



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_63000
Title of the Manuscript:	How does Extension Education contribute to Agricultural Development? Insights from Extension Education of Green River Project in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The Title of the paper is in question form, it can be changed like "Extension Education Contributions to Agricultural Development: Insight from Green River Project in Nigeria". The locale and sampling procedures are well and appropriate elaborated in Research methods by the authors and they were mentioned various models for evaluating extension programmes which are not directly visible in result the part of study. Authors also be suggested that the Discussion part of study may also be concise written. The citations for the study are not in proper sequences and should be accordingly used as per Text.	
Optional/General comments	The research work is good. The abstract and introduction are well written and relevant to the study. The text written by authors is lengthy which are not much relevant to the study may be reduced. The conclusion also may be concise and to the point. The paper may be accepted after editing the entire text with remarks that incorporating the comments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamal Chand Meena
Department, University & Country	India