



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_58969
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic profiling of Cynodon dactylon species using SSR and ISSR markers and its pharmacological activities
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The subject of the manuscript is very interesting. But the authors themselves did not value the data presented. The title should be more striking. Results should be presented in the abstract. The objective of the paper is not clear, I suggest to elaborate a hypothesis. There is practically no discussion in the article. Although updated, a few references were used. The conclusion presents information not observed in the results. The figures are confusing and the legends are not explanatory. Although the content presents great potential for publication, the whole text should be reviewed. Moreover, the authors did not use the journal's norms.	
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript is totally out of the journal's standards. A complete revision is required for proper formatting, from the template available on the site.	
Optional/General comments	Are the data well controlled and robust? Yes Have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out? Yes Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? No Are all the references cited relevant and adequate? Yes Comments have been added to the manuscript file.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fernanda Moura Fonseca Lucas
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Paraná, Brazil