



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_53045
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Extension Training Program on Small-Scale Poultry and Rabbit Production Projects at Alexandria Governorate, Egypt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Frequent grammatical and spelling errors are observed , please revise the manuscript grammatically. ▪ Lines 173-175 , please rephrase to clearly express the percentages of different variables with reference to the total number of participant and not to the percentage which owned projects ▪ The participants ' reactions toward the elements of training program were evaluated by scoring "low, medium, high), do the author considered all elements with the same impact on training effectiveness? or it will be of much significance to differentiate in evaluation of reaction among different training elements by scoring multiplied by the impact of each element on the effectiveness of training ? ▪ In line 200, the authors mentioned the followings" the program satisfied training needs" ▪ Have the authors measured the trainees' training needs? ▪ Efficiency of training and educational aids, as the training program targeted raising knowledge and skills for small scale poultry , ducks ,and rabbits , according to the authors' view , wouldn't be of much value to include practical training and conduct farm visits . ▪ In lines 234, 237 the authors stated two main constraints are "unavailability of proper location for establishing the project and getting the license", and "Lack of veterinary services regarding diseases diagnosis and treatments was represented as constrain by (55.76%) of trainees.", please explain?! 	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samah Eid
Department, University & Country	Animal Health Research Institute, Egypt