



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_53375
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF EFFECTIVE AND SAFE EXTRACTION METHOD FOR ANALYSIS OF POLYCYCLIC AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS IN KOLANUTS FROM COTE D'IVOIRE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript describes a new method for the extraction and analysis of hazardous PAHs from nuts. The authors have described the contents in a scholarly way.</p> <p>The authors are of the view that the results of the study of the validity of the method for determining PAHs are in accordance with the values demonstrating the acceptability of an analytical technique as affirmed by the experts of the Joint FAO/IAEA Committee.</p> <p>The authors are required to include a separate table on the physicochemical analysis of the nut samples.</p> <p>The location of the sample with nearby PAHs sources should be included in the final version of the manuscript.</p> <p>Also, study the nuts grown in other areas as control samples so as to identify the origin of PAHs in the nut samples.</p> <p>The discussion is not in depth. More attention is required.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Imtiaz Ahmad
Department, University & Country	University of Peshawar, Pakistan