



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_54896
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge Management Practices, Challenges and Coping Strategies adopted by Public Agricultural Extension: A Case of Nandi-Hills Sub-county, Nandi County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>i. Include a brief review of the Agricultural Extension Policy of Kenya and show the objectives on preferred knowledge management practices, logistics and capacity building intentions.</p> <p>ii. Show what value addition will be achieved when there is cooperation and collaboration with private sector players.</p> <p>iii. Provide additional information on the difference between commercial and subsistence agriculture in terms of specific crops and livestock raised in the study area.</p> <p>iv. A concordance test to ascertain the agreement of the rankings among the rankers of challenges of knowledge management would make the results important for policy decision</p> <p>iv. The three-in-one coping strategy identified as number one, should be disaggregated to show which of them is mostly employed.</p> <p>v. A thorough edit should be carried out to reduce long and winding sentences. Eg "The participants in the study were asked to rate the process of documentation of lessons learnt during the implementation of extension projects based on a five-point Likert –type scale ranging from poor to excellent and the documentation of personal experiences during the same period".</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Irene s. Egyir
Department, University & Country	University of Ghana, Ghana