



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_54837
Title of the Manuscript:	Laparoscopic Extraperitoneal Repair of Incarcerated Bladder Inguinal Hernia
Type of the Article	Case study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The authors have presented an interesting case of bladder inguinal hernia that was successfully managed by laparoscopic repair. I have following comments: 1. The case description mentioned in the abstract especially the duration of symptoms, radiology findings do not match with that mentioned in the main text. 2. There are several grammatical mistakes throughout the manuscript and need to be corrected.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rahul Gupta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Synergy Institute of Medical Sciences, Dehradun, India</b>