



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_54369
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Undergraduate Students' Views on Factors Influencing Academic Performance in Construction Related Disciplines in Bells University of Technology, Ota, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction needs to be rewritten as similarity index found to be higher than the acceptable level. 2. In table 2, Interpretation of "Class level of respondents" is not clear to comprehend. 3. Objectives of the study and Hypotheses are missing. They need to be clearly stated. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The research paper is well written and the language is upto the mark. It can be considered for publication after making necessary changes as provided in the above compulsory revision section.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Razia
Department, University & Country	Aligarh Muslim University, India