



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_59181
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate Composition, Functionality and Pasting Properties of Orange Flesh Sweet Potato and Red Bambara Groundnut Flour Blends for Snacks Formulation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Proximate Composition, Functionality and Pasting Properties of Orange Flesh Sweet Potato and Red Bambara Groundnut Flour Blends for Snacks Formulation</b> Title: ok</p> <p><b>Abstract</b> - I didn't understand if all variable mentioned refer to treatments 60:40 to 30:70, explain it better. - The study's conclusion is confusing. How can you tell that the 50:50 is better than the other treatments?</p> <p><b>Material and methods</b> - Could you describe the "Bulk density" analysis better? - Could you describe the "Statistical analysis" better?</p> <p><b>Results and discussion</b> - The values you should place only in the tables and not repeat in the text.</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> - I didn't understand why the 50:50 ratio is better than the other treatments? To explain better.</p> <p><b>References</b> -Ok</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Paulo Roberto Campagnoli de Oliveira Filho</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Rural Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil</i></b>