



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_53857
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Different Carrier Substances for the Development of an Effective Pelleted Biofertilizer for Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) Using Co-inoculated Bacteria and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Attached research article added new knowledge related with use and application of specific bioinoculats for sustainable development particularly in rice growing area. 2. Methods included in MS reliable however may doubt about quality of AMF inocula used in the study. Write reference in methodology section in regard of preparation of AMF inocula used in the study. 3. Add recent reference of 2019 in Introduction section. 4. Result mention in Line no. 223-229 does not showed comparative study with published earlier result. Please incorporate earlier study in this section. 5. Line no. 308-311; colonization of AMF fungi very high 15-20% as compared low colonization of earlier study. Add reference in regard of the same. 6. Line no. of 311 that first reported evidence of AMF colonization of rice roots in Sri Lanka.....may delete due to NOT specific for country. 7. In line no. 53 replace "characterization of isolates" by "characterization of Bacterial isolates". 8. Add little line discussion section why other than AMF bioinoculat did not given significant result. 	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjeev kumar
Department, University & Country	India