



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_60402
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study of Tourism Development Influence on QOL of Alishan Indigenous Tribes in Taiwan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Since the study is based on perceptions, the title of paper should be written as " A Study of Tourism Development influence on QOL – Perceptions of Alishan Indigenous Tribes in Taiwan". 2. Indicators of tourism development should be mentioned. 3. Indicators of Quality of Life (QOL), in your study, should be given. 4. Results of your study should be compared with other studies. 5. What were your hypotheses? Whether they were proved or disproved? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How did you measure improvement in QOL in your study? 2. Which tourism activities were considered in your study? 3. What were the activities of Han people and how they were different from the indigenous people? 4. Why was it not possible for the Han people to take up the tourism activities? 5. One result of your study stated that there was no difference in the QOL between the indigenous people who depend on tourism or not. What do you mean by this and why? 	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Syed Ali
Department, University & Country	Mulungushi University, Zambia