



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_52727
Title of the Manuscript:	Improved Vegetable Cultivation Practices: An Adoption Study Among the Farmers' of Some Selected Areas in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please inspect the paragraph length in your introduction. Consider writing in 3-4 paragraphs. 2. Please elaborate the previous research on the improvement of vegetable cultivation practice in Bangladesh. 3. Please identify the research gap. <p>Material and Methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Please provide the scientific justification on the population size. 5. Please explain the questionnaire distribution procedure. 6. Please explain the questionnaire indicators development. <p>Results and Discussions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7. Please elaborate the discussions. The authors should contrast the findings with the previous study. <p>Conclusions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. Please identify the research contribution to the body of knowledge. 9. Please identify the research limitation and future research. <p>References:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 10. Please add more relevant and update journal article. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	1. The abstract already well presented.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Arta Moro Sundjaja</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Bina Nusantara University, Indonesia</i>