



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_55353
Title of the Manuscript:	An Explorative Study on Access to Print and Electronic Information Resources among Journalists in Mysore and Mandya District of Karnataka
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The introduction is deficient from references of literature. The author is advised that on the basis of topic of study re-write the introduction with citation of references.</li> <li>2. Add inclusion/exclusion criteria in the Methodology</li> <li>3. Add discussion separately which is based on results and literature.</li> <li>4. Add more references to improve the manuscript. The references are needed to be written on journal pattern</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Write the abstract on a pattern consisting of Study Design, Place &amp; Duration of study, Methodology, Results and Conclusion</li> <li>2. It would be more appropriate for objectives that instead of separate heading they are to be merged briefly in the introduction.</li> <li>3. There are many tables. The author be advised to attempt in reduction of the number of table in the manuscript</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Muhammad Ghafoor Ali
Department, University & Country	Pakistan