



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_61599
Title of the Manuscript:	GLUCOSE-6-PHOSPHATE DEHYDROGENASE (G6PD) DEFICIENCY CO-INCIDENT WITH AUTOIMUNE HAEMOLYTIC ANAEMIA IN AN ADOLESCENT FEMALE: A CASE REPORT.
Type of the Article	Case study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The article needs re-arrangement The review part with references should be moved to introduction The case presentation should start with: A female patient...years old presented with.... (this represents the complaints). This should be followed by history taking findings present and past, then clinical examination followed by investigations: Start with lab findings with CBC as the first item; it is important to put the details with indices and all parameters, followed by blood smear examination (It will be helpful to add a picture), other tests including retics, coomb's...etc. Then other Lab findings e.g. biochemical parameters...etc and last other investigations like sonography... etc	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	- Stick to one pattern of English language, British or American (highlighted in yellow) - Respond to the sticky note comments	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The case is interesting but it needs to be presented in a more organised way	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Azza Mahmoud Kamel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cairo University, Egypt</b>