

1                   **EVALUATION OF ENZYMES PRODUCTION ACTIVITY OF SPECIES OF**  
2                   ***Trichoderma, Aspergillus* AND *Rhizopus* IN PARAEFORCE (HERBICIDE)**  
3                   **DEGRADATION.**

4  
5  
6                   **ABSTRACT**

7                   The aim of the study is to evaluate enzymes that facilitate fungal degradation of paraeforce. Soil  
8                   samples for fungal isolation were collected from impacted sites and inoculated on potato  
9                   dextrose agar (PDA). The isolates were screened for growth and tolerance to paraeforce in 50mg/l  
10                  concentration of the test herbicides. *Trichoderma*, *Aspergillus* and *Rhizopus* species were found  
11                  to grow in paraeforce supplemented PDA. Qualitative and quantitative assay for enzyme  
12                  production in hydrogen peroxide, methyl red, guaiacol and hydrogen peroxide-pyrogallol  
13                  complex proved potential for catalase, lignin peroxidase, laccase and manganese peroxidase  
14                  production respectively. The results showed that these three fungi have great potential for  
15                  catalase, peroxidase and laccase production after six days aerobic incubation in paraeforce and  
16                  these enzymes facilitated the utilization of the paraeforce.

17                  **Keywords:** Soil, enzymes, fungal degradation, paraeforce  
18

19                  **INTRODUCTION**

20                  The use of herbicides in agriculture has over the years contributed immensely to food and cash  
21                  crop production. The wrong application of these herbicides has resulted in the contamination of  
22                  soils, streams, rivers and ground water which are important natural resources [1]. These  
23                  contaminations do not pose danger to only the non-target organisms and the environment but  
24                  exposes human beings to many health implications. Some physicochemical methods of  
25                  herbicides' degradation such as chemical precipitation, electrophoresis/ electrochemical  
26                  treatment, solvent extraction, membrane technology, evaporation recovery, and chemical  
27                  oxidation or reduction are quite cumbersome and expensive, and sometimes leave behind toxic  
28                  metabolic intermediate products that further contaminate the soil.

29                  According to Belal *et al.* [2], most microorganisms can detoxify these compounds, mineralize  
30                  them or use them for microbial growth. Biodegradation is achieved through microbial complex  
31                  enzyme systems and their ability to withstand adverse environmental conditions [3]. Fungi  
32                  feature among the nature's most vigorous agent of wastes' decomposition and are essential  
33                  component of the soil food web. Baldwin *et al* [4] found that the most effective organisms for  
34                  decomposing herbicides are fungi, isolated mainly from several soils hence the choice of these  
35                  species.

36                  The objective of this research is to determine the potential of *Trichoderma*, *Aspergillus* and  
37                  *Rhizopus* species to produce paraeforce degrading enzymes and to determine the various  
38                  enzymes activity.

39  
40  
41                  **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## 42 **Isolation of fungal species**

43 Soil samples used for the isolation of the test fungal species were collected, homogeneously  
44 mixed and carefully sorted to remove stones and other unwanted soil debris using a 2.5 mm  
45 sieve. The potato dextrose agar (PDA) media was autoclaved at 121°C for 15 minutes, allowed to  
46 cool and 20ml dispensed aseptically on the sterile disposable Petri dishes. One gram of each  
47 sorted soil sample was homogeneously mixed with 1 drop of Tween 80 to enhance growth and  
48 was sprinkled onto the PDA and incubated for 7 days at 30°C. Ampiclox 25mg/l was added to  
49 the media after autoclaving to prevent contamination by bacteria.

50

51 To purify the fungal isolates, the cultures were carefully and aseptically sub-cultured on PDA  
52 and stored on slants for future use. The fungal isolates were characterized on the basis of cultural  
53 and morphological characteristics [5] in a lactophenol cotton blue wet mount on a microscope at  
54 x10 and x40 objective lenses and on the basis of their gram reaction. Observed characteristics  
55 was recorded and compared with the established identification key by Barnett and Hunter [6].

56

## 57 **Screening of fungal species for enzymes production**

58 Extracellular enzymes assay was conducted to investigate the production of enzymes by the  
59 isolated fungi. The fungi were screened for the production of the following enzymes:-

60

### 61 **Catalase production**

62 To determine catalase production potential of the isolated fungi, small inoculums of the culture  
63 will be mixed into 3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution [7]. Effervescence due to breakdown of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> into O<sub>2</sub> and  
64 H<sub>2</sub>O shows catalase production. Catalase activity will be evaluated by using scale to indicate the  
65 degree of reaction. Thus, -, +, ++, +++ to indicate “no reaction, weak, moderate and strong  
66 reactions respectively.

