

Original Research Article

SAVINGS LEVEL AND INVESTMENT BEHAVIOUR OF COOPERATIVE FARMERS (ANALYSIS AND PROSPECTS) IN JOS EAST LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, PLATEAU STATE

ABSTRACT

The study was carried out in Jos East Local government area Plateau state on savings level and investment behavior of cooperative farmers (Assessment and Prospects). A total of 99 respondents were purposively selected and cross-sectional data was collected using structured questionnaires, the data was analyzed using simple descriptive statistics and 4 point Likert scale. The result shows that 35.4% of the respondents were between the ages brackets of 31-40 years, 58.6% were male. Further, 43.4% of the respondents stated that they saved between 51,000 – 100,000 Naira annually and 40% of the respondents engaged in saving of food stuff which they sell when prices rises to get better price. The research shows that the savings levels of cooperative farmers are low; also better investment should be harnessed to improve the income of the farmers. Cooperative societies are meant to provide marketing information, easy access to finance and other support to their members, but from our findings this is yet to be achieved.

Comment [WU1]: Rewrite

Introduction.

Capital formation can be a driving force for economic growth, development and reduction of poverty. The livelihood activity of rural farmers in Nigeria is majorly Agriculture. Due to the decline in Agricultural productivity as a result of climate change and other factors they are faced with low income and low savings. Savings can be financial and non-financial, financial savings takes the form of cash while the non-financial forms of saving include livestock, land, gold, foodstuff and other valuables. Ayanwale and Bemire, (2000) expressed that the saving behaviors of the farmers in developing country is less dependent on the absolute level of aggregate income but more dependent among other factors on relationship between current and expected income, the nature of the business, household size, wealth and other demographic factors. Savings can

enhance the growth of a country's economy as higher savings lead to capital accumulation and hence economic growth. The agricultural sectors productivity for example, is highly dependent upon the proportion of income farmers save from their farming activities even though farmers still borrow from friends, family, religious organization, social groups etc.

Comment [WU2]: Rewrite

Agricultural co-operatives are agricultural-producer-owned group whose primary purpose is increase member producers' production and incomes by helping better link with finance, agricultural inputs, information, and output markets. The purpose of agricultural cooperatives is to help farmers increase their yields and incomes by pooling their resources to support collective service provisions and economic empowerment but where biasness and lack of management exist, cooperative societies may not be a tool to achieve the governments transformation agenda in the rural area of Nigeria. According to FAO, (2010) some of the problems affecting cooperative societies are poor management, lack of capital accumulation, inadequate training, and lack of communication and participation among members. Agriculture is perceived to be a very lucrative venture in developed countries like the USA, Canada, New Zealand but in Nigeria the potential of the Agricultural sector is far from being harnessed and perceived to be an unucrative venture with majorly the rural poor engaging in it and living on less than \$2 a day.

Comment [WU3]: Use better conjunction

Comment [WU4]: rephrase

Agriculture in Nigeria suffers greatly from low capitalization; it is dominated by millions of small-scale farmer who practice subsistence farming and produce primarily for consumption, while the surplus farm produce is sold to generate income to meet other family expenses or purchases other goods that they do not directly produce. The vicious cycle of poverty is a major

Comment [WU5]: in order to

characteristic of farmers in Nigeria; low productivity, low income, low savings, low investment and then low income. Certain assumption according to IS-LM curve (Hicks and Hansen

Comment [WU6]: rewrite

framework) **posits** that savings is an increasing function of income, investment depends on the

Comment [WU7]: posit or posits

rate of savings, **the lower the interest rate, the higher the investment.** **This goes to say for that for**

Comment [WU8]: rewrite

Comment [WU9]: rewrite

farmers to break the vicious cycle of poverty, income must be increased with respect to

investment as this can stimulate increased savings. **Savings is of great benefit to the rural**

household as income in form of interest can be earned from the savings, it brings about better

and increased investment and also savings increases the equity of the farmer which is an

advantage if the farmer is to borrow from the bank. Savings is normally considered in economics

as disposable income less personal consumption expenditure it could also be regarded as income

that is not consumed immediately by the purchase of goods and service. Savings are very

important for creating, developing and sustaining rural businesses while Investment refers to

foregoing consumption now to pursue a higher level of income in the future. However there are

Comment [WU10]: rewrite or delete

certain views that small scale farmers invested their savings in either agricultural or non-

agricultural sectors. Investment in the agricultural sector or farm activity includes the purchase of

farm inputs and farmland while non- agricultural sector are mainly on education, trade

expansion, building houses, and purchase of other durable assets. The ability of a farmer to

invest depends on their main source of investment capital which are their savings and fixed

capital which is used as collateral for credit. According to FAO (2013) capital formation has a

direct relationship to savings, the higher the capital formation the higher the savings. **In countries**

where hunger and poverty are high, an average farmer has little or no savings and sometimes

borrows to meet with family obligations such as feeding and paying of school fees. Farmers who

cannot save cannot invest and any economic activity that does not generate positive savings

cannot grow. Farmers' capacity to invest depends greatly on their ability to save; this paper

Comment [WU11]: rewrite

ascertains the level of cooperative farmers savings in Jos East Local Government as well as determines the investments they engage in.

