brazil. University of Lisbon. 2019; 101. Portuguese.
4. Mikich SB, Liebsch D. Assessment of food supplementation and surveillance as techniques to reduce damage caused by black capuchin monkeys *Sapajus nigritus* to forest plantations. Current Zoology. 2014; 60 (5); 581–590.
5. Joly CA, Metzger JP, Tabarelli M. Experiences from the Brazilian Atlantic Forest: ecological findings and conservation initiatives. New Phytologist. 2014; 204: 459-473.
6. Oliveira EKB, Rezende AV, Freitas LJM, Murta Júnior LS, Barros QS, Costa LS. Monitoring the structure and ecological characterization in a tropical forest managed in the Brazilian Amazon. Brazilian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2019; 14 (4); e6867. DOI: 10.5039 / agraria.v14i4a6867. Portuguese
7. Santos JHS, Ferreira RLC, Silva JAA, Souza AL, Santos ES, Meunier IMJ. Distinction of ecological groups of forest species using multivariate techniques. Tree Magazine. 2004; 28 (3); 387-396. Portuguese.
8. Floriano EP. Forest Phytosociology. 1st ed. São Gabriel: Author's edition; 2014. Portuguese.
9. Giehl ELH, Budke JC. Application of the scientific method in phytosociological studies in Brazil: in search of a paradigm. In: Felfili JM, Eisenlohr PV, Melo MMRF, Andrade LA, Neto JAAM. Phytosociology in Brazil: methods and case studies. Viçosa: Botanical Society of Brazil; 2011. Portuguese.
10. Chaves ADCG, Santos RMS, Santos JO, Fernandes AA, Maracajá PB. The importance of floristic and phytosociological surveys for forest conservation and preservation. Scientific Agriculture in the Semiarid Region. 2013; 9 (2); 42-48. Portuguese.
11. Leite MJH, Lima TM, Marcelino ISN, Pinto AVF, Longhi RV, Oliveira GFS, et al. Richness and diversity of species forestry of Atlantic forest fragments in the state of Pernambuco. Brazilian Journal of Development. 2019; 5 (11); 22784-22790. Accessed 07 April 2020. Available: <http://brjd.com.br/index.php/BRJD/article/view/4272/4028>.
12. Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE). 2018. Accessed 22 Nov 2019. Available: <https://cidades.ibge.gov.br/brasil/pe/garanhuns/panorama>. Portuguese.
13. Alvares CA, Stape JL, Sentelhas PC, Moraes Gonçalves JL, Sparovek G. Köppen's

clim  
ate  
clas  
sific  
atio  
n  
map  
for  
Braz  
il.  
Met  
eoro  
logis  
che  
Zeit  
schri  
ft.  
201  
3;  
22  
(6);  
711-  
728.  
  
14.  
Gui  
mar  
ães  
IP,  
Silv  
a  
Filh  
o  
AF,  
Go  
mes  
HA,  
Osa  
ko  
LS,  
Braz  
il  
EA,  
Lim  
a  
DR,  
et  
al.  
Geol  
ogy  
of  
the  
Gar

- anhuns SC.24-X-B-VI leaf. Pernambuco: CPRM; 2008. Portuguese.
15. Gonçalves LMS, Orlandi RP. Vegetation In: Radam Brasil Project, SC 24/25 leaves Aracajú / Recife. 1983; 30; 577-643. Portuguese.
16. Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE). Map of the area of application of Law No. 11,428 of 2006. 2008. Accessed 7 Jan 2020. Available: [http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/geociencias/default\\_prod\\_shtm](http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/geociencias/default_prod_shtm). Portuguese.
17. Martin L, Cavarro R. Technical Manual of Brazilian Vegetation 2nd ed. Rio de Janeiro: IBGE; 2012. Portuguese.
18. Marques EQ, Marimon-Junior BH, Marimon BS, Matricardi EAT, Mews HA, Colli GR. Redefining the Cerrado – Amazonia transition: implications for conservation. *Biodiversity and Conservation*. 2019; 29; 1501-1517.
19. Consulting and Systems Development (CIENTEC). Mata Nativa 2 Software: System for Phytosociological Analysis, Elaboration of Inventories and Management Plans of Native Forests. Viçosa: Cientec; 2006.
20. APG IV. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG IV. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*. 2016; 181; 1-20.
21. Budowski G. Distribution of tropical American forest species in a light of successional processes. *Turrialba*. 1965; 15 (1); 40-42.
22. Gandolfi S, Leitão Filho HF, Bezerra CLE. Floristic survey and successional character of tree shrub species from a semideciduous mesophilic forest in the city of Guarulhos, SP. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*. 1995; 55 (4); 753-767. Portuguese
23. Mueller-Dombois D, Ellenberg H. Aims and methods of vegetation ecology. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1974.
24. Lima HC, Queiroz LP, Morim MP, Souza VC, Dutra VF, Bortoluzzi RLC. et al. Fabaceae. In: List of species of flora in Brazil. Botanical Garden of Rio de Janeiro. Rio de Janeiro; 2013. Accessed 18 Dec 2019. Available: <http://floradobrasil.jbrj.gov.br/jabot/floradobrasil/FB115>. Portuguese.
25. The Brazil Flora Group (BFG). Growing knowledge: an overview of Seed Plant diversity in Brazil. *Rodriguésia*, 2015; 66 (4); 1085-1113. DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/2175-7860201566411>.
26. Azani N, Babineau M, Bailey CD, Banks H, Barbosa AR, Pinto RB, et al. A new subfamily classification of the Leguminosae based on a taxonomically comprehensive phylogeny. *Taxon*. 2017; 66 (1); 44-77.
27. Barros LC. Taxonomic study of species of the genus *Dicymbe* Spruce Ex Benth. (Fabaceae; Detarioideae) occurring in the Brazilian Amazon. Federal University of Pará. 2017; 39. Portuguese.
28. Sobral M, Proença C, Souza M, Mazine F, Lucas E. Myrtaceae. In: List of species of Flora of Brazil. Botanical Garden of Rio de Janeiro. Rio de Janeiro; 2015. Accessed 11 Dec



