



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_61848
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Food Security among Rural Women in Kaduna State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper tried to find out the determinants of food security among rural women in Kaduna State, Nigeria. The topic of the paper is quite interesting and timely in the field of food security which is one of the major global challenges. Authors found that age and household size were significantly related to household food security. The results show that the position as wife household size and monthly income were key determinants of household food security. I have critically reviewed the manuscript and observed below points.</p> <p>Authors should first talk about broad issues in the first paragraph of the introduction. The background should be there. Include global food security related issues here. Then come to the motivation i.e. problem addressed in this paper. Hence, I don't find any significant need to carry out this study or authors have failed to showcase this.</p> <p>In the introduction section, authors have added good details about the food security issues in Nigeria and the world, but they failed to link it with the previous literature. I would suggest authors include the following relevant papers which also focused on Nigeria and other developing countries food security issues.</p> <p>Wheat sustainable supply chain network design with forecasted demand by simulation.</p> <p>Green food supply chain design considering risk and post-harvest losses: a case study.</p> <p>Sustainability implementation challenges in food supply chains: A case of UK artisan cheese producers.</p> <p>Accountability in agricultural governance and food security in Nigeria.</p> <p>Modelling supply chain network for procurement of food grains in India.</p> <p>Appraisal of backyard farming among households: implications for rural development and food security in Nigeria.</p> <p>The research gaps identified from the literature review is missing in the paper. Authors should clearly and precisely express this in the introduction section.</p> <p>A short para which briefly explains all sections should be there at the end of the introduction.</p> <p>Try to support the information given in the first para of methodology section with relevant references.</p> <p>Why authors have selected the regression analysis and why not any other data analysis methods?</p> <p>Contributions to theory and practice are not discussed in the manuscript.</p> <p>Please expand the conclusion. A conclusion is like the final chord in a song. It makes the listener/reader feel that the piece is complete and well done. You want them to feel that you supported what you stated in the manuscript. You then become a reliable author for them and they are impressed by that and will be more likely to read/cite your work in the future. Moreover, English writing must be carefully revised.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
---------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Dnyaneshwar Mogale</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Cardiff University, Wales</i>