67

### 68 **Determination of catalase activity**

69 Extracellular catalase activities were measured in culture filtrates using the method described by  
70 Caridis *et al.* [8]. Catalase activity was measured spectrophotometrically by observing the  
71 decrease in light absorption at 240 nm during decomposition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by the enzyme.

72

### 73 **Laccase production**

74

#### 75 **Qualitative method**

76 The ability of the fungal strains to secrete extracellular laccase was done according to the method  
77 of Kiiskinen *et al.* [9]. The assay plate contained 15 ml potato dextrose agar amended with  
78 0.02% of guaiacol. The plates will be incubated at 30 C for 1–5 days. The presence of brown  
79 color around the colony will be considered as guaiacol oxidizing laccase secreting organism.

80

#### 81 **Quantitative method**

82 Guaiacol has been reported as efficient substrate for laccase assay. The intense brown color  
83 development due to oxidation of guaiacol by laccase was correlated to its activity and read at 450  
84 nm [10]. This was repeated each day for six (6) days. Enzyme activity was expressed as

85 International Units (IU/ml), [11]. The laccase activity in U/ml is calculated using the extinction  
86 coefficient of guaiacol (12,100 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) at 450 nm by the formula:

87  
88 
$$E.A = (A * V) / (t * e * v)$$

89  
90 Where E.A = Enzyme Activity (U/ml), A = Absorbance at 450nm, V = Total volume of reaction  
91 mixture (ml), v = enzyme volume (ml), t = Incubation time (min) and e = Extinction Coefficient  
92 (M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>)

### 93 **Qualitative method of lignin Peroxidase assay**

94 Methyl Orange Dye Decolorization Plate Assay of Lopez *et al.* [12] was used to primarily screen  
95 isolates for their lignolytic potential Culture was inoculated onto methyl orange agar plates  
96 (0.5% methyl orange in PDA) and incubated at 25°C. Growth was followed for a period of 2  
97 weeks. Positive reaction is indicated by the formation of a clear zone around the colony. Positive  
98 results indicate the production of lignin degrading enzymes which decolorize the polymeric dyes.

99

### 100 **Quantitative method of lignin Peroxidase activity**

101 Lignin peroxidase activity was determined by the method described by Arachibald [13]. This  
102 method is based on the oxidation of dye azure B. The reaction mixture (1ml) contained 50mM  
103 sodium tartrate buffer (pH 3.0), 32mM azure B, 1mM hydrogen peroxide and culture filtrate. The  
104 mixture is incubated for 10 min at 30°C. The reaction was initiated by adding hydrogen peroxide  
105 and absorbance is immediately measured at 651nm in one-minute intervals after addition of  
106 H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. One unit of enzyme activity is expressed as decrease in absorbance of 0.1 units per  
107 minute.

### 108 **Qualitative method of Manganese Peroxidase assay**

109 This was determined qualitatively using the method of Rayner and Boddy [14] as reported by  
110 Lopez *et al.* [12]. Isolates were inoculated into nutrient agar and incubated at 30°C for 48hours.  
111 Thereafter, 30ml of 0.4% (v/v) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and 1% pyrogallol in water will be added to colonies.  
112 Those with yellow-brown colour will be recorded as positive.

113

### 114 **Quantitative method of Manganese Peroxidase activity**

115 Manganese peroxidase (MnP) activity was measured following the method described by  
116 Paszczynski, *et al.* [15]. This method is based on the oxidation of guaiacol.

117

### 118 **Statistical Analysis**

119 Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) statistics 20 software was used to determine  
120 the statistical significant differences in the pattern of enzyme activities of the fungi.

