



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_55477
Title of the Manuscript:	TETANUS IN SCHOOL AGE CHILDREN SEEN AT THE UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT TEACHING HOSPITAL: A NEED FOR BOOSTER DOSES OF TETANUS TOXOID VACCINE IN NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript is original and scientifically relevant to the region. Thus all suggestions and criticisms presented aim to enrich the work.</p> <p>The topic is relevant and the analysis presents significant information for the control of tetanus cases in the country.</p> <p>It would be important to describe the vaccination schedule used in the country and the booster doses to make it easier for readers to understand the topic.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Revision, comments and suggestions</p> <p>In the introduction: present the expected incubation period and characterize the neurological progression caused by the toxin</p> <p>Line 174 Review that statement, suggestion</p> <p>The fact that a few children received the three primary doses of TT and still came down with tetanus is an indicator of the inadequacy of the primary doses of TT in protecting school age children against tetanus and supports the clamoring for the inclusion of booster doses of TT according to WHO guidelines.</p> <p>Review references 11.12 and 33</p> <p>Review figure 1 Trend in Tetanus prevalence from 2009 to 2019.</p> <p>Calculation prevalence to 100,000 habitants</p> <p>Table 2: Route of infection and Immunization status of the patients</p> <p>Use two spaces after the comma in all tables</p> <p>Include number of doses (for example &lt;2 doses; 3 doses)</p> <p>Figure 2: Trend of Death from Tetanus by Year</p> <p>Figure 2 shows lethality? Review title</p> <p>In the y-axis graph to show only up to 50%, for easy visualization.</p> <p>Table 3: Association of Gender, Socioeconomic status, Onset interval, Incubation period and TT immunization status of the patients with Number of Deaths</p> <p>Suggestion title Association of sociodemographic and clinical status of the patients with number of deaths, at the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, 2009-2019.</p> <p>Suggestion to change the presentation of table 3, exclude X<sup>2</sup> value</p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Include location and period of data collection in all tables and figures. Review the immunological basis for immunization. module 3: tetanus. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018	
----------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Ilse Lisiane Viertel Vieira</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Unisul - University of Southern Santa Catarina, Brazil</i></b>