



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_62738
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on medical properties on spirulina and their futuristic properties
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A review of the medical properties of spirulina and its futuristic properties. From a scientific point of view. This review can help guide decision-making in research with this species. However, I recommend authors to observe the formatting and the language used in the text. In the first pages, we found a review, with some language flaws and a lot of repetition of subjects already described.</p> <p>At the end of the text, the authors refer to the experiments carried out with the preparation of food containing Spirulina. This is confusing, as we do not know whether it is a manuscript, or a revision. Another point to be improved will be literature. Spirulina is one of the most studied organisms at the moment, and there are hundreds of articles with its applications. So it is necessary to increase the level of referrals bibliography.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	José Franciraldo de Lima
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Campina Grande, Brazil