



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_54837
Title of the Manuscript:	Laparoscopic Extraperitoneal Repair of Incarcerated Bladder Inguinal Hernia
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I would like to thank the editor for giving me the opportunity to review the above manuscript. Author did a great job in writing the manuscript and the content is of great interest in the field of surgery. However there are some things which needs to be revised, which are as follows</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the Discussion section, please revise the phrase, "Extraperitoneal hernia is the most common type", as i found in the literature that paraperitoneal hernia is the mose frequent type.(Ref: American journal of roentgenology 2005;184,546-551) 2. Please mention that most bladder hernias are asymptomatic and discovered intraoperatively. 3. Please describe the complications of bladder hernias like sepsis, renal failure, unilateral or bilateral hydronephrosis, strangulation with ischemia or infarction of bladder, vesicouretric reflex, cystolithiasis. 4. Please revise the sentence, "retrograde urethrography is the indispensable imaging method.." to retrograde/voiding urethrography is the best diagnostic imaging modality. 5. Please describe other surgical techniques of repair of this type of hernia. 6. Please mention if patients consent was taken for publication as patients images are in the manuscript. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Chanchal Mangla</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>New York Presbyterian Brooklyn Methodist Hospital, Brooklyn, New York, USA</i>