121

122

123

124

125 **RESULTS**

126 **Table 1: Colonial and Cell Morphological of the fungal isolates**

127	<b>Code</b>	<b>Colonial morphology</b>	<b>Cell morphology</b>	<b>presumptive organism</b>
128	F1	Grey, rough edged and dry	Spherical, budding cells, single and occasional 129 130 131 132 paired and elongated	<i>Trichoderma</i> sp
133	F2	Dry black, flat colonies with rough edge on PDA	Septate and branched mycelia. Conidia were in chains.	<i>Aspergillus</i> sp
137	F3	Whitish fluffy colonies covering the entire plate 138 139 140 141 brown/orange spores and whitish cotton like structures	Non septate hyphae, sporangiospores and black hemispherical columella	<i>Rhizopus</i> sp

142  
143  
144 Table 1 above shows four species of paraeforce degrading fungi isolated and characterized from  
145 previously exposed soil samples. These are *Trichoderma* sp, *Aspergillus* sp and *Rhizopus* sp.  
146 these isolates are illustrated in Plate 1 below. Plate 2 and 3 illustrates the qualitative enzymes  
147 assay of the various species of the isolated paraeforce fungal degraders.  
148



149  
150 *Trichoderma* sp



*Aspergillus* sp



152  
153 *Rhizopus* sp

154 **Plate 1: Fungal isolates**

155

156 **Enzyme assay**



158 *Trichoderma sp*

*Aspergillus sp*

*Rhizopus sp*

159 Plate 2: Qualitative assay of fungal laccase production

160  
 161 Table 2 shows the qualitative assay of enzymes production by the different microorganisms.  
 162 Stronger potential was seen with *Rhizopus sp*, followed by *Aspergillus sp* and *Trichoderma sp*.  
 163 Quantitative enzyme activity was measured spectrophotometrically over a period of six days. On  
 164 the first day, the three fungal isolates showed a low level enzymes activity thus; 0.06, 0.12 and  
 165 0.01 U/ml of catalase enzyme for *Trichoderma*, *Aspergillus* and *Rhozopus* respectively. This low  
 166 activity changed after the second day as represented in Table 3. There was a lag phase between  
 167 the third and fourth day with respect *Trichoderma* production of catalase enzyme followed by  
 168 exponential phase. The fungi presented the same picture in peroxidase production except in  
 169 mmanganese peroxidase where there is sharp decline on the fifth day from 4.77U/ml to 2.9U/ml  
 170 in *Aspergillus sp*. Similarly, there was sharp decline in laccase production on the fifth day by  
 171 *Trichoderma sp* from 5.2 to 4.4U/ml. Generally, *Rhizopus* showed greater pontential for the  
 172 production of catalase, manganese peroxidase, lignin peroxidase and laccase with unit values of  
 173 6.1, 6.6, 6.88 and 5.56U/ml respectively when compared with *Aspergillus* and *Trichoderma*  
 174 (4.91, 2.9, 6.08 , 4.9 and 4.2, 2.21, 4.4, 2.94 U/ml respectively) on the sixth day as shown on  
 175 Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6. Analysis of variance on data generated showed that there is no significant  
 176 difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) on the pattern of enzyme activities of these fungi.

177

178 **Table 2: Qualitative assay of associated fungal enzymes**

179

180 Code	catalase	Mn peroxidase	lignin peroxidase	laccase
181 <i>Trichoderma sp</i>	+	+	+	++
182 <i>Aspergillus sp</i>	++	++	++	++
183 <i>Rhizopus sp</i>	++	++	+++	+++

184 Key: ( - ) = no reaction, ( + ) = weak reaction, ( ++ ) = moderate reaction, ( +++ ) = strong reaction

185

186 **Table 3: Catalase production during growth of isolates (Extracellular enzyme activity)**

187

188 **Catalase activity (U/ml)**

189

190 Time (days)	<i>Trichoderma sp</i>	<i>Aspergillus sp</i>	<i>Rhizopus sp</i>
191 1	0.06	0.21	0.01
192 2	0.18	0.56	0.32
193 3	2.35	3.43	2.43
194 4	2.0	4.96	3.12
195 5	3.15	5.38	5.7
196 6	4.25	4.91	6.1

197

198

199

200

201 **Table 4: Manganese Peroxidase production during growth of isolates (Extracellular enzyme activity)**

202

203

204 **Manganese Peroxidase activity (U/ml)**

205

206 Time (days)	<i>Trichoderma sp</i>	<i>Aspergillus sp</i>	<i>Rhizopus sp</i>
207 1	0.08	0.17	0.24
208 2	0.13	0.55	1.09
209 3	1.08	1.98	2.57
210 4	1.54	3.71	3.88
211 5	1.73	4.77	5.02
212 6	2.21	2.9	6.68

213

214

215

216 **Table 5: Laccase production during growth of isolates (Extracellular enzyme activity)**

217

218 **Laccase activity (U/ml)**

219

220 Time (days)	<i>Trichoderma sp</i>	<i>Aspergillus sp</i>	<i>Rhizopus sp</i>
221 1	0.35	0.12	0.03
222 2	1.89	1.23	0.66
223 3	2.30	3.37	1.89
224 4	4.67	4.50	3.06
225 5	5.90	5.38	3.99
226 6	4.86	6.08	6.81

227

228

229

230

231 **Table 6: Lignin Peroxidase production during growth of isolates**  
232 **(Extracellular enzyme activity)**

233

234 <b>Culture</b>		235 <b>Lignin Peroxidase activity (U/ml)</b>		
236 <b>Time (days)</b>	237 <i>Trichoderma sp</i>	238 <i>Aspergillus sp</i>	239 <i>Rhizopus sp</i>	
240 1	241 0.01	242 0.25	243 0.34	
244 2	245 0.09	246 0.67	247 0.78	
248 3	249 0.74	250 1.47	251 2.2	
252 4	253 1.26	254 2.21	255 2.89	
256 5	257 1.99	258 3.12	259 3.79	
260 6	261 2.94	262 4.9	263 5.56	

264

245

## 246 **DISCUSSION**

247 Paraforce is a recalcitrant nitrogenous based herbicides general ly used in agronomy to control  
248 weeds. This herbicide is toxic and continous accumulation in soil poses health challenges to man  
249 and farm animals. This work strived to fungal enzymes involved in detoxification of this  
250 herbicide. The preliminary screening test done identified three species of fungi capable of  
251 degrading paraforce. Thus, *Trichoderma sp*, *Aspergillus sp* and *Rhizopus sp* as contain in Table  
252 1. Characterization in Table 1 was based on colonial and cell morphology described by Barnett  
253 and Hunter [6]. these screened fungal species demonstrated the ability to produce enzymes  
254 catalase, peroxidases and laccase ( Table 2) in line with the works of Baldwin *et al.* [4] which  
255 stated that fungi are the major sources of pollutant degrading enzymes. Though primary  
256 screening using indicators is a major tool for selection of lignolytic organisms, it was observed  
257 that there was no direct correlation between enzyme activity and intensity of zone or colouration  
258 as shown in the experimental results generated.

259 The organisms demonstrated exponential rate of catalase production except for *Trichoderma sp*  
260 which on the third day showed a lag phase till the fourth day. This could be attributed to the  
261 presence of inhibitory metabolites as reported by Subhani *et al.* [16] and an attempt to adjust to  
262 the presence of these substance or changes in the enviroenmental such as pH conditions  
263 occasioned by these metabolites. However, the production of catalase dropped with *Aspergillus*  
264 *sp* after a pick production on the fifth day. This could be attributed to nutrient depletion, increase  
265 in metabolite concentration and cell death. These factors were also responsible for slight decline  
266 in *Rhizopus sp* catalase activity after the fifth day.

267

268 In manganese peroxidase activity, there was a steady rise this enzyme production by the three  
269 species up to the sixth day except for *Aspergillus sp* sharp decline on the sixth. Here, also,  
270 nutrient depletion, high concentration of metabolites, alteration of medium pH and cell death  
271 may be responsible for this decline.

273 Table 2 showed that *Rhizopus* has the greatest potential for the production of these enzymes. In  
274 Table 5, laccase production by these fungi followed some defined pattern over a period of six  
275 days with slight deviation in *Trichoderma* sp production in paraeforce supplemented medium.  
276 Maximum laccase production with *Trichoderma* sp was on the fifth day followed a gradual  
277 decline in production. All the three fungal species demonstrated great potential for the  
278 production of lignin peroxidase in paraeforce supplemented medium. Results indicated that all  
279 the three isolates could efficiently grow and produce lignolytic enzymes in both stationary and  
280 solid state conditions of growth and thus could be exploited for enzyme production by stationary  
281 as well as solid state fermentation [17]. Conclusively, therefore, it is believed that the ability of  
282 *Trichoderma*, *Aspergillus* and *Rhizopus* isolates to metabolize the herbicides paraeforce is due to  
283 their ability to produce enzymes catalase, manganese and lignin peroxidases and laccase, and  
284 must be encouraged since these fungal activities are known to be ecologically friendly.

## 285 CONCLUSION

286 *Trichoderma*, *Aspergillus* and *Rhizopus* species were found to grow in paraeforce supplemented  
287 media. Qualitative and quantitative assay for enzyme production in hydrogen peroxide, methyl  
288 red, guaiacol and hydrogen peroxide-pyrogallol complex proved potential for catalase, lignin  
289 peroxidase, laccase and manganese peroxidase production respectively. It is recommended that  
290 these fungi isolates be used to clean up paraeforce impacted soil

## 292 REFERENCES

- 294 1. Baran N, Mouvet C, Negrel P. Hydrodynamic and geochemical constraints on pesticide  
295 concentrations in the groundwater of an agricultural catchment (Brevilles, France).  
296 *Environmental Pollution*. 2007; 148 (3): 729-738.
- 298 2. Belal EB, Zidan NA, Mahmoud HA, Eissa FI. Bioremediation of pesticides-contaminated  
299 soils. *J. Agric. Res. Kafrelsheikh. Univ.* 2008; 34: 588 – 608.
- 301 3. Castillo JM, Casas J, Romero E. Isolation of an endosulfandegrading bacterium from a  
302 coffee farm soil: persistence and inhibitory effect on its biological functions. *Sci. Total Environ.*  
303 2011; 5: 412-413.
- 304 4. Baldwin BC, Bray MF, Goeghegan MJ. The Microbial Decomposition of Paraquat, *Biochem.*  
305 *Journal*. 1977; 101(2): 15, Syngenta File no. PP 148/0546.
- 307 5. Aneja KR. Experiments in Microbiology, Plant pathology and Biotechnology. 4<sup>th</sup> Edn. New  
308 Age International Pvt, Ltd, India. 2005.



- 310 6. Barnett HL, Hunter B. Illustrated genera of imperfecti fungi, 3rd edn. Burges publishing  
311 company, USA. 1972.
- 312
- 313 7. Shivani D, Jain SK. Extracellular enzymatic profile of fungal deteriogens of historical palace  
314 of Ujjain. *Int. j. current microbiology*. 2005; 4:122-132.
- 315
- 316 8. Caridis KA, Christakopoulos P, Macris BJ. Simultaneous production of glucose oxidase and  
317 catalase by *Alternaria alternata*. *Appl. Microb. Biotechnol.* 1991; 34:794–797.
- 318 9. Kiiskinen LL, Ratto M, Kruus KJ. Fungal production of extracellular laccase. *Appl.*  
319 *Microbiol.* 2004, 97: 640-646.
- 320
- 321 10. Jhadav A, Vamsi KK, Khairnar, Y. Fungal enzymes production. *Int. J. Microbiol. Res.* 2009;  
322 1: 9-12.
- 323
- 324 11. Adivappa B, Basawanneppa K. Production and optimization of laccase by submerged  
325 fermentation. *Current Research*. 2015; 7(7): 18308.
- 326
- 327 12. Lopez MJ, Guisando G, Vargas-Garcia, MC, Suarez-Estrella F, Moreno J. Degradation of  
328 industrial dyes by lignolytic microorganisms isolated from composting environment. *Enzymes*  
329 *Microbial Technol.* 2006; 40: 42-45.
- 330
- 331 13. Archibald FS. A new assay for lignin-type peroxidase employing the dye Azure B, *Applied*  
332 *and Environmental Microbiology*. 1992; 58: 3110–3116.
- 333
- 334 14. Rayner AD, Boddy LW. Fungal decomposition of wood. Its biology and ecology. Wiley NY.  
335 1988.
- 336
- 337 15. Paszczynski A, Cnawford RL, Huynh VB. Manganese Peroxidase of *Phanerochaete*  
338 *chrysosporium*: Purification, *Methods in enzymology*, 1988; 264-270.
- 339
- 340 16. Subhani A, EI-ghamry AM, Huang C, Xu J. Effect of Pesticides (Herbicides) on Soil  
341 Microbial Biomass – A Review *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2000; 3(5): 705-709.
- 342
- 343 17. Kanayama N, Suzuki T, Kawai K. Purification and characterization of an alkaline  
344 manganese peroxidase from *Aspergillus terreus* LD-1, *Journal of Bioscience and*  
345 *Bioengineering*, 2002; 93: 405–410.
- 346
- 347
- 348
- 349