



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_60389
Title of the Manuscript:	Implications of philately in promoting the protected natural areas (III): Cozia National Park
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: It is important to development an abstract one sentence of each element the article. For example: prepare with an introductory sentence (contextualizing the problem). One sentence of goal. One of material and methods. One of results and discussion. The last one of conclusion.</p> <p>Introduction: Firstly, describe the problem into context, the hypothesis, the relevance of work for science. It is important to emphasize the philately relate to biodiversity conservation. Do not put the study area's localization into introduction, just into material and methods.</p> <p>Methodology: It is not clear to the lector. Describe the exact steps that were followed and what materials were consulted. It is inappropriate put personal impressions in a scientific article.</p> <p>Conclusion: From an ecological point of view, there was not contribution. What happened was a "small inventory" of species of interest only in terms of beauty. It is important to relate philately with the conservation of the local biodiversity.</p> <p>References: Using reliable sources. Wikipedia is not a source for scientific work. All references must be placed in accordance with technical standards.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The article should be writing with a formal language. Do not put value judgment word on the article ("blessed place with"; "We were impressed". Scientific texts should not have this connotation.	
Optional/General comments	There is not strong case for philately relating to the protection and conservation of local biodiversity.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luciene Ribeiro
Department, University & Country	Pontifical Catholic University Paraná, Brazil