



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGMB_64719
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS AND ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF Moringa oleifera LEAVES EXTRACTS AGAINST Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Materials and methods. The number of samples is not clearly observed Better write the methodology of each Test. Do not put the weight in parentheses, it is confusing. <p>Concentration Procedure. Check the units. No singular or plural is used for units. review International System of Units "Solution A: 1g of the extract + 10ml of diluent (distilled water) = 100mg/ml. Solution B: 4mls of solution A + 1ml of diluent i.e. $4/5 \times 100 = 80\text{mg/ml}$. Solution C: 3msl of solution A + 2ml of diluent i.e. $3/5 \times 100 = 60\text{mg/ml}$. Solution D: 2mls of solution A + 3ml of diluent i.e. $2/5 \times 100 = 40\text{mg/ml}$. Solution E: 1ml of solvent + 4ml of diluent i.e. $1/5 \times 100 = 20\text{mg/ml}$."</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No experimental replicas are observed. How to conclude if no aftershocks are observed? It is from Table 3, where he mentions that the tests are done in duplicate. It should have been specified in methodology and experimental design. Tables and figures should coincide with the discussion whenever possible Major flaws in methodology. Place the statistical program used, as well as the tests in methodology. You can use a Statistical Analysis subtitle. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The work is an interesting contribution. However, the methodology should be better written. It makes no reference to statistical designs or comparison tests. It must be fully reviewed. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramirez-Iglesias Elizabeth
Department, University & Country	Universidad Estatal Amazónica, Ecuador