



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_52727
Title of the Manuscript:	Improved Vegetable Cultivation Practices: An Adoption Study Among the Farmers' of Some Selected Areas in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>In general, a very good, attractive and useful subject.</p> <p>The author(s) were very clear in their research plan.</p> <p>The abstract is clear and provides clear introduction to the parts of the paper.</p> <p>The introduction and the literature review are adequate for the research on hand and the references used although few are adequate. However, more references are suggested to be added to support certain arguments [see suggestions in attached corrected manuscript]</p> <p>The literature is satisfactory to tie the title, the abstract and the literature itself to the methodology and later on to the discussions</p> <p>The methodology is appropriate and clear. It shows all the necessary quantitative analysis steps and procedures. [see suggested corrections in attached manuscript].</p> <p>The results are adequate and support the discussion about the objectives of the paper.</p> <p>The discussion is appropriate</p> <p>Conclusion is adequate but needs to be validated with reported research</p> <p>Minor Proofreading is needed. See suggested corrected manuscript in detail.</p> <p>Major problem with references: 1. Review all references for consistency according to the Journal Citation style [example is provided in attached manuscript]</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Copy of corrected manuscript is attached.</b>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Hussin Jose Hejase</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Al Maaref University, Lebanon</i></b>