Methodology.

Jos East Local Government of Plateau State has an area of 1,020km² and a population of 85602 at the 2006 census. Its headquarters is in the town of Angware at 9⁰ 55N 9⁰ 06'E (NPC, 2006). It has 5 districts namely Fobur, Shere, Fursum, Maigemu, and Federe. Its predominant tribe is Afizre also known as Jarawa. The major occupation of the people is farming, Farming occurs almost throughout the year in Jos East. Major crops cultivate are vegetables, maize, millet, groundnut, Fonio millet.

Comment [WU12]: provide recent census data

Comment [WU13]: rephrase

Comment [WU14]:

Sampling Size and Technique

Two stage sampling was used:

Stage 1

3 districts was selected out of the 5 districts, which were Maigemu, Fobur and Shere districts the reason for selecting these districts was because farming activities is predominantly carried out in these districts.

Comment [WU15]: rewrite grammatically

Stage 2

3 villages were randomly selected from each district, 39 farmers were sampled in Fobur, 32 in Shere and 28 in Maigemu, making a total of 99 farmers. The reason for difference in sample size of the district is due to the population of the cooperative members in the district.

The sample frame of the farmers was formulated with the help of head of cooperatives who acted as key informants for the purpose of the research.

Method of Data Collection

Primary data was collected using structured questionnaires. Questionnaire was administrated to the target group.

Data analytical tools.

Descriptive statistics was used to achieve objective 1, 2 and 3 while objective 4 was achieved using 4 point Likert scale that is strongly agree (4), agree (3), disagree (2), strongly disagree (1).

$(4+3+2+1=\frac{10}{4})$ cut off 2.5

Using 0.05 or 5% as probability level upper limit $2.5+0.05=2.55$ and lower limit $2.5-0.05=2.45$ therefore we have 3 weighted mean.

Less than 2.45 - Strongly disagree

2.45 to 2.49 - Disagree

2.5 to 2.54 - Agree

Greater than 2.55 - Strongly agree

Results and Discussion

Socio-economic characteristics of farmers.

From the table below, it is shown that 35.4% of the respondents falls between the age bracket 31-40 years, also most of the farmers were male with 58.6% which indicates that a lot of men are members of cooperative which can be attributed to the fact that they require money to run the homes and farms as heads of families also because they have a better financial capacity. 49.5% of respondents have household between 1-5 and 51.5% has tertiary education which implies

Comment [WU16]: provide table number

Comment [WU17]: rephrase

that their ability and desire to save and belong to a group is due to their exposure to education which agrees with the findings of Adeyemo and Bamire, (2005) that most cooperative farmers are literate. 42.4% of respondents have farming experience of 6 – 10 years, further, 68. 7% of the respondents are married, this implies that cultural factor of the study area may be that which encourages people to marry early. It also agrees with the findings Osondu et.al (2015), which states that married classes were more involved in faming because of the need to supplement, the family’s means of livelihood.

Comment [WU18]: rephrase

Comment [WU19]: provide latest reference

Comment [WU20]: of Osondu et al.(2015)

Table 1 socioeconomic characteristic of respondents.

Comment [WU21]: Socio-economic characteristics of Respondents

	Variables	Frequency	Percentage
Age	< 20	1	1.0
	21-30	3	3.0
	31-40	35	35.4
	41-50	20	20.2
	51-60	14	14.1
	> 60	26	26.3
Gender	Male	58	58.6
	Female	41	41.4
Marital status	Single	15	15.2
	Married	68	68.7
	Separated	5	5.1
	Divorced	1	1.1
	Widowed	10	10.1
Household size	1-5	49	49.5

	6-10	29	29.3
	11-15	18	18.2
	Above 15	3	3.0
Educational level	Primary	19	19.2
	Secondary	28	28.3
	Qur'anic School	1	1.0
	Tertiary	51	51.5
Years of farming	1-5	18	18.2
	6-10	42	42.4
	Above 10	39	39.4
Total		99	100.0

Savings level of farmers.

The table above shows the annual savings of respondents in the study area. It shows that 43.4% of the respondents saved between 50,000 – 100,000 Naira yearly, which is low; the reason for the low saving rate may be due to the fact that a lot of money is being spent on domestic needs.

In a study conducted by Adeyemo and Banire (2005) the Average annual savings of cooperative farmers in southwestern Nigeria was N 31,572.00 with Ondo state having the largest (N

Comment [WU22]: provide table number

Comment [WU23]: indicate with universal exchange value

Comment [WU24]: rephrase

40,788.00) while Oyo state recorded the least (N 22,980.00). This implies that the average annual savings of farmers in the southwest is also low.

Comment [WU25]: Is this required.

Table 2 Annual Savings level of cooperative farmers

Savings in Naira	Frequency	Percentage
10, 000 – 49, 000	11	11.1
50, 000 – 100, 000	43	43.4
101, 000 – 149, 000	8	8.1
150, 000 – 200, 000	32	32.3
Above 200, 000	1	1.0
Total	99	100.0

Where do you save your money?

Table 3 below shows the various ways farmers saved their money and from the findings 18.2% of the farmers save their money at home and the cooperative, 34.3% save their money in their homes, while 29.3% save their money with the cooperative. It reveals that farmers still prefer to save their money at home even when they belong to a cooperative society. It disagree with the findings of Odoemena et al, (2005) that farmers make use of informal financial sectors to mobilize saving sand develop their rural communities.

Comment [WU26]: Author can have a good start instead of this.

Comment [WU27]: Provide recent reference

Table 3. savings avenues of respondents

Comment [WU28]: Start with caps

Institutions	Frequency	Percentage
Commercial Bank	2	2.0
Cooperative society	29	29.3
At home	34	34.3
Cooperative society and commercial bank	0	0
Cooperative-society and microfinance bank	5	5.1
Cooperative society and home	18	18.2
Micro finance bank	11	11.1
Total	99	100.0

Does the co-operative bring new opportunities for you to invest in?

In table 4 below, about 67.7% of the respondents believed that the co-operative did not bring new investment opportunities to them. This could be responsible for the low participation of farmers to belong to cooperatives in the study area; they may have perceived that there are no benefits attached to belonging to cooperative. It is safe to say that cooperative board members or heads lack the necessary training required for managing a cooperative society and farmers are

Comment [WU29]: Kindly chane as mentioned in the previous comment

not aware of the benefits of cooperative society or nepotism and lack of transparency has prevented farmers from saving their resources with the cooperative.

Table 4: new investment opportunities as perceived by respondents

	Frequency	Percentage
No	67	67.7
Yes	32	32.3
Total	99	100.0

What do you spend your savings on?

The table shows that 33.3% and 34.4% of the respondents spends their savings on school fees and buying fertilizer, respectively. This shows that after saving this money which should be plugged back in their farming business in other (order) to increase the worth of their farms and improve their standard of living,(Whereas) these funds are being spent on school fees and buying of fertilizer. It agrees with the findings of Odoemena et al, (2013) which revealed that small scale farmers invested their savings in two major areas, which are agricultural and non-agricultural sectors. Investment in the agricultural sector or farm activity includes the purchase of fertilizer and chemicals, hired labour and buying more land for farming. While investment on non-agricultural sector are mainly centered on education, trade expansion, building houses, dowry obligation, and purchase of durable assets. It also reveals that the issue of the government providing fertilizer has not been fully solved or probably these fertilizers do not get to these farmers.

Comment [WU30]: As mentioned earlier

Comment [WU31]: rewrite

Comment [WU32]: italics et al.

Comment [WU33]: connect with your study or delete

Table 5: Distribution of respondents on what they spend their savings on.

Comment [WU34]: ?

	Frequency	Percentage
School fees	43	30.5
Buying of seed and fertilizer	34	24.1
Buying of improved seeds	32	22.7
Leasing of land	12	8.5
Household expenditure	10	7.1
Farm size expansion	10	7.1
Total	141*	100.0

*multiple response

What other business do you invest in?

From the diagram above 40% of the respondents engage in saving of food stuffs for sale at a later time in order to get better prices for their goods while 5.0% invest on value addition, this reveals that a large proportion of the respondents do not engage on value addition of commodities, which could enable them expand their profit by offering better quality of commodity for sale. Also the issue of saving foodstuffs for sale later at a better price should be discouraged as this can cause scarcity in supply of food products, the government should ensure that price control policies such as the minimum price control should be fiercely followed so

Comment [WU35]: Check whether you have diagrams above this text. Better provide figure number to discuss.

