



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_52654
Title of the Manuscript:	Dynamics of sediment organic matter along Bandama River in the department of Sinématiali (northern Côte d'Ivoire)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic is an interesting one but I have some suggestions/comments to make with sole aim of improving the quality of the final publication. These are given below:</p> <p>In my own view, I feel the title of this manuscript should be modified a little like this; "Dynamics of sediment organic matter along Bandama River in the department of Sinématiali, northern Côte d'Ivoire"</p> <p>In the abstract, it was well brief, concise and well present but you may need to add little more results and brief discussion on it.</p> <p>In the introduction, I feel it is somehow too brief to give a robust background information about this study. Author(s) may still need to add some empirical studies (that are relevant, recent and accessible) from the literature bordering on the keywords in the title of this study. In this section, you may need to identify the gap(s) in knowledge that this study is set to fill and how you will go about it.</p> <p>Concerning the tables and figures presented in this manuscript, author(s) may need to add the source at the bottom of each of them.</p> <p>After the conclusion, author(s) needs to add some recommendations stemming from the results of the findings in this study or may feel like merging the two together as "Conclusion and Recommendation". The recommendations may be in flowing sentences and not necessarily in bullet or numbering form.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Nil	
Optional/General comments	Nil	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Olutosin A. Otekunrin</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria</i>