



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_58748
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the Role Cikidang Fish Landing Port (PPI) Towards Fishermen's Socio-Economy in Pangandaran District
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There is need for the author(s) to rework the reporting. I found it difficult connecting the statements. I suggest the author(s) should do the needful and resubmit for reviewing but if the journal does not place too much importance on the grammatical presentation of manuscripts, the author(s) should just rework it and afterwards, it should be published without further reviewing	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The study is good. The findings will help in developing effective policy in ensuring sustainable development of the socio-economic lives of the fishermen in that facility	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olusina Tunde Ajayi
Department, University & Country	Federal College of Animal Health and Production Technology, Nigeria