Comment [WU36]: In order to get or in order to get

Comment [WU37]: respondents were

Comment [WU38]: delete

Comment [WU39]: .The


Comment [WU40]: delete

farmers are not exploited, they get better price for their product, income is increase, which means increased in savings and increase in investment.

Comment [WU41]: rewrite

Fig. 1. Pie chart showing Commodity for sale among respondents

Comment [WU42]: delete



Constraints faced by respondents

The table shows the various problems faced by members of the co-operative, majority of the respondents strongly agreed that they were faced with problems such as inaccessibility of loans, biasness, high interest rate, and loan repayment problem.

Comment [WU43]: change as mentioned earlier

Table 6 Likert scale presentation of constraints faced by farmers

	Strongly agreed	Agree		Strongly disagree	Total	Number of respondents	Mean	
Inaccessibility of loans	42 (4)	26 (3)	13(2)	18 (1)	290	99	2.9	Strongly agree
Biasness	54 (4)	14(3)	18 (2)	13 (1)	307	99	3.1	Strongly agree
High interest rate	37 (4)	29 (3)	20 (2)	13 (1)	288	99	2.9	Strongly agree
Cumber someness of loan accessibility	19 (4)	23 (3)	40 (2)	17 (1)	242	99	2.44	Strongly disagree
Loan repayment problem	51 (4)	17 (3)	23 (2)	8 (1)	309	99	3.1	Strongly agree
Inaccessibility of credit	33 (4)	32 (3)	10 (2)	24 (1)	239		2.41	Strongly disagree
Ignorance	17 (4)	22 (3)	40 (2)	20 (1)	234	99	2.3	Strongly disagree

***Multiple responses recorded.**

Conclusion and Recommendation.

Constraints identified that could have limited farmers participation in cooperative societies is Biasness, inaccessibility of loan, this imply that the cooperative societies are not fair and transparent in their dealing, hence proper regulation is needed.

Cooperative societies can source for contract farming arrangements which can help farmers earn better income but with proper terms of contract so farmers are not exploited.

Farmers can also increase their income if they can source for better investment opportunities.

Savings can be increased if income increases, income can be increased if farmers can add value to their products before selling them, offering better quality of products for sale.

Technical support such as income diversification trainings and financial support can help farmers increase their income, stake holders should educate the cooperative members on how to run a cooperative society, and how to ensure that the goal of the cooperative society members are achieved.

The government of plateau state can establish partnership with NGO which can help them deliver intervention programmes.

More business opportunities should be harnessed by the co-operative so that the farmers will take advantage of these opportunities, which can improve their income.

There should be policies to ensure that bylaws and legal framework of the cooperative societies are flaunted.

Free education can be provided for the rural dwellers the issue of farmers using their merger savings on school fees will be stopped.

Comment [WU44]: conclusion required straight results of the study. It can rewrite better.

REFERENCE.z

Comment [WU45]: References

1. Adeyemo R.A , Bamire,A. S.(2005). Savings and investment patterns of Cooperative Farmers in Southwestern Nigeria, *Journal of Social Sciences*: 11 (3): 183-192.
2. Ayanwale A.B, Bamire A.S (2003). Rural income, saving and investment Behavior among farmers in Osun State, *Indian Journal of Economics*: vol3:53-62.
3. Food Agriculture Organisation, (2010). Promoting employment and entrepreneurship for vulnerable youths in West Bank and the Gaza Strip,F. Dalla Valle. Rome”
4. Food Agriculture Organization,(2013). Promoting Investment in Agriculture for increased production and productivity”
5. National Population Commission, (2006). Population Census Statistics Abuja, Nigeria
6. Osondu C.K, Ogbonna S.I and Emerole C.O (2015). Level and determinants of women farmers access to informal credit in Abia State, Nigeria, *Asian journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics and Sociology*: 7(1):1-10, Article no AJAEES.18105.ISSN :2320-7027
7. Odoemenem I. U, Ezike K.N, and Alimba J.N,(2005). Assessment of Agricultural Credit Availability to Small-scale Farmers in Benue State.*Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*: 2005,Vol. 15(1&2) pp. 79-87.
8. Odoemenem I.U, Ezihe J.A.C, and Akerele S.O, (2013). Saving and Investment Pattern of Small-Scale Farmers of Benue State, Nigeria”, *Global Journal of Human Social Science Sociology and Culture*: 13(1): 7-12.

Comment [WU46]: Follow the journal format