



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_64055
Title of the Manuscript:	Common Neighbourhood and Common Neighbourhood Domination in fuzzy Graphs
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the manuscript "Ms_AJARR_64055", the authors introduced and investigated the Common Neighbourhood and the Common Neighbourhood domination number. They also established a relationship between the Common Neighbourhood domination number and other parameters in fuzzy graphs. The paper is interesting, complete, reflects a regulatory-based perspective and has been presented in a clear and easily understandable manner. However, the manuscript requires some modifications (as stated in PART 2) before it may be published. The manuscript will improve if the authors take into account all the suggestions and make the appropriate amendments.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The last sentence of the abstract has "others parameters" which may be written as 'other parameters.' 2. The source reference "[?]" in the second sentence of the introduction may be corrected by the authors. 3. "Asma and (et. al)" may be written as 'Asma et al' in the third sentence of the introduction. On the same line, "neighbouehood" may be written as 'neighbourhood' for the readers' understanding. 4. Sentence 6 and 7 in the introduction may be written together as 'There are several types of domination depending upon the nature of domination which motivated us to ...in fuzzy graphs.' 5. I suggest that the authors label the interesting definitions in the 'Preliminaries' section as 'Definition 2.1, 2.2, etc.' 6. I suggest that all proofs end with the 'end of proof' sign (■). 7. "gevin" may be written as 'given' in Example 4.8 to aid in understanding of the concept. 8. The proof of Theorem 4.10 has the word "cotradicts" which may be written as 'contradicts'. 9. The first sentence on page 9 has "standred" which may be written as 'standard' 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph Ackora-Prah
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana