



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_59206
Title of the Manuscript:	BAR CODING AND GENETIC DIVERSITY ANALYSIS OF MILLET CROP SPECIES OF ELUSINE GENUS USING RAPD MARKERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The paper "BAR CODING AND GENETIC DIVERSITY ANALYSIS OF MILLET CROP SPECIES OF ELUSINE GENUS USING RAPD MARKERS" presents an interesting method for identification and classification based on genomic techniques. Since the use of species from the genus are important to the developing countries, it is important to have such method to identify possible candidates to use in agriculture.</p> <p>Methods were well explained and well written. However, I suggest that some references could be added to the material and methods section, since some of the techniques used are common. This could increase the quality of the manuscript.</p> <p>I also suggest some improvements in the Figure 4. Finch TV could be better explored to show important details of gene sequencing. Another alternative could be use different gene sequencing software, since there are some user friendly and free software online (some of them do not require installation).</p> <p>Another suggestion is to describe some uses of these species in the introduction. This is important to the reader in order to associate the findings of this work with the common use of these species.</p>	
Optional/General comments	After these minor revisions, the manuscript should be accepted for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>João Luiz Baldim Zanin</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology of South of Minas Gerais, IFSULDEMINAS, Brazil</i>