



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_61255
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study on the Pathogenesis of Egg contamination by different strains of Salmonella
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is interesting. It allows us to know the real situation of Salmonella infestation in eggs for human consumption.</p> <p>The objective of the research is not correctly specified, in the methodology, the objective was isolation of Salmonella and study their resistance to a variety of antibiotics, I think it is more successful with respect to everything that has been done in the experimental part.</p> <p>Should improve your title, is very long, it must be limited to objective.</p> <p>The title I suggest is: Isolation, identification and resistance of Salmonella spp. in eggs for human consumption.</p> <p>The sample of the eggs must be adequate and representative following the experimental design that you used.</p> <p>The biological sample must be specified in number of eggs per sample used.</p> <p>The methodology for the isolation and identification of microbes they must be supported by the bibliographic references. The same applies to hemolysis and protein isolation.</p> <p>The abstract results should be more precise, specifying the number of total samples and those that showed resistance to the different antibiotics. It's recommended to apply a statistical treatment to give it scientific support.</p> <p>It is recommended to improve the writing and organization of the results of the article. There must be consistency between objective, the title and conclusions.</p> <p>The discussion lacks the interpretation of the results with respect to the hypothesis raised by contrasting with the antecedents.</p> <p>The conclusion in the abstract seems like a recommendation, it must be based on the objective.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>It is necessary to include more bibliographical references, especially in the methodology and discussion.</p> <p>Reference strains could be used as a positive control.</p> <p>The term to be used in the article is "bacterial culture" and not "strains".</p> <p>The conclusions must be summarized and clear, responding to the objectives of the researcher.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Gina Genara Zavaleta Espejo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidad Nacional de Trujillo, Perú</i>