201 ntic forest of southeastern Brazil. Biological Conservation.  
9. 1999; 91; 119-127.  
Avai 30. Sobral M, Proença CEB. *Siphoneugena delicata* (Myrtaceae), a new species from the  
lable : Montane Atlantic Forests of Southeastern Brazil. *Novon*. 2006; 16; 530-532.  
http: 31. Krinski D, Massaroli A, Machado M. Insecticidal potential of plants of the family  
//flor Annonaceae. *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura*. 2014; 36; 225-242. DOI  
ado http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-29452014000500027. Portuguese.  
bras  
il.jbrj 32. Silva CA, Neta AMD. Reproductive aspects and floral visitors of *Duguetia marcgraviana*  
.gov Mart. (Annonaceae) in the southwest region of Mato Grosso. *Biotemas Magazine*. 2010; 23  
.br/j (1); 69-  
abot 76. Portuguese.  
/flor  
ado  
bras  
il/  
FB1 33. Quinet A, Baitello JB, Moraes PLR, Assis L, Alves FM. Lauraceae. In: List of Species of  
71. Flora of Brazil. Botanical Garden of Rio de Janeiro. Rio de Janeiro; 2012. Accessed 10 Jan  
Port 2020. Available: <http://floradobrasil.jbrj.gov.br/2012/FB030199>. Portuguese.  
ugu  
ese. 34. Gentry A. Changes in plant community diversity and floristic composition on  
29. environmental and geographical gradients. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden*. 1989;  
Tab 75 (1); 1-34.  
arelli 35. The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN). Red List of Threatened  
M, Species, 2009. Accessed 8 Jan 2020. Available: [www.iucnredlist.org](http://www.iucnredlist.org).  
Man 36. Somner GV, Ferrucci MS. Sapindaceae. In: Wanderlay MGL, Shepherd GJ, Martins SE,  
tova Estrada TEMD, Romanini RP, Koch I, et al. *Phanerogamic Flora of the State of São Paulo*.  
ni São Paulo: Rima; 2009; 6; 195-255. Portuguese.  
W, 37. Somner GV, Ferrucci MS, Acevedo-Rodríguez P. *Serjania*. In: *Flora Species List*  
Pere CA. of Brazil. Botanical Garden of Rio de Janeiro. 2013. Accessed 11 Dec 2019. Available:  
s http://floradobrasil.jbrj.gov.br/jabot/floradobrasil/FB32743. Portuguese.  
Effe  
cts  
of  
habi  
tat  
frag  
men  
tatio  
n on  
plan  
t  
guild  
stru  
ctur  
e in  
the  
mon  
tane  
Atla

