



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_60341
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Academic Ability and Group Instruction Technique on Secondary School Students' Achievement in Civic Education in Anambra State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1) It is suggested that the discussion about the tables and figures should appear in the text before the appearance of the respective tables and figures.</p> <p>2) Follow SDI <u>Guideline for Reporting P values</u>:</p> <p><i>P</i> is always italicized and capitalized.</p> <p>i) Correct expression: (<i>P</i> = .05). Wrong Expression: (<i>P</i> < .05), unless <i>P</i> < .001.</p> <p>ii) The <i>P</i> value should be expressed to 2 digits whether or not it is significant. If <i>P</i> < .01, it should be expressed to 3 digits.</p> <p>iii) When rounding, 3 digits is acceptable if rounding would change the significance of a value (eg, <i>P</i> = .049 rounded to .05).</p> <p>iv) Do not use 0 before the decimal point for statistical values <i>P</i>, alpha, because they cannot equal 1.</p> <p>3) It is like you have used the APA system which should not be the case.</p> <p>All references should follow the journal's style e.g</p> <p>References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].</p> <p>4) Has not declared competing interest.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Abstract is concise and informative</p> <p>Adequate information on methodology given to allow the experiment.</p> <p>Results clearly described in a concise manner. Appropriate statistics i.e mean and ANCOVA. Results for different parameters described under subheadings. Discussion has interpreted the significance of the findings of the work. Citations also given in support of the findings.</p> <p>Good work</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Onchiri Sureiman
Department, University & Country	Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, Kenya