



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_52690
Title of the Manuscript:	Health risk assessment of heavy metal intake due to consumption of common fishes available in the Brahmaputra river of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments nil	The manuscript is good for publication in ACRI. The paper is based on sound methodology, literature review, analysis and findings support objectives of the paper. However, the TITLE, I think should read: HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METALS INTAKE IN COMMON FISHES FROM BRAHMAPUTRA RIVER, BANGLADESH, INDIA. Furthermore, there are few lines that are not lucid due to typographical and grammatical errors, such are shaded.	
Minor REVISION comments nil		
Optional/General comments nil		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kaywood Elijah Leizou
Department, University & Country	Niger Delta University, Nigeria