42. uco. 2014; 90. Portuguese.
- Santos WB. Structure of the arboreal component of the border and interior of the ombrophilous forest fragment, Mata do Camurim, in São Lourenço da Mata - PE, Brazil. Federal Rural University of Pernambuco. 2014; 90. Portuguese.
43. Nascimento LM, Rodal MJN. Physiognomy and structure of a seasonal mountain forest in the Borborema massif, Pernambuco - Brazil. *Brasil Magazine*. 2008; 31; 27-39. Portuguese.
44. Costa Junior RF, Ferreira RLC, Rodal MJN, Feliciano ALP, Marangon LC, Silva WC. Arboreal floristics of an Atlantic Forest fragment in Catende, Pernambuco - Northeast Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2007; 2 (4); 297-302. Portuguese.
45. National Flora Conservation Center (CNCFLORA). *Guapira nitida* (Mart. Ex J.A.Schmidt) Lundell. 2012. Accessed 10 Jan 2020. Available: <http://cncflora.jbrj.gov.br/portal/pt-br / profile / Guapira% 20nitida>. Portuguese.
46. Sá CFC, Stehmann JR, Forzza RC, Salino A, et al. Nyctaginaceae. In: *List of Species of Flora of Brazil*. Botanical Garden of Rio de Janeiro. Rio de Janeiro; 2009. Portuguese.
47. Rodal MJN, Lucena MFA, Andrade KVSA, Melo AL. Mata do Toró: a Forest Semideciduous lowland station in northeastern Brazil. *Hoehnea*. 2005; 32; 283-294.
48. Furlan A, Giulietti AM. The Pisonieae meisner (Nyctaginaceae) tribe in Brazil. *Newsletter of Botany*. 2014; 32; 145-268. DOI 10.11606 / issn.2316-9052.v32i2p145-268. Portuguese.
49. Weaver PL. *Buchenavia capitata* (Vahl.) Eichler: Granadillo. SO-ITF-SM-43, Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station, New Orleans. 1991. Accessed 10 Jan 2020. Available: <https://www.fs.usda.gov/treearch/pubs/30373>.
50. Almeida Jr EB, Silva ANF, Zickel CS. New occurrence of *Manilkara* Adans. (Sapotaceae) to the coast of Maranhão, northeastern Brazil. *Revista Trópica - Agricultural and Biological Sciences*. 2018; 10 (1); 38-43. Portuguese.
51. Pennington TD. Sapotaceae. In: *Flora Neotropica*. The New York Botanical Garden, New York; nineteen ninety.
52. Almeida Jr EB, Zickel CS. Occurrence note of *Manilkara rufula* (Miq.) H.j. Lam (Sapotaceae) for the State of Rio Grande do Norte. *Research, Botany*. São Leopoldo: Anchieta Research Institute; 2011; 62; 381-385. Portuguese.
53. Lorenzi H. *Brazilian trees: Manual of identification and cultivation of tree plants native to Brazil*. Nova Odessa - SP: Editora Plantarum; 1998. Portuguese.
54. Araújo RR, Santos ED, Farias DBS, Lemos EEP, Alves RE. *Byrsonima crassifolia* and *B. verbascifolia*: murici. In: Coradin L, Camillo J, Pareyn FGC. *Native Species of Brazilian Flora of Current or Potential Economic Value: Plants for the Future: Northeast Region*. Brasília: MMA; 2018. Accessed 10 Jan 2020. Available: <https://www.infoteca.cnptia.embrapa.br/infoteca/handle/doc/1104683>.
55. National Flora Conservation Center (CNCFLORA). *Sloanea obtusifolia* (Moric) Schum. In: *Red List of Brazilian flora*. 2012. Accessed 10 Jan 2020. Available: [http://cncflora.jbrj.gov.br/portal/pt-br/profile/Sloanea obtusifolia](http://cncflora.jbrj.gov.br/portal/pt-br/profile/Sloanea%20obtusifolia). Portuguese.
56. Simonelli M, Fraga CN. *Flora species threatened with extinction in the state of Espírito Santo*

Holy .  
Vitória,  
ES:  
IPE  
MA;  
200  
7.  
Portu  
gu  
ese.  
57.  
Fel  
fil  
i JM,  
Rez  
end  
e  
RP.  
Con  
cept  
s  
and  
Met  
hod  
s in  
Phyt  
osoc  
iolog  
y.  
Bras  
ilia:  
Univ  
ersit  
y of  
Bras  
ilia,  
Fore  
stry  
Dep  
artm  
ent;  
200  
3.  
Port  
ugu  
ese.  
58.  
Cola  
RE,

Nobre SCM, Farias DS, Silva LG, Pinto AVF, Brandão CFLS. Phytosociology and dispersion syndrome in a stretch of Atlantic forest, in Paulista - PE. *Scientific Agriculture in the Semi-arid Region*. 2019; 15 (3); 213-218. DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.30969/acsa.v15i3.1181>. Portuguese.

59. Oliveira LSB, Marangon LC, Feliciano ALP, Cardoso MO, Lima AS, Santos WB, et al. Structure of the woody component of an Atlantic forest Fragment, Moreno - PE. *Semina: Agricultural Sciences*. 2012; 33 (1); 203-212. DOI 10.5433 / 1679-0359.2012v33n1p203. Portuguese.

60. Silva Júnior JF, Marangon LC, Ferreira RLC, Feliciano ALP, Brandão CFLS, Alves Júnior FT. Phytosociology of the tree component in an Atlantic Forest remnant in the municipality of Cabo de Santo Agostinho, PE. *Brazilian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2008; 3 (3); 276-282. Portuguese.

61. Rocha KD, Chaves LFC, Marangon LC, Lins and Silva ACB. Characterization of adult tree vegetation in an Atlantic forest fragment, Igarassu, PE. *Brazilian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2008; 3 (1); 35-41. DOI 10.5039 / agraria.v3i1a219. Portuguese.

62. Brower JE, Zar JH. Biotic sampling methods. In: Brower JE, Zar JH. *Field and laboratory methods for general ecology*. Iowa: Wm. C. Brown; 1977; 65-105.

63. Holanda AC, Feliciano ALP, Marangon LC, Santos MS, Melo CLSMS, Pessoa MML. Structure of tree species under edge effect in a fragment of Seasonal Semideciduous Forest in Pernambuco. *Tree Magazine*. 2010; 34 (1); 103-114. DOI <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-67622010000100012>. Portuguese.