



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_53792
Title of the Manuscript:	Age and Marital Status as Predictors of Undergraduate Students' Academic Achievement in Tertiary Institutions in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Overall, this was an interesting research study- thank you for the opportunity to review.</p> <p>I have provided my feedback throughout the manuscript (using track changes). There were editorial/ grammatical errors noted throughout, which I corrected and also highlighted for additional revisions- please address accordingly to improve the overall read and clarity of information presented.</p> <p>Mandatory revisions (also highlighted in the manuscript) are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> More thorough description of the methodology (i.e., data collection procedures, analysis procedures etc.) An implications section to answer the "so what" of the findings and implications to research and education Information about the sample- specifically the characteristics of the sample (i.e., sex, age range, marital status, level of education, programs students were enrolled within etc.) A section on the limitations of this research study 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sarah Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	University of Toronto, Canada