



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_64642
Title of the Manuscript:	Cervical Cancer Knowledge and Prevention Practices among Female Civil Servants in Awka South Local Government Area, Anambra State.
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>ABSTRACT</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please, substitute "The objectives of this study were to determine..." for "The objective of this study was to assess..."</li> <li>2. Please, delete "The study population comprised 335.". This information is already given in the following sentence.</li> <li>3. Delete "from the sample size".</li> <li>4. Please, substitute "while" for "of those" in "...servants; while 313 returned..."</li> <li>5. In the same sentence, please, substitute "the rate of female civil servants was" for "the complete questionnaire and were used..."</li> <li>6. At the end of the sentence, please delete "of the questionnaire".</li> <li>7. Delete "while" before "Chi-Square".</li> <li>8. Please, substitute "revealed" for "showed".</li> <li>9. Add a comma between "cancer" and "while".</li> <li>10. Please, use the word "had" instead of "has".</li> <li>11. Substitute the comma for period after "cervical cancer".</li> <li>12. The sentence "Chi-Square value of difference... P-value (P&lt;0.05)." is confusing. Please, rewrite the sentence.</li> <li>13. Please delete the sentence "Based on the finding of the study, it was concluded that", and begin the conclusion with "When knowledge..."</li> <li>14. Substitute the word "aware" for "cautious", and "conclusion" for "findings".</li> <li>15. Please, delete "that there is need for".</li> <li>16. What does the word "bridge" mean in this context? Please, find a better suited word for this sentence.</li> </ol> <p><b>INTRODUCTION</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>17. There is many repeated information in the introduction section, and it is extremely confusing. Furthermore, it is too long. I will not highlight my specific considerations for this section because I highly recommend the authors to review and rewrite this section. There is also information in the introduction that should be in the methods (when the authors explain the questionnaires that were used for the study).</li> </ol> <p><b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>18. Please, substitute the first sentence for "This was a cross-sectional study".</li> <li>19. Add a comma after "servants"; delete "The entire population was used for the study and replace it for "who responded a self-structured..."</li> <li>20. Please delete "was employed to elicit information from 335 female civil servants".</li> <li>21. Please delete "copies of the".</li> <li>22. Delete "copies" after "313".</li> <li>23. Please substitute "duly filled" for "complete".</li> <li>24. Delete "given a return rate of 93.43%".</li> <li>25. Substitute "Data collected" for "The collected data".</li> <li>26. Please, delete the sentence "A criteria mean...positive or negative."</li> <li>27. Consider adding the information about the questionnaires that is currently in the introduction section in Materials and Methods. However, this information is too long. Please, make a shorter version.</li> </ol> <p><b>RESULTS</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>28. Please, leave only the title of the tables and delete the sentences in which we can find the specific questions of the study (Research question one, etc.).</li> <li>29. Didn't the authors statistically compare the responses (Yes and No) of the table 1? It would be</li> </ol>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>interesting to have the information if there are statistical difference between the responses. Please consider adding this information.</p> <p>30. Please, delete "Table 1: revealed" and add "A total of 194...").</p> <p>31. Substitute "have" for "had".</p> <p>32. Add a comma after cervical cancer; delete "while 119 has knowledge of cervical cancer. Only"; add "and after the comma that I suggested to be inserted.</p> <p>33. Delete "however, 225 (71.88%).</p> <p>34. Delete "Specifically" and add "A rate of" in the begging of the sentence.</p> <p>35. Substitute "while" for "and".</p> <p>36. Delete "57.51 never know."</p> <p>37. Since this is not an open-ended questionnaire, the respondents did not have the chance to say something. Please, substitute "said" for a better word.</p> <p>38. Please, add "(Table 1) after HPV" and consider deleting the sentence "on the contrary...did not know".</p> <p>39. I would consider deleting the sentence "This implies...State is low" – maybe this would be better in the Discussion section.</p> <p>40. Please, add the information about the number and percentage of respondents who answered affirmatively to each sentence in table 2 (add this information also in the text).</p> <p>41. Please substitute "had a positive responses" for "believed".</p> <p>42. Table 3 is not so clear, please try to reconfigure it.</p> <p>43. Delete the beginning of this sentence (after table 3) and begin the paragraph with "The calculated value...".</p> <p><b>DISCUSSION</b></p> <p>44. Please delete "observed as".</p> <p>45. Substitute "revealed" for "showed".</p> <p>46. Please find a better work for "gloom".</p> <p>47. After the word gloom, add a comma; delete "in other words" and add "showing that" after the comma.</p> <p>48. Please delete "really".</p> <p>49. Please substitute "revealed" for "showed".</p> <p>50. The word "that" is duplicated.</p> <p>51. Delete "of the respondents".</p> <p>52. Delete "most women" and leave "62.4%" without the brackets.</p> <p>53. Delete the brackets of "82.6%".</p> <p>54. I believe there was a typo in "best". Please review this.</p> <p>55. The following sentence is confusing ("This is contrary...locality"). Please rewrite it.</p> <p>56. The word "medium" sounds weird. Please, find a better word.</p> <p>57. Delete "Table 2:" before "the findings..."</p> <p><b>58. The discussion is confusing and need a deep review and improvement.</b></p> <p><b>CONCLUSION</b></p> <p>59. Delete "Based on the findings..." and begin the paragraph with "We conclude that when knowledge..."</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>-</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The study is interesting. However, there are important issues with the writing, which makes hard to understand the message the authors want to pass to the reader sometimes. I highly recommend a review for posterior review.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jessica Mayra Ferreira</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Campinas, Brazil</b>