



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_54130
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The scale development of sense of humor for private college students</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This is a good study that needs more input. I am not sure if this was meant to be a manuscript or not! Let the author(s) format the manuscript in the accepted manner/style.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The title is not clear and does not reflect manuscript contents.</li> <li>2. For clarity, add study location, region and country to the title</li> <li>3. Follow the journal accepted format of manuscript writing.</li> <li>4. There are several unclear sentences indicated that need to be reworked.</li> <li>5. Avoid use of difficult vocabulary that distracts comprehension of message put across.</li> <li>6. Stated there is former scale (U3) and developed scale (DK18) but none is presented.</li> <li>7. How were 30 participants in in-depth interviews and 350 sample selected and why? OR it was the number of questionnaires set out and why?</li> <li>8. Response rate is 83.14%. Any explanation and what impact it has on findings?</li> <li>9. Address U12, DK17 and other comments indicated in the reviewed document attached.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Manuscript editing is strongly recommended.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This manuscript should be reworked and an expert on the analysis conducted consulted for review.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Switbert Rwechungura Kamazima</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Tanzania</b>