



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_62399
Title of the Manuscript:	A Survey of Birds in Kabale Municipality, South western Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Similar Font and Font size is required. In a paragraph, a lot of different text size noticed. • Grammar check is required • References: Always better to use papers for citing. Example: reference 1 & 5, give supporting research article instead of weblinks, often webpages are down or closed. • Methodology: On what basis the three transects are selected? In the point count method, what is the radius of the circle you considered or you recorded all visible range birds? If there is a particular reason for selection morning and afternoon for the survey of the birds? Usually, mornings and evenings are ideal time. Citation for methodology is missing. Statistics part is missing • Results: No references will be mentioned in the results. Discussing endemic; IUCN birds with a graph will be good. A Shannon or Simpson analysis for the diversity will give more weightage. When you are not discussing the difference between the transects, what is the use of following point count method and transects. • Discussion: discuss about Endangered and vulnerable species. What factors causing it? More citations on it is required. • Conclusion: A conclusion to your results followed by further work like is required. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When the common name of the species is mention, try to mention the scientific name in brackets. • "no surveys were conducted during heavily cloudy or rainy days": better to remove this. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A vegetation map with all study location (transects) would be great. • Introduction: Rather than talking more about the city, bring that part to study area, talk more about the importance of birds, usefulness, and how this study helps in terms of conservation scenario. 	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jhenkhar Mallikarjun
Department, University & Country	Georg-AugustUniversity, Germany