



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_60478
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Cnidoscopus aconitifolius on some hematological parameters of male Wistar rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extraction with different chemicals can also be tried More number of experiments can be carried out for conclusion 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Least square value can be calculated from actual and predicted values of doses 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Why 200 and 400 mg/kg? Why not 100, 200, 300 and 400 mg/Kg? 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ranjita Mohanty
Department, University & Country	C V Raman Global University, Bhubaneswar, India