



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_51371
Title of the Manuscript:	SALIVA - AN EFFICIENT TOOL IN THE ESTIMATION OF GLUCOSE LEVEL - A COMPARATIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In this manuscript, the authors compared glucose levels in serum and saliva in diabetic and control subjects, observing that measurement in glucose in saliva may represent a valuable and non-invasive method for diagnosis and monitoring of glucose levels. Overall, the manuscript is well written, results discussed extensively and references updated. Nonetheless, English editing should be done. I further indicate minor comments in the text in track changes mode.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francesca Gorini
Department, University & Country	Italy