



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_52679</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of streams of Science studies on Graduation and Classes of Degree</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>I would like to say that the topic is really an interesting one but I have some suggestions/comments to make with sole aim of improving the quality of the final publication. These are given below:</p> <p>In the title, I feel somehow uncomfortable with the title. To me it looks ambiguous. You may need to include the study area in the title in order for it to make sense. "among ..... In....., Sri Lanka.</p> <p>In the abstract, author(s) did not present some important features or ingredient of a good abstract. These include the results and brief discussion of the salient results in the manuscript. Also, at the latter part of it, conclusion and at least one recommendation emanating from this study and not just mere generalisation. Please, note that the use of "all students..... (line 9) should be the total sampled respondents for this study.</p> <p>From the introduction, author(s) needs to critically identify gap(s) in knowledge that this study is set to fill and how this study will go about achieving this.</p> <p>Line 59, the "objectives" given here did not sound like objectives. You may need to generally and specifically state the objectives for this study.</p> <p>Also, author(s) should note that all tables or figures presented in this manuscript should have their source(s) included at the bottom of each of them.</p> <p>Line 146, may rather be modified to "Materials and Methods". In this section, you may need to refer to the objectives of this study but rather go straight to the ingredients of this section which include; brief background information about the study area, sampling procedures, information on the instruments used in this study among others. Author(s) needs to check these critically.</p> <p>You need to give the implicit and explicit model specification for your logistic regression employed in this study. It is rather unacceptable not to give brief information about this model among others in this section. Author(s) needs to show the dependent variable (Y) and the independent variables (X's) used in this study (with appropriate equations).</p> <p>In the discussion section, author(s) needs to compare the results of your findings with the already existing empirical studies in literature. Author(s) really need to scientifically compare the results of this study with existing empirical studies either to support the results or refute them.</p> <p>With this kind of study, I feel some recommendations should emanate from the results of the findings. It is important for author(s) to include some of these recommendations immediately after the conclusion or may feel like merging both the conclusion and recommendations together (Conclusion and Recommendations).</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Nil	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Nil	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Olutosin A. Otekunrin</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